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## The Winabobatoo Football Betting Guide

## Introduction

First launched to the public at the start of the 2006-07 season, Winabobatoo has been providing profitable match ratings and betting advice for over seventeen years.

The Winabobatoo membership year runs from $1^{\text {st }}$ June to $31^{\text {st }}$ May. Membership numbers are limited to 300 to help everyone get on at the top end of the available prices. This means profits are achievable rather than theoretical.

Returns shown are based on the best odds available from just five leading bookmakers at the time the twice weekly Winabobatoo magazines are published.

An updated guide is published before the start of each season. This guide was published on $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2023.

## The Challenge:

The degree of difficulty involved in beating multi-million pound organisations like the bookmakers is huge.
Sadly, due to marketing hype and mis-information, punters are led to believe winning is easy; it isn't.
However, with patience and the right tools to hand, it can be done.
Betting services tend to come and go. They ride the crest of a good luck wave until the good and bad luck eventually averages out. Most then disappear as initial profits were brought about through luck, not skill.

The reason Winabobatoo is still here after all these years is because we have a genuine edge, not an edge borne out by short-term good fortune which is why members keep returning year-after-year.

## Dedication to duty:

Winabobatoo has been my full-time job since 2006.
It's a huge pleasure and privilege to have been able to help so many people during that time.
I thank everyone for their continued and loyal support.

## New for the 2023-24 Season:

The first new rating since 2016-17 is being introduced along with the recently made available Winabobatoo Results File \& System Builder where over 9,000 matches can be analysed by over 50 different metrics to devise unique betting systems of your own.

I hope my passion for betting and helping people shines through on every page of the guide.
Subscribers can be assured of being treated with respect and courtesy at all times.
Mike Lindley
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## CHAPTER 1: HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

The guide has been written primarily for Winabobatoo members but also provides invaluable advice on how someone not privy to the Winabobatoo ratings and magazines can give themselves the best chance of being a profitable bettor.

The guide has two accompanying downloads - both of which will be referred to from time-to-time.
Both downloads are free. They are available from the Winabobatoo website.
Download One: The Winabobatoo Results File \& System Builder


Download Two: The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide To Bankrolls \& Staking: 1000 Bets Level Stakes


The workbooks are interactive - putting you in charge.
Both come with full instructions - and additional narrative that supplements what has been written here.
You will learn more from experimenting with these downloads than words alone can ever describe!
Website link to the downloads: www.winabobatoo.com/free-downloads.html


## CHAPTER 2: GENERAL INFORMATION/HOW TO JOIN

Information is supplied to members via twice weekly magazines throughout the main part of the season.

## Winabobatoo Magazines:

A pdf version of each magazine is sent to members by email. An Excel version can be downloaded from the members' website.

## Magazine issue times:

Magazines are published at 5pm on Monday to cover matches due to be played on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday and at 5pm on Thursday to cover games being played on Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Monday.

Teams must play eight league games in a new season before they can be rated. Match ratings begin from league game nine and continue through to the last games of the season. Cup matches and play-off games are not covered.

There are typically between 65 to 70 magazines published each season, rating in the region of 1,500 matches.

## Leagues presently covered:

English League One, English League Two, Scottish Premiership, Scottish Championship, Scottish League One and Scottish League Two.

The English Premiership and Championship used to be covered but profit margins in these leagues became very low. The bookmakers invest a great deal of time and effort into these divisions which leads to fewer pricing errors. The leagues we presently cover are the ones where the bookmakers are less efficient - providing more scope to make a decent working profit.

## What advice is provided?

Advice is given in the magazines for the $1 \times 2$ markets with recommendations to either back home teams or away teams to win in 90 minutes. By using the Winabobatoo Results File \& System Builder workbook, you may develop betting systems of your own suitable for the 1X2 or other markets.

## Odds used:

Magazine odds are the best odds from five leading bookmakers at the time the magazines are compiled.
The bookmakers used are: Bet365, Hills, Coral, BetFred and BetVictor.
According to Oddschecker, over 40\% of home and away teams have longer odds available with other bookmakers at the time we compile the magazines.

## For the analyst:

If you prefer to add personal judgement to the ratings or devise betting systems of your own, there's a wealth of information you may wish to consider.

Winabobatoo can be as simple as you like or as involved as you care to make it: the choice is yours!

## How to join:

The current Winabobatoo membership year runs from 1st June 2023 to 31st May 2024.

## Cost to join:

Membership for the full season can be made by a one-off payment of $£ 149$ or by three instalment payments of $£ 51$, $£ 50$ and $£ 48$ if joining by $31^{\text {st }}$ August 2023.

If any places remain, joining details after $31^{\text {st }}$ August 2023 will be detailed on the website.
There are approximately 35 weeks with fixtures. The cost of $£ 149$ works out at around $£ 4.25$ per week.
With between 65 and 70 magazines during the membership year, this offers excellent value for money.
To join now: please make a payment of $£ 149$ through either Paypal or Skrill to mike@winabobatoo.co.uk or send $£ 51$ and we will remind you when the others payments need to be made. Payment two will be due two months after the first. The final payment will be due two months later.

Shortly after making your payment, you will receive an email to confirm your membership has been approved.
Once you get the email, you will be able to log in to the members' only area of the website. Every magazine from the last two seasons is still available for download. They include numerous Behind The Numbers articles covering many different facets of betting.

If you have any questions, please email me at mike@winabobatoo.co.uk
Carol and I are always here to help.

## CHAPTER 3: UNDERSTANDING THE CHALLENGE

Whilst the vast majority of bettors lose money throughout their betting life, most will never take a step back and fully recognise the challenges they face. To have the best chance of succeeding, these challenges must be properly understood.

## PROBLEM AREAS:

Selecting bets that have little hope of being long-term profitable, having unrealistic expectations, or using a flawed bankroll and staking strategy are the three main problem areas bettors face.

Please keep these things in mind as you read the guide. I will help you overcome them.
Successful betting must be recognised as a long-term game with relatively small profit margins - not a five minute fix that will turn someone into a millionaire overnight. Anyone with such fanciful thoughts needs to reassess. Patience is most certainly a virtue too.

Beating the bookmakers is like playing a game of chess - they play the white pieces, we play black. They have the best chance of winning - we're always playing catch up to some degree.

The bookmakers' first move is having the advantage of an over-round book. Our first objective is to negate that advantage - something most people never manage. Once we've created a level playing field, we can have a reasonably high level of confidence - short-term variance apart - that losing in the long run should be unlikely.

From there, we can progress to create a position of profitable expectation.

## THE STARTING POINT:

The table below shows the returns from backing every home, draw and away outcome in the 9,493 matches rated by Winabobatoo from the start of the 2016-17 season to $28^{\text {th }}$ May 2023:

| All Games From 2016-17 to 28th May 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| Homes Mag | 9,493 | 4,038 | $43 \%$ | $9,142.75$ | -350.25 | -3.69 |
| Draws Mag | 9,493 | 2,468 | $26 \%$ | $8,909.73$ | -583.27 | -6.14 |
| Aways Mag | 9,493 | 2,987 | $31 \%$ | $8,929.35$ | -563.65 | -5.94 |

Returns are based on staking a one point single win bet on each outcome at Winabobatoo magazine odds.
With no skill and average luck, these are the types of losses a bettor can expect to make.

## NEGATING THE BOOKMAKERS' EDGE:

Most football bettors choose which teams to bet on based on what the league tables are telling them.
How effective is this method?

To measure league table supremacy, I use a rating called Points Per Game (PPG). Points Per Game is slightly more accurate than league table positions as it takes into account situations where teams have played differing numbers of matches. Nevertheless, it can still be referred to as a league table rating.

If the home team has averaged 2.00 points per game, their rating is 200 . If the away team has averaged 1.50 points per game, their rating is 150 . By subtracting the away team's rating from the home team's rating, we get a match rating.

In this case, $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ minus $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ gives a match rating of $\mathbf{+ 5 0}$.
If the home team averages 1.25 point per game (rating 125) with the away side averaging 2.00 points per game (rating 200), the match rating is $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ minus $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ giving - $\mathbf{7 5}$.

Positive ratings show home supremacy, negative ratings show away supremacy.
The table below show the returns from backing home teams when the PPG rating is positive - meaning the home team is above the away side in the table:

| Backing Home Teams With Positive POINTS PER GAME Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes Mag | 4,765 | 2,463 | $52 \%$ | $4,617.41$ | -147.59 | -3.10 |  |

Whilst finding 52\% winners, this knowledge hasn't removed the bookies' edge as a loss of $3.10 \%$ has been made.
The next table shows the returns from backing away teams when they're above the home side in the table:

| Backing Away Teams With Negative POINTS PER GAME Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Aways Mag | 4,728 | 1,850 | $39 \%$ | $4,416.73$ | -311.27 | -6.58 |  |

This group has done considerably worse than the first group. Backing the better away sides has lost $6.58 \%$ on turnover.

## What conclusion can we draw from this evidence?

Ratings or assessments based on league tables may help the backer find more winners than might otherwise be the case but in reality they are a poor data source for trying to overcome the bookmakers' over-round, or put the backer into a profitable position.

## Where to next?

Someone not using the Winabobatoo service would be better advised to calculate ratings of their own based on Shots at Goal. Raw Shots at Goal data can be downloaded from Joe Buchdahl's excellent website:
www.football-data.co.uk

## SHOTS AT GOAL RATINGS:

Let's compare the POINTS PER GAME returns with those based on SHOTS AT GOAL.

In a similar manner, a match rating for each game has been calculated based on the average shots at goal for and against for each side playing in a match.

| Backing Home Teams With Positive SHOTS AT GOAL Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes Mag | 4,716 | 2,479 | $53 \%$ | $4,633.10$ | -82.90 | -1.76 |  |


| Backing Away Teams With Negative SHOTS AT GOAL Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Aways Mag | 4,777 | 1,921 | $40 \%$ | $4,631.31$ | -145.69 | -3.05 |  |

The losses from this method are considerably smaller than when using the PPG method.
Putting the Shots at Goal home and away bets into one table:

| Backing Favoured Teams Based On SHOTS AT GOAL Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| All Cases | 9,493 | 4,400 | $46 \%$ | $9,264.41$ | -228.59 | -2.41 |  |

Compare that to the returns from the teams favoured by the POINTS PER GAME Rating:

| Backing Favoured Teams Based On POINTS PER GAME Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| All Cases | 9,493 | 4,313 | $45 \%$ | $9,034.14$ | -458.86 | -4.83 |  |

The Shots at Goal ratings have lost half as much as the PPG ratings.
The Shots at Goal method gives the backer a much better starting position for selecting bets than the PPG method.
Whilst Shots at Goal don't provide an easy route to profits, they have better negated the bookmakers' edge.
Without doubt, getting ahead of the bookies is a seriously difficult challenge - one that should never be underestimated.

A successful bettor will almost certainly have to look beyond league tables or conventional means to gain an edge.

## PROFIT EXPECTATION:

What can realistically be achieved?
If we can find 55 winners out of every 100 bets at 2.00 , we'll get 110 points back for every 100 staked. This would give a return on investment of $10 \%$. On the surface, this might not seem like too much of a challenge but considering that only 48 outcomes out of every 100 priced at 2.00 are likely to win, upping the number of winners by seven to 55 would be no mean achievement.

Is it possible to regularly find 55 winners out of 100 when only 48 winners out of 100 are likely after factoring in the bookmakers' over-round? Some sequences of 100 bets will achieve it but to keep that going over many hundreds of bets would be very hard.

WIN PERCENTAGES AND PROFIT EXECTATION:

| ROI |  |  |  | Breakeven |  |  | ROI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Win\%+2.0 | Win\%+1.50 | Win\%+1.00 | Odds | Win\% | Win\% | Odds | Win\%+1.00 | Win\%+1.50 | Win\%+2.0 |
| 2.10 | 1.58 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 95.24 | 4.76 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 31.50 | 42.00 |
| 2.20 | 1.65 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 90.91 | 9.09 | 11.00 | 11.00 | 16.50 | 22.00 |
| 2.40 | 1.80 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 83.33 | 16.67 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 9.00 | 12.00 |
| 2.50 | 1.88 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 7.50 | 10.00 |
| 2.60 | 1.95 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 76.92 | 23.08 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 6.50 | 8.67 |
| 2.80 | 2.10 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 71.43 | 28.57 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.25 | 7.00 |
| 3.00 | 2.25 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 6.00 |
| 3.20 | 2.40 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 62.50 | 37.50 | 2.67 | 2.67 | 4.00 | 5.33 |
| 3.40 | 2.55 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 58.82 | 41.18 | 2.43 | 2.43 | 3.64 | 4.86 |
| 3.60 | 2.70 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 55.56 | 44.44 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 3.38 | 4.50 |
| 3.80 | 2.85 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 52.63 | 47.37 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 3.17 | 4.22 |
| 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |

In the table above, I have assumed there is no over-round.
In the brown columns in the top row, the odds shown are 1.05 and 21.00. The two central columns show that odds of 1.05 convert to a $95.24 \%$ chance of winning whilst odds of 21.00 convert to a $4.76 \%$ chance: $95.24+4.76=100$.

If we want to make a profit when betting at 1.05 , we've got to secure a win rate in excess of $95.24 \%$; to make a profit at odds of 21.00 , we must have a win rate in excess of $4.76 \%$.

The three columns on the left - in the top row - show the expected ROI when the bettor is able to beat the expected win percentage by $1 \%, 1.50 \%$ and $2.00 \%$.

Bettering the $95.24 \%$ win rate by $1 \%$ gives a $96.24 \%$ win rate. This converts to an expected ROI of $1.05 \%$ (green column). Should the breakeven win percentage be bettered by $1.50 \%$ this will give an expected win rate of $96.74 \%$. This converts to an ROI of $1.58 \%$ (blue column). Beating the $95.24 \%$ breakeven win rate by $2 \%$ gives a win rate of 97.24\%. 97.24 winners per 100 bets at 1.05 returns 102.10 points to give an ROI of $2.10 \%$ (yellow column).

Staying with the top row, the columns on the right show the ROI when betting at odds of 21.00 . Should the expected win rate of $4.76 \%$ increase by one percent to 5.76 , the ROI becomes a staggering $21 \%$ (green column).

Should it be bettered by $1.5 \%$, the ROI jumps to $31.5 \%$ (blue column) and with a $2 \%$ improvement on the expected $4.76 \%$ the ROI climbs to $42 \%$.

These figures highlight how bettering the breakeven expectation at longer odds leads to much higher returns on investment. Should you achieve the impossible and find $100 \%$ winners at odds of 1.05 , the ROI would only be $5 \%$ !

The bookmakers are aware of their vulnerabilities at longer odds and protect themselves by allocating more of their over-round book to these prices. This is called the favourite/long-shot bias.

Since the start of the 2000-01 season, my database shows there have been 1,390 away teams with odds of 10.00 or better:

| Aways | Away teams priced 10.00 \& above since 2000-01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odds 10.00+ | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| All Cases | 1,390 | 72 | $5 \%$ | 922.50 | -467.50 | -33.63 |

Their very large losses are a direct result of the bookmakers' over-round policy.
Dividing the number of winners by the total returns shows the average odds of the 72 winners is 12.81 .
The average win odds required to have broken even is 19.31.
In effect, each winner has been under-priced by around 6.50 points.
You can see exactly how much protection the bookies have given themselves!
So, whilst in theory it is possible to achieve a very high ROI when betting at longer odds, in practice it's not quite as easy as it may look. Let's dig a little deeper to see what is realistically possible.

Most of the bets we will place as football bettors will be at odds up to 5.00 . The table below covers that odds' range:

| ROI |  |  |  | Breakeven |  |  | ROI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Win\%+2.0 | Win\%+1.50 | Win\%+1.00 | Odds | Win\% | Win\% | Odds | Win\%+1.00 | Win\%+1.50 | Win\%+2.0 |
| 2.50 | 1.88 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 80.00 | 20.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 7.50 | 10.00 |
| 2.60 | 1.95 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 76.92 | 23.08 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 6.50 | 8.67 |
| 2.80 | 2.10 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 71.43 | 28.57 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.25 | 7.00 |
| 3.00 | 2.25 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 6.00 |
| 3.20 | 2.40 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 62.50 | 37.50 | 2.67 | 2.67 | 4.00 | 5.33 |
| 3.40 | 2.55 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 58.82 | 41.18 | 2.43 | 2.43 | 3.64 | 4.86 |
| 3.60 | 2.70 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 55.56 | 44.44 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 3.38 | 4.50 |
| 3.80 | 2.85 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 52.63 | 47.37 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 3.17 | 4.22 |
| 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |

Attempting to make $3 \%$ profit is a good aim. The areas circled in red show the level of achievement needed to attain an ROI of $3 \%$ or better. To make $3 \%$ profit at 1.50 , we will need to beat the breakeven win rate by $2 \%$ whereas to make $3 \%$ profit at 3.00 , we will need to beat the breakeven rate by $1 \%$.

If we want to better $5 \%$ ROI, then our focus should be on longer odds outcomes, as shown by the entries on the right.

Understanding this aspect of the challenge - recognising what can realistically be achieved within each odds range is extremely important.

## CHAPTER 4: THE WINABOBATOO RATINGS

Since its inception in 2006, by using advanced algorithms that take into account many different statistical facets, the Winabobatoo ratings have been able to overcome the bookmakers' over-round and provide a profitable starting position from which bets can be selected.

It must also be acknowledged that ratings have limitations. Ratings cannot take into account injuries and suspensions, new manager syndrome or whether teams have got something to play for at the end of a season. If you have 'extra knowledge' I would encourage you to bring this to the table, but all other things being equal, the ratings provide a solid statistical, non-emotional measure of a team's ability. This will give you an edge over every other bettor.

Each Winabobatoo magazine lists the fixtures for the next round of games.
The table below shows the matches played in the Scottish Championship on Friday $5^{\text {th }}$ May 2023.


I will take you through each of the five ratings shown in the Ratings Info columns.

## PREDICTOR RATING:

The games are listed in Predictor rating order - highest to lowest.

The Predictor rating is the main Winabobatoo rating.
The rating is on a scale of +20 to -20 .
The highest rated game was a +2 rating for the Arbroath v Hamilton match.
The lowest rated were both on -16: Queens Park v Dundee and Inverness v Ayr.
As always, positive ratings favour the home side, negative ratings favour the away team.
The three away sides with the 'best ratings' all won. The other two games ended level.

## COMMAND RATING:

The Command rating is on a scale of +10 to -10 . It aims to identify which team is likely to dominate the game.
In this instance the Command rating was siding with all the away teams.
winabobatoo - winabobatoo - winabobatoo - winabobatoo - winabobatoo - winabobatoo


## FORM RATING:

The Form rating tries to identify which team has the better current form. It is also on a scale of +10 to -10 .
Arbroath were favoured by the Form rating. In the other games, the away team was favoured.
The Predictor rating is calculated by adding the Command rating to the Form rating.

## ENIGMA RATING:

The Enigma ratings are either $+2,+1,-1$ or -2 .
The Enigma rating compares the Predictor rating with the Points Per Game rating and shows whether the ratings agree or disagree with the league tables.

A +2 rating significantly favours the home team, +1 slightly favours the home team. A -1 rating slightly favours the away side whilst -2 significantly favours the away side.

There were no +2 cases in the above matches. The -2 cases were both winners.

## SPY RATING:

The Spy rating is new for the 2023-24 season. It has some similarities with the Enigma rating in that it makes comparisons with league table positions. This time the comparison is made between the bookmakers' home odds and the team's league table positions.

If the bookmaker's price is shorter than the league table positions suggest it should be, the Spy rating will be +2 or +1 . If the bookmaker's home price is longer than the league tables suggest, the rating will be -2 or -1 .

There is a full explanation - with examples - of how the Spy rating is calculated in the Winabobatoo Results File and System Builder workbook.

These are the five Winabobatoo ratings.

## CHAPTER 5: OTHER RATINGS INCLUDED IN THE MAGAZINES

The Winabobatoo ratings are unique but the magazines also include some useful generic ratings.
You could calculate these yourself if you have access to the required stats.

## OTHER RATINGS:

1) Shots at Goal rating (SAG)
2) Shots on Target rating (SOT)
3) Corner rating (CORN)
4) Points Per Game rating (PPG) - as explained earlier
5) Goal Difference rating (GOAL DIFF)

These ratings are to be included in the magazines for the first time from the start of the 2023-24 season.
As well as being helpful to all, this information may be vital to someone who has built a system of their own involving any of these parameters.

| winabobatoo - winabo o Traffic Light Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratings Info |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratings in order: Highest to Lowest |  |  |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $n$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Draw } \\ \hline \text { Odds } \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | Home | Home |  |  |  | 흥 <br> 은 <br> 는 |  | E |  | えे | ভ্চ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { İ } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Away | Away |  |  |
| Day | Date | Div | Light | Odds |  | Home Team |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Away Team |  | Res |  | Odds | Light |  |  |
| Fri | 5 May 23 | S Ch | Amber | 1.95 | $\bigcirc$ | Arbroath | 0 | 2 | -4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1.51 | 0.26 | 1.09 | 8 | 0.54 | Hamilton | 0 | 3 |  | 3.80 | Amber |  | 3.40 |
| Fri | 5 May 23 | S Ch | Amber | 4.75 |  | Raith Rvs | 2 | -10 | -6 | -4 | -1 | -2 | -0.15 | -0.28 | -1.38 | -40 | 0.53 | Partick | 2 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 1.62 | Amber |  | 3.90 |
| Fri | 5 May 23 | S Ch | Red | 3.25 | $\bigcirc$ | Cove Rangers | 1 | -15 | -8 | -7 | -1 | 1 | -4.26 | -2.23 | -1.03 | -66 | -0.46 | Morton | 2 | 2 |  | 2.00 | Amber |  | 3.50 |
| Fri | 5 May 23 | S Ch | Red | 2.38 | $\bigcirc$ | Inverness C | 1 | -16 | -9 | -7 | -2 | -1 | -2.78 | -1.63 | -2.29 | 0 | 0.12 | Ayr | 2 | 2 |  | 2.65 | Amber |  | 3.40 |
| Fri | 5 May 23 | S Ch | Red | 3.25 | $\bigcirc$ | Queens Park | 3 | -16 | -7 | -9 | -2 | -2 | -3.37 | -1.71 | -1.43 | -6 | 0.47 | Dundee | 5 | 2 |  | 2.00 | Green |  | 3.80 |

The five additional ratings are shown alongside the main ratings on the All Ratings page of the magazine.
In the Cove v Morton game, the negative ratings show Morton have averaged 4.26 more shots at goal than Cove. Morton have averaged 2.23 more shots on target than Cove and they've averaged 1.03 more corners per game.

The PPG rating is -66 confirming Morton are better than Cove based on Points Per Game and they're also favoured by the Goal Difference rating.

More details on how this information can be used to develop systems can be found on the Results File \& System Builder chapter on page 19.

Around $50 \%$ of matches will have positive ratings, with $50 \%$ negative. The exception is the Goal Difference rating. As this compares home form with away form, a greater proportion of the ratings will be positive. The median Goal Difference rating is 0.45 .

Should a Goal Difference rating show 0.20, it means the home team has done better than the away side but the 0.20 rating is below the average advantage a home team would expect to have. You may wish to keep this in mind.


## CHAPTER 6: WINABOBATOO BETTING RETURNS

This chapter outlines four simple methods that prove how the current Winabobatoo ratings have been giving members an edge over the last seven seasons, ever since the current ratings were introduced.

## FAVOURABLE PREDICTOR RATINGS:

Backing a team in every match isn't something we're likely to do but the power of the ratings can be seen by the fact that a profit would have been made had we backed either the home team or the away team in EVERY rated match played providing the Predictor rating favoured the team.

Home teams are chosen when the Predictor rating is positive (between 0 and 20), away teams are selected when the rating is negative (between -20 and -1). This is similar to the analysis we looked at earlier regarding PPG ratings and Shots at Goal ratings - they also selected a bet in every match - but neither method made a profit.

| Backing Home Teams when the Predictor Rating is positive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Pred 0 to 20 | 4,669 | 2,478 | $53 \%$ | $4,733.78$ | 64.78 | 1.39 |  |


| Backing Away Teams when the Predictor Rating is negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Pred -20 to -1 | 4,824 | 1,964 | $41 \%$ | $4,878.77$ | 54.77 | 1.14 |  |

Both groups have made a small profit - overcoming the bookmakers' over-round with a little bit to spare.
Putting the home and away returns together in one table:

| Backing Favoured Teams Based On Predictor Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes \& Aways | 9,493 | 4,442 | $47 \%$ | $9,612.55$ | 119.55 | 1.26 |  |

Whilst the profit is only a rather modest one, the evidence over such a large number of bets, is very compelling.
We also need to look at the other side of the coin. What happens when backing home teams NOT favoured by the ratings?

| Backing Home Teams when the Predictor Rating is negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Pred -20 to -1 | 4,824 | 1,560 | $32 \%$ | $4,408.97$ | -415.03 | -8.60 |  |

They've made a significant loss.

| Backing Away Teams when the Predictor Rating is positive |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ |  |
| Pred 0 to 20 | 4,669 | 1,023 | $22 \%$ | $4,050.58$ | -618.42 | -13.25 |

Backing away teams when they're not favoured by the ratings has lost even more than the home group.
Putting the unfavoured cases together:

| Backing Unfavoured Teams Based On Predictor Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes \& Aways | 9,493 | 2,583 | $27 \%$ | $8,459.55$ | $-1,033.45$ | -10.89 |  |

A reminder of the favoured cases:

| Backing Favoured Teams Based On Predictor Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes \& Aways | 9,493 | 4,442 | $47 \%$ | $9,612.55$ | 119.55 | 1.26 |  |

You can see why for the most part it makes sense to restrict home bets to teams with positive Predictor ratings and away bets to teams with negative Predictor ratings.

Without doubt, this method alone gives Winabobatoo members a huge advantage over those placing bets without the ratings.

## TRAFFIC LIGHT RATINGS RETURNS:

Each team is given a Green, Amber or Red Light.
Whilst the formulae used for assessing the Winabobatoo ratings has remained the same throughout the entire period from 2016-17, the algorithms used to calculate the Traffic Light ratings are updated each season.

The returns from backing each group are shown on the next page.

## Home Traffic Lights:

## Home Traffic Light Ratings

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green | 1,664 | 879 | $53 \%$ | $1,659.30$ | -4.70 | -0.28 |
| Amber | 3,707 | 1,726 | $47 \%$ | $3,689.49$ | -17.51 | -0.47 |
| Red | 4,122 | 1,433 | $35 \%$ | $3,793.96$ | -328.04 | -7.96 |

The Home Green Lights have been somewhat disappointing. My estimate for Amber Lights is that they should lose between $2 \%$ and $5 \%$ - they've done slightly better than expectation. The aim for Red Lights is that they should lose more than $5 \%$ - which they've done.

There were slightly mitigating circumstances in the 2020-21 season when games were played behind closed doors as we we're unaware at the time how 'no crowds' would impact outcomes.

The home Traffic Light returns for the 2020-21 season showed:

| Home Traffic Light Ratings: Season 2020-21 (Covid Season) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| Green | 232 | 111 | $48 \%$ | 207.09 | -24.91 | -10.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amber | 530 | 221 | $42 \%$ | 501.79 | -28.21 | -5.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red | 550 | 192 | $35 \%$ | 517.72 | -32.28 | -5.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With hindsight, it became apparent that lesser home sides performed better when they didn't have their own fans on their back! As a consequence, the better sides (both home and away) didn't do as well as they would in a 'normal season'. Without the 2020-21 season of poor home returns, the home Green Lights would have made a small profit.

The overall numbers suggest some home greens need downgrading to amber with some being upgraded to green. This is something I will attempt to address with the new 2023-24 Traffic Light algorithms.

| Away Traffic Light Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| Green | 2,207 | 836 | $38 \%$ | $2,329.97$ | 122.97 | 5.57 |
| Amber | 3,330 | 1,155 | $35 \%$ | $3,053.41$ | -276.59 | -8.31 |
| Red | 3,956 | 996 | $25 \%$ | $3,545.97$ | -410.03 | -10.36 |

The away Green Lights have made a good profit. The Amber Lights have lost more than the $-2 \%$ to $-5 \%$ range anticipated. The Red Lights have lost considerably more than the expected $5 \%$ loss - which is no bad thing.

I'm hopeful the returns for the home Green Lights in the 2023-24 season will improve and the away Greens will be able to maintain their 5\%+ profit threshold from the last seven seasons.

We will take another look at the Away Green Lights later in the guide.

## ENIGMA RATING RETURNS:

Although all returns in this guide relate to the 'new ratings' used since 2016-17, the Enigma rating was first introduced in the 2012-13 season. From the outset, it became a members' favourite.

Spotting where the league tables are 'wrong' is certainly a good source for finding value bets.

| Home Returns for Enigma Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| +2 | 1,273 | 695 | $55 \%$ | $1,331.37$ | 58.37 | 4.59 |
| +1 | 3,130 | 1,500 | $48 \%$ | $3,142.46$ | 12.46 | 0.40 |
| -1 | 3,150 | 1,237 | $39 \%$ | $3,029.94$ | -120.06 | -3.81 |
| -2 | 1,940 | 606 | $31 \%$ | $1,638.98$ | -301.02 | -15.52 |

Backing home teams when the Enigma rating is +2 has made a profit. The Enigma +1 cases have just got on the right side of the line. The -1 cases have made a small loss. The $-2 s$ have made a large loss.

Away Returns for Enigma Ratings

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +2 | 1,273 | 255 | $20 \%$ | 968.24 | -304.76 | -23.94 |
| +1 | 3,130 | 825 | $26 \%$ | $2,844.25$ | -285.75 | -9.13 |
| -1 | 3,150 | 1,088 | $35 \%$ | $3,075.27$ | -74.73 | -2.37 |
| -2 | 1,940 | 819 | $42 \%$ | $2,041.59$ | 101.59 | 5.24 |

Backing away sides when the Enigma rating is -2 has made a profit. The away -1 cases have made a small loss with the +1 and +2 cases losing considerably more.

Limiting home bets to +2 cases and away bets to -2 cases shows a nice edge for the backer:

| Enigma Homes +2 and Enigma Aways -2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| Homes \& Aways | 3,213 | 1,514 | $47 \%$ | $3,372.96$ | 159.96 | 4.98 |

An Enigma +2 or Enigma -2 case has occurred in $34 \%$ of all matches played.
A full round of weekend games normally features 45 matches. From that, we can deduce there are likely to be around 15 such cases on a weekend.

## FIVE STAR BETS RETURNS:

The Five Star bets are a betting system that selects away teams based on the following rules:

1) The Predictor rating is negative
2) The Enigma rating is -2 or -1
3) The away team's odds are 3.50 or bigger

Betting at longer odds provides an opportunity to make larger profits but also comes with greater risk.
Throughout the history of the Winabobatoo service, the greatest profits have come in the 3.50+ away odds range when the ratings are favouring the away side.

| Five Star Bets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |
| All Cases | 644 | 182 | $28 \%$ | 730.62 | 86.62 | 13.45 |

I will return to the Five Star Bets again later when we will look at their returns in more detail.
There we have it - four methods that show how the Winabobatoo ratings get ahead of the bookmakers.

## CHAPTER 7: THE RESULTS FILE \& SYSTEM BUILDER

Released on $1^{\text {st }}$ May 2023, this is the best innovation I have ever introduced to Winabobatoo.
The workbook gives you the power to search 65 different fields (shown below) in conjunction with all the others.
Have you ever wondered: "What if...?" This is your chance to find out!
This really is the ultimate search tool for football bettors.
With this facility, you can search by all Winababatoo ratings, devise betting systems of your own and make Winabobatoo unique to you.

A simple guide - taking you through everything step-by-step from beginner to expert - is included in the Results File \& System Builder download.


| 1 Pred Simple | (AII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 PredBands | (AII) |
| 3 Predictor | (AII) |
| 4 CommBand | (AII) |
| 5 Command | (AII) |
| 6 FormBand | (AII) |
| 7 Form | (AII) |
| 8 HLight | (AII) |
| 9 ALight | (AII) |
| 10 Enigma | (AII) |


| 41 AwayMove | (All) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 HWin\% | (All) |  |
| 43 HWinsin6 | (All) |  |
| 44 HSince Win | (All) |  |
| 45 HSinceLoss | (All) |  |
| 46 AWin\% | (All) |  |
| 47 AWinsin6 | (All) |  |
| 48 ASinceWin | (All) |  |
| 49 ASinceLoss | (All) |  |
| 50 ShotRes | (All) |  |


| 11 SpyRating | (AII) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 FiveStar | (AII) |  |
| HomeOdds | (AII) |  |
| 4 DrawOdds | (AII) |  |
| 15 AwayOdds | (AII) |  |
| 16 HOdds2 | (AII) |  |
| 17 AOdds2 | (AII) |  |
| 18 SAGRating | (AII) |  |
| 19 SOTRating | (AII) |  |
| 20 CornRating | (AII) |  |


| 51 HShotsRes | (AII) - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 52 AShotsRes | (AII) |
| 53 HomeTeam | (AII) |
| 54 AwayTeam | (AII) |
| 55 Division | (All) ${ }^{-}$ |
| 56 Season | (All) F |
| 57 GIG | (All) |
| 58 Hcap | (AII) |
| 59 HGoals | (AII) |
| 60 AGoals | (All) |


| 22 PPGRating | (AII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22 GDRating | (AII) |
| 23 HSAGF | (AII) |
| 24 HSAGA | (AII) |
| 25 ASAGF | (AII) |
| 26 ASAGA | (AII) |
| 27 HSOTF | (AII) |
| 28 HSOTA | (AII) |
| 29 ASOTF | (AII) |
| 30 ASOTA | (AII) |


| 31 AvHCornFor | (A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 AvACornFor | (All) |  |
| 33 HPPG | Al |  |
| 34 APPG | (AII) |  |
| 35 AvHGoalsF | (All) |  |
| 36 AvHGoalsAg | (All) |  |
| 37 AvAwGoalsF | (All) |  |
| 38 AvAwGoalsAg | (All) |  |
| 39 HomeMove | (All) |  |
| 40 Dr | (AII) |  |

Here's an example of a search with results copied directly from the workbook:

## What happens when the home team averages $\mathbf{2}$ plus corners per game more than the away team?

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 1,598 | 978 | 61\% | 1,646.72 | 48.72 | 3.05 | 13 | 27 | 16 | 1.75 | 1.68 |
| Draws Mag | 1,598 | 359 | 22\% | 1,464.87 | -133.13 | -8.33 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4.31 | 4.08 |
| Aways Mag | 1,598 | 261 | 16\% | 1,252.78 | -345.22 | -21.60 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 6.75 | 4.80 |
| Homes PinKO | 1,598 | 978 | 61\% | 1,624.58 | 26.58 | 1.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws PinKO | 1,598 | 359 | 22\% | 1,504.99 | -93.01 | -5.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways PinKO | 1,598 | 261 | 16\% | 1,273.81 | -324.19 | -20.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homes BestKO | 1,598 | 978 | 61\% | 1,663.67 | 65.67 | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws BestKO | 1,598 | 359 | 22\% | 1,550.77 | -47.23 | -2.96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways BestKO | 1,598 | 261 | 16\% | 1,324.82 | -273.18 | -17.10 |  |  |  |  |  |

Backing such home teams has made a profit of 48.72 points, $+3.05 \%$ from 1,598 bets based on magazine odds.
The $p$-val column shows there's a one chance in 13 these results could have been achieved by luck alone - with no skill involved. P-values, or probability values, are an excellent way of checking the robustness of a selection method.

A profit of $26.58,+1.66 \%$ would have been made backing these cases at Pinnacle's kick-off prices.
A profit of $65.67,+4.11 \%$ would have been made if backing them at the Best kick-off odds.
This triggers another question!
What happens when the away team averages 2 plus corners per game more than the home team?

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 1,675 | 428 | 26\% | 1,439.33 | -235.67 | -14.07 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 4.20 | 3.36 |
| Draws Mag | 1,675 | 433 | 26\% | 1,581.16 | -93.84 | -5.60 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3.75 | 3.65 |
| Aways Mag | 1,675 | 814 | 49\% | 1,728.39 | 53.39 | 3.19 | 8 | 25 | 15 | 2.28 | 2.12 |
| Homes PinKO | 1,675 | 428 | 26\% | 1,478.70 | -196.30 | -11.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws PinKO | 1,675 | 433 | 26\% | 1,611.82 | -63.18 | -3.77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways PinKO | 1,675 | 814 | 49\% | 1,700.42 | 25.42 | 1.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homes BestKO | 1,675 | 428 | 26\% | 1,538.80 | -136.20 | -8.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws BestKO | 1,675 | 433 | 26\% | 1,650.83 | -24.17 | -1.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways BestKO | 1,675 | 814 | 49\% | 1,743.82 | 68.82 | 4.11 |  |  |  |  |  |

They have been similarly profitable.
Backing these away sides has made 53.39, $+3.19 \%$ at mag odds; a profit of $25.42,+1.52 \%$ was made at Pinnacle closing odds; and $68.82,+4.11 \%$ at Best closing odds.

You may wish to analyse the above results by various odds bands to see whether the returns are consistent across all price ranges, check returns from season-to-season, or even by division.

The above searches have been made by clicking on the 20CornRating field in the workbook and then selecting the $2.00+$ and -2.00 or lower cases as appropriate.

What happens if we were to back every home side with home odds shorter than 1.50 ?
13HomeOdds is the field to click, select the odds ranges you want to look at and the results will appear on screen:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 491 | 374 | 76\% | 490.42 | -0.58 | -0.12 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 1.32 | 1.31 |
| Draws Mag | 491 | 85 | 17\% | 459.55 | -31.45 | -6.41 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 5.79 | 5.41 |
| Aways Mag | 491 | 32 | 7\% | 295.86 | -195.14 | -39.74 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 12.24 | 9.25 |
| Homes PinKO | 491 | 374 | 76\% | 490.58 | -0.42 | -0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws PinKO | 491 | 85 | 17\% | 472.05 | -18.95 | -3.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways PinKO | 491 | 32 | 7\% | 290.81 | -200.19 | -40.77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homes BestKO | 491 | 374 | 76\% | 500.59 | 9.59 | 1.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draws BestKO | 491 | 85 | 17\% | 492.83 | 1.83 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aways BestKO | 491 | 32 | 7\% | 310.15 | -180.85 | -36.83 |  |  |  |  |  |

Backing all home sides with odds under 1.50 has made a loss of 0.58 at magazine odds.
Although not the immediate intention of the search but it is very striking how severe the losses have been when backing the away sides in these matches.

At the click of a few buttons, you've got the 'search world' at your fingertips!
As Winabobatoo member Neil wrote when the workbook was first released: "I really believe you'll get out of it what you put in and that time spent understanding it and using it will be time well spent. Have a play and enjoy."

Click here to download: www.winabobatoo.com/free-downloads.html

## CHAPTER 8: UNDERSTANDING RISK

Risk comes in two guises: financial and emotional.
There is no one size fits all answer to this prickly problem. We each have different aversions to financial risk and differing abilities to cope emotionally with adversity.

The key is to recognise our own needs and limitations but doing so isn't easy. Our personal experiences tend to primarily shape our views but widening our knowledge can certainly help.

The consequences of not being properly prepared are that we'll either go broke or become a nervous wreck!
We have to be realistic. Losing runs happen to everyone - regardless of skill levels. Anyone who tells you otherwise is either ignorant to the fact themselves or they're deliberately trying to mislead you. None of us are lucky enough to have a 'losing run exemption certificate'!

In the short-term, luck plays a greater role than skill in deciding whether we win or lose. Because of this, we have much less short-term control than we perhaps imagine.

In the long-term, skill plays a greater role than luck but in order to be around for the long-term, we have to make sure we're prepared for whatever the short-term may thrust upon us.

When does the short-term end and the long-term begin?
The odds we bet at primarily determine this.
We tend to bet across a range of odds, not at one particular price, but to help get a perspective, imagine you place all bets at even money whilst your friend only bets at 100 to 1 . After 1000 bets, you will be looking to exceed 500 winning bets; your friend will only need 11 winning bets to be in profit.

If you're ahead after 1000 bets, there's a much greater chance you will have done so through skill compared to your friend. They could still be riding on a run of good fortune. Losing or gaining one winner at $100 / 1$ will have a much greater impact on returns compared to losing or gaining one winner at 2.00.

In simple terms, the longer the odds you bet at, the larger the bet numbers need to be for luck to average out.
My general advice is that betting should always be viewed as a 1,000 bet project as this allows skill to have a good chance of overcoming bad luck. One thousand bets isn't a 'magical number' though where good luck and bad luck are guaranteed to average out, it's a number that should be seen as a benchmark where it is reasonable to assume skill will be playing a more significant role than luck.

I appreciate that 1,000 bets seems a large number especially as we have an innate desire to feel 'in control' at all times but as undesirable as it seems, we do have to accept that in the short-term we are at the mercy of the betting gods.

Sometimes the betting gods smile on us and when they do the world seems like a beautiful place; at other times they will keep kicking us when we're down. Losing runs can test everyone's resolve to the absolute limit.

It is far too easy to fall into a trap where good results from 100 bets lead us to draw a conclusion that something is great, whilst drawing the conclusion that poor results from 100 bets means something is awful. Our desire to categorise something as 'great' or 'awful' with almost immediate effect must be avoided.

Over 100 bets, the question you are answering is: Have I been lucky or unlucky, not have I been skilful or hopeless.
I want to take a trip back in time to the Winabobatoo rated games in the 2012-13 season to show how results can drastically deviate from what we might expect.

| All Games |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season 2012-13 | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes | 2,412 | 1,004 | $42 \%$ | $2,273.87$ | -138.13 | -5.73 |  |
| Draws | 2,412 | 645 | $27 \%$ | $2,290.90$ | -121.10 | -5.02 |  |
| Aways | 2,412 | 763 | $32 \%$ | $2,537.55$ | 125.55 | 5.21 |  |

Surprisingly, we could have backed every away team during the entire season and made a profit of 125.55 points, $+5.21 \%$. Without doubt this is a very significant number of bets -in no one's book could 2,412 bets be considered a 'small sample'.

However, common sense says we can't simply back all away sides every season and make money but by being in the right place at the right time (by being lucky) in 2012-13, we could have done so.

Had we decided to limit those bets to away teams with odds of 3.50 or bigger, we would have done even better:

| All Games | Away odds 3.50 or better |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season 2012-13 | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |  |  |
| Aways | 1,040 | 259 | $25 \%$ | $1,221.00$ | 181.00 | 17.40 |  |  |

The profits would have jumped to 181.00 points, $+17.40 \%$.
The number of bets exceeded 1000, proving the point that there is no guarantee good and bad luck averages out over 1000 bets. Had this group had 'average luck', they would have made a small loss.

If I take you back in time a little further to the 2009-10 season we can see how the pendulum swung the other way.

| All Games |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season 2009-10 | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |  |
| Homes | 2,404 | 1,102 | $46 \%$ | $2,377.32$ | -26.68 | -1.11 |  |
| Draws | 2,404 | 651 | $27 \%$ | $2,303.80$ | -100.20 | -4.17 |  |
| Aways | 2,404 | 651 | $27 \%$ | $2,086.17$ | -317.83 | -13.22 |  |

Backing every away team in 2009-10 made a loss of 317.83 points, $-13.22 \%$.

The bookmakers hadn't lost the plot during these two seasons, it was simply a case of extreme deviations from what we or the bookmakers could reasonably have expected.

When extremes drag on for long periods of time - and over significant bet numbers - we can start to believe that 'something of significance' may have taken place but the truth is that it would take more than one season of results before we could draw such a conclusion.

Stats generally revert back to their expected norm - it just takes longer for this to happen than most people would believe.

Only when we put the 2008-09 season and 2012-13 stats together covering 4,816 games do we see the returns are near their expected norm, losing 3.99\%.

All Games

| Away Returns | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season 2009-10 | 2,404 | 651 | $27 \%$ | $2,086.17$ | -317.83 | -13.22 |
| Season 2012-13 | 2,412 | 763 | $32 \%$ | $2,537.55$ | 125.55 | 5.21 |
| Total | 4,816 | 1,414 | $29 \%$ | $4,623.72$ | -192.28 | -3.99 |

Coping with extremes is easy when things go your way (rubbing hands with glee in 2012-13), whilst dealing with extremes when they go against us (shaking head in despair in 2009-10) is probably the greatest challenge we face!

Fourteen years after the very bad season for away bets, the 2022-23 season provided another example of extremes. As it turned out, backing home teams wasn't a great place to be either.

All Games

| Season 2022-23 | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 0}$ | 644 | $43 \%$ | $1,424.01$ | -85.99 | -5.69 |
| Draws | 1,510 | 393 | $26 \%$ | $1,405.65$ | -104.35 | -6.91 |
| Aways | 1,510 | 473 | $31 \%$ | $1,376.41$ | -133.59 | -8.85 |

Backing all away teams lost $8.85 \%$ - considerably more than the long-term average.
Backing away teams in the 3.50+ range accounted for most of those losses:

| All Games | Away odds 3.50 or better |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season 2022-23 | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |  |
| Aways | 632 | 120 | $19 \%$ | 523.14 | -108.86 | -17.22 |  |

With just $19 \%$ winners, and a loss of $17.22 \%$ making money from longer odds away bets was extremely difficult to say the least.

I will now return to the away Green Lights and Five Star bets to show how they suffered as a consequence.

FIVE STAR BETS:
Five Star Bets: Away Returns

| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 79 | 19 | $24 \%$ | 79.48 | 0.48 | 0.61 |
| $2017-18$ | 106 | 32 | $30 \%$ | 128.02 | 22.02 | 20.77 |
| $2018-19$ | 91 | 29 | $32 \%$ | 116.26 | 25.26 | 27.76 |
| $2019-20$ | 69 | 21 | $30 \%$ | 86.46 | 17.46 | 25.30 |
| $2020-21$ | 116 | 32 | $28 \%$ | 133.52 | 17.52 | 15.10 |
| $2021-22$ | 102 | 31 | $30 \%$ | 119.98 | 17.98 | 17.63 |
| $2022-23$ | 81 | 18 | $22 \%$ | 66.90 | -14.10 | -17.41 |
| Total | 644 | 182 | $28 \%$ | 730.62 | 86.62 | 13.45 |

The bottom row shows there were 81 Five Star bets in the 2022-23 season. They made a loss of $14.10,-17.4 \%$. It was their first losing season in seven.

Looking at the draw returns provides some clues as to what went wrong.
Five Star Bets : Draw Returns

| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 79 | 29 | $37 \%$ | 105.44 | 26.44 | 33.47 |
| $2017-18$ | 106 | 27 | $25 \%$ | 97.40 | -8.60 | -8.11 |
| $2018-19$ | 91 | 18 | $20 \%$ | 64.18 | -26.82 | -29.47 |
| $2019-20$ | 69 | 22 | $32 \%$ | 77.69 | 8.69 | 12.59 |
| $2020-21$ | 116 | 29 | $25 \%$ | 104.45 | -11.55 | -9.96 |
| $2021-22$ | 102 | 29 | $28 \%$ | 100.26 | -1.74 | -1.71 |
| $2022-23$ | 81 | 25 | $31 \%$ | 87.80 | 6.80 | 8.40 |
| Total | 644 | 179 | $28 \%$ | 637.22 | -6.78 | -1.05 |

The Five Star bets found $31 \%$ draws in the 2022-23 season, which is higher than average.
With regards to the headshaking phenomenon, there appeared to be numerous occasions where the away teams were leading only to then concede when we didn't want them to!

Whilst a losing season is never welcome, it is inevitable they will happen from time-to-time.

Widening the picture somewhat, had both the draw and away sides been backed in the 644 games, the returns would have been as follows:

Five Star Bets : Backing Draws and Away Teams

| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 158 | 48 | $30 \%$ | 184.92 | 26.92 | 17.04 |
| $2017-18$ | 212 | 59 | $28 \%$ | 225.42 | 13.42 | 6.33 |
| $2018-19$ | 182 | 47 | $26 \%$ | 180.44 | -1.56 | -0.86 |
| $2019-20$ | 138 | 43 | $31 \%$ | 164.15 | 26.15 | 18.95 |
| $2020-21$ | 232 | 61 | $26 \%$ | 237.97 | 5.97 | 2.57 |
| $2021-22$ | 204 | 60 | $29 \%$ | 220.24 | 16.24 | 7.96 |
| $2022-23$ | 162 | 43 | $27 \%$ | 154.70 | -7.30 | -4.51 |
| Total | 1,288 | 361 | $28 \%$ | $1,367.84$ | 79.84 | 6.20 |

A reminder of the away returns in isolation:
Five Star Bets: Away Returns

| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 79 | 19 | $24 \%$ | 79.48 | 0.48 | 0.61 |
| $2017-18$ | 106 | 32 | $30 \%$ | 128.02 | 22.02 | 20.77 |
| $2018-19$ | 91 | 29 | $32 \%$ | 116.26 | 25.26 | 27.76 |
| $2019-20$ | 69 | 21 | $30 \%$ | 86.46 | 17.46 | 25.30 |
| $2020-21$ | 116 | 32 | $28 \%$ | 133.52 | 17.52 | 15.10 |
| $2021-22$ | 102 | 31 | $30 \%$ | 119.98 | 17.98 | 17.63 |
| $2022-23$ | 81 | 18 | $22 \%$ | 66.90 | -14.10 | -17.41 |
| Total | 644 | 182 | $28 \%$ | 730.62 | 86.62 | 13.45 |

Had we backed both outcomes, we would have been on the 'winner' in $56 \%$ of the games instead of $28 \%$ but this would have come at a cost as the profits would have been slightly lower in real terms ( 79.84 compared to 86.62 ) than when backing away teams only, with the ROI halving.

The advantage to backing both outcomes is that the ride would be somewhat smoother with 'extremes' less likely, although we need to bear in mind that whilst backing both outcomes in the 2022-23 season would have halved the losses, doing so in the 2018-19 season would have turned an away bet profit of 25.26 into a loss of 1.56 points. There are no 'free rides'!

Personally, I prefer to tough out the bad runs - accepting they happen - and only back the away side in the Five Star bet cases but you may prefer to do things differently.

## THE FIVE STAR BETS TIMELINE:

There is much that can be learnt from the next analysis. It highlights how risk and reward go hand-in-hand.
The Five Star bets got off to a poor start. From the beginning of the 2016-17 season and $18^{\text {th }}$ February 2017, there were 55 bets that made a loss of 18.20 points.

A major change in fortune then took place with 8 winners in the next 12 bets, taking them into profit.
The upturn didn't last and by bet 94 , they were down by 1.77.
Better luck followed and by bet 142 , they'd registered a profit of 32.60 .
A winner drought in the next 41 bets only saw 5 winners, halving the profits. The balance stood at 16.96 points after 183 bets.

After a further 43 bets, with the last four all winning, the profits had leapt up to 40.63 . This was on $29^{\text {th }}$ December 2018.

The upward trajectory continued. By the $23^{\text {rd }}$ February 2019, after 246 bets, the balance was 53.28.
Bad luck then hit as we found 15 consecutive losers. This extended to become one winner in 21 . The balance dropped to 35.88 after 267 bets.

A recovery occurred. By bet 323 , on $22^{\text {nd }}$ January 2020 , the profits were standing at 65.64 .
Another bad run came along to provide just 2 winners in the next 17 bets, reducing the profit to 57.39.
The pendulum then swung the other way with 11 winners out of the next 25 bets taking the balance to a new peak of 77.02 .

We're just over halfway through the timeline at this stage having had 365 bets.
A fundamental error bettors make is not appreciating how an end destination was reached. It can all look nice and cosy after the event but living through situations in real-time is a very different proposition. Telling someone you made a profit of 77.02 with an ROI of $21 \%$ over 365 bets would get them excited but it certainly wouldn't tell the full story. There were no profits after 94 bets and some testing losing runs occurred afterwards.

Silly as it sounds, but I know from experience, a large proportion of bettors would have given up after 94 bets, possibly before. In their attempt to make sense of small samples they would have drawn the conclusion the method wasn't up to the job.

## What happened next?

From bet 366 to bet 478 the returns were relatively flat. The 123 bets increased the profit by just 3.87 to 80.89 points. Again, this would have been a testing time for those without patience.

On the $19^{\text {th }}$ February 2022, after 534 bets - some 56 bets later - the profits had climbed to 110.19 .
A grim run followed with just one winner in 17 , reducing the profit to 97.19 , with an ROI of $17.64 \%$.
By $2^{\text {nd }}$ December 2022, the number of bets had risen to 584 with the profit standing at $104.92,+17.97 \%$.

Another bad run came along with one winner in 21 dragging the profits back to $87.52,+14.47 \%$.
Four winners out of 10 boosted the profit to 93.52 on $25^{\text {th }}$ February 2023 before a losing run of 9 struck reducing the gains to 84.52.

The final balance, after 644 bets on $8^{\text {th }}$ May 2023, is $+86.62,+13.45 \%$.
The worst drawdown was 26.07 points.
The profits peaked at bet number 557. The gains at that stage were 106.72. The following 87 bets made a loss of 20 points. This was similar to the first 55 bets. They lost 18.20 points.

| Results from 1.9.17 to 28.5.23 |  |  |  |  | Mag Odds | Mag Odds | Mag Odds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Five Star Bets | Max Drawdown | Win |  | Win\% | Lose | Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss |
| 644 | -26.07 | 182 |  | $28 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 0 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 5}$ |

Looking at the end profits in isolation gives no proper indication of the journey. In the same way many people will have given up before bet 100, others who joined during the last 100 bets will have similarly thrown in the towel.

Nothing that has occurred has been abnormal - these things happen when betting in the $3.50+$ price range. When things are going well, life feels very good; when they go badly, life feels very difficult. But please, please, please remember we cannot control the short-term.

We have to take these levels of risk if we want to reap greater rewards and that involves coping with the variance that occurs when the win rate is around $28 \%$.

The Five Star bets offer the greatest profit potential but they also come with the greatest short-term risk.
All Five Star bets cases are listed in Appendix 2 on page 80.
Turning to the away Green Light cases - their returns by season:

| Away Green Lights: Away Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $2016-17$ | 296 | 102 | $34 \%$ | 291.26 | -4.74 | -1.60 |
| $2017-18$ | 418 | 162 | $39 \%$ | 464.24 | 46.24 | 11.06 |
| $2018-19$ | 313 | 125 | $40 \%$ | 348.73 | 35.73 | 11.42 |
| $2019-20$ | 225 | 85 | $38 \%$ | 233.93 | 8.93 | 3.97 |
| $2020-21$ | 323 | 115 | $36 \%$ | 323.90 | 0.90 | 0.28 |
| $2021-22$ | 376 | 155 | $41 \%$ | 432.36 | 56.36 | 14.99 |
| $2022-23$ | 256 | 92 | $36 \%$ | 235.55 | -20.45 | -7.99 |
| Total | 2,207 | 836 | $38 \%$ | $2,329.97$ | 122.97 | 5.57 |

Backing every away Green Light has made over 10\% profit in three seasons, made small profits in two seasons (including the 2020-21 Covid season) and made losses in two seasons.

Similar to the Five Star bets, the seasons that did less well were when they found more draws.

| Away Green Lights: Draw Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $2016-17$ | 296 | 91 | $31 \%$ | 316.13 | 20.13 | 6.80 |
| $2017-18$ | 418 | 101 | $24 \%$ | 351.01 | -66.99 | -16.03 |
| $2018-19$ | 313 | 76 | $24 \%$ | 265.80 | -47.20 | -15.08 |
| $2019-20$ | 225 | 64 | $28 \%$ | 223.45 | -1.55 | -0.69 |
| $2020-21$ | 323 | 83 | $26 \%$ | 287.45 | -35.55 | -11.01 |
| $2021-22$ | 376 | 102 | $27 \%$ | 349.58 | -26.42 | -7.03 |
| $2022-23$ | 256 | 73 | $29 \%$ | 257.38 | 1.38 | 0.54 |
| Total | 2,207 | 590 | $27 \%$ | $2,050.80$ | -156.20 | -7.08 |

The two seasons where backing the draw has made money, backing away sides has lost money.
Covering the draw in all away Green Light cases doesn't seem a realistic option as long-term draw losses are considerable - the cost of doing so would be too high.

We know that results don't necessarily revert to their norm over short time frames, so I have linked three seasons worth of results to prove the point they get nearer to their norm eventually:

| Away Green Lights: Away Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasons | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $16-17,17-18,18-19$ | 1,027 | 389 | $38 \%$ | $1,104.73$ | 77.73 | 7.57 |
| $17-18,18-19,19-20$ | 956 | 372 | $39 \%$ | $1,046.90$ | 90.90 | 9.51 |
| $18-19,19-20,20-21$ | 861 | 325 | $38 \%$ | 906.56 | 45.56 | 5.29 |
| $19-20,20-21,21-22$ | 924 | 355 | $38 \%$ | 990.16 | 66.16 | 7.16 |
| $20-21,21-22,22-23$ | 955 | 362 | $38 \%$ | 991.81 | 36.81 | 3.85 |

The reason for the levelling off is that as bet numbers get nearer to 1,000, skill is taking over from luck.
I hope you can see why having a mindset that is firmly entrenched in the long-term is vital.

## CHAPTER 9: BANKROLLS \& STAKING

With no edge, regardless of the staking policy used, we will go broke eventually.
Once we are sure we have an edge, we then have to make sure we invest our money wisely.
There are also two distinct aspects to Bankroll Management and Staking: one is to make sure we have sufficient money to cope with the bad times and the second is to try to turn over our money as efficiently as possible to maximise overall gains.

## Going to the extremes:

If you'd got $£ 100,000$ in your betting pot and staked $£ 1$ per bet, it's probably fair to say you were being too cautious. If you've got $£ 1,000$ in your betting bank and put $£ 100$ on each bet, it's more than likely you are staking too aggressively (unless you are betting at odds shorter than 1.10).

Within the confines of sensible boundaries, the balance that needs to be struck is one of limiting the risk of going broke to a level that is acceptable whilst balancing the needs to take sufficient risk in order to reach your end profit goal.

## Your wealth matters:

Unfortunately, the people who have least are likely to be the ones who feel the need to take the greatest risks. If you've got $£ 200$ to bet with, unless you double it to $£ 400$ relatively quickly you may feel the whole process isn't worth it. You're aiming for a $100 \%$ return on capital (ROC). This initial position of weakness could well prove to be problematical.

With a $£ 5,000$ bank, should you make $£ 1,000$ (five times the $£ 200$ target of the previous bettor) you will only have an ROC of $20 \%$ but you will have boosted your pot by considerably more. Due to the larger starting bank, you won't have to take risks on the same scale as the person who needs to double their bank in a short period of time.

## What works best Level Stakes or Variable Stakes?

Should you put the same amount on each bet (Level Stakes) or aim to win a set amount from each bet (Variable Stakes)?

Level stakes betting is simplest in that no thought or calculation is needed once you've decided how many points you want to split your bank into.

If you've got $£ 1,000$ available, a 100 point bank would mean you'd stake $£ 10$ per bet. Alternatively, if you want to stake $£ 20$ per bet, you would only have a 50 point bank ( $50 \times 20=1,000$ ). A 100 point bank would have less chance of going broke compared to a 50 point bank but the 100 point bank would only win half as much.

The drawback with level stakes is that it is quite difficult to establish a 'safe bank' level as your bankroll will have to deal with a wide range of odds. The longer the odds you bet at, losing runs will be more severe, resulting in greater drawdowns. In essence, a bank for level stakes must be large enough to cope with the risks associated with betting at longer odds. The risks of going broke with a 100 point bank when betting solely at 2.00 are considerably lower than having a 200 point bank when betting at 6.00.

With variable stakes, a calculation is needed. You must decide how much you want to win from each bet and then calculate the stake accordingly. Aiming to win $£ 100$ at odds of 5.00 would require a $£ 25$ stake, odds of 3.00 would need a $£ 50$ stake, whilst odds of 2.00 would be a $£ 100$ bet.

The formula is: Amount to be won divided by decimal odds -1 .
Aim to win $£ 100$ at odds of 3.00 is: $100 /(3.00-1.00)=100 / 2=£ 50$.
By putting a lower amount on the outcomes that win less often, the strains on the bank are more proportionate to the risk. There is a downside to staking this way: putting $£ 25$ on an outcome at 5.00 to win $£ 100$ might fall within your comfort zone but having to stake $£ 200$ on a bet at 1.50 to win the same $£ 100$ might not sit so easily with you; stakes increase quite drastically when betting odds on.

Throughout my football betting life, I've primarily preferred level stakes because most of my bets are usually at odds below 5.00 but I have a slightly different approach these days. My personal preference is to vary stakes when betting odds against, and have a fixed stake when betting odds on. The amount won when betting odds on varies, not the stake. The amount staked when betting odds against varies, not the amount won.

## The Betting Simulator:

Bankrolls and staking is a very difficult subject to fully understand. I cannot do it justice in a guide alone. This is why I've designed several interactive workbooks. They allow you to test the parameters you want to test. This is the best way for me to help you understand risk levels, losing runs, drawdowns and the likelihood of going broke.

In the betting simulator example on the next page, each bet has been selected at random with odds ranging from 1.50 to 6.00 . Each bet has been placed assuming a $5 \%$ profit expectation.

Twenty cycles of 1,000 bets have been simulated with the results for each 1,000 bet cycle shown.


| Win Run: | 8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lose Run: | 24 |
| Drawdown: | -71.35 |

In the top corner of the page, the Low Odds for the simulations have been set at 1.50, the High Odds are set at 6.00 . The simulator selects bets at random within this price range. Expected returns per 100 bets was set at 105 . This means every bet in the simulation will have a $5 \%$ profit expectation.

The returns for 20 individual cycles of 1,000 bets are shown. The best returns (cycle 16) made 106.25 points profit whilst the worst returns (cycle 1) lost 25.43 points.

On four occasions out of 20 , the 1,000 bets made a loss even though $5 \%$ profit was expected. The longest winning run was 8 . The longest losing run was 24 and the biggest drawdown during the 20 cycles was 71.35 points. The worst drawdown for each 1,000 bet iteration is shown in the third column from the right.

The returns from the previous page are listed below in order of best to worst:


On 11 occasions in 1,000 bets, the returns exceeded the $5 \%$ level, twice being double what was expected.
On 5 occasions the returns fell between breaking even and making up to $5 \%$; on 4 occasions they made a loss.
The 20,000 bets in total returned 20,977.75, giving a profit of $4.89 \%$, slightly below the $5 \%$ expectation.
This is just one random sample. When you use the betting simulator, repeat the same simulation a number of times. Each one will show slightly different results, confirming that even 20,000 bets doesn't guarantee luck will average out!

Basically, when your luck is in, you will exceed expectations, with average luck you will match expectations, and when your luck is out you will feel very hard done to!

The simulator is certainly the best tool available to understand how luck influences results. It proves the point that betting with an edge doesn't guarantee you will win, betting with an edge means you will have a greater chance of winning.

One thousand bets with no profits will make betting feel very chore-like but the truth is that you don't have to do anything wrong for it to be a reality. If there's a one chance in five that one thousand bets will make a loss, we have to respect this. It will happen. We can't stop it. We can't hide from it. We can't pretend it happens to others and not us.

This is why perspective is so important. If we're not making money after 200, 300 or 500 bets, we shouldn't panic. This is not a definitive answer as to whether something is working or not.

In such circumstances, we all have a tendency to want to try to fix the problem immediately. The problem is that more often than not, we're reacting to recent coincidences that aren't likely to be a very good predictor of the future - think back to the results shown earlier covering the 2009-10 and 2012-13 seasons.

Our intrinsic desire to be in control makes it incredibly hard to accept losing periods are inevitable. A bankroll provides protection when these things happen.

## How safe is a bankroll?

If we have $£ 1,000$ to play with, how much can we safely stake on each bet?

| Bank $=£ 1,000$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stake | Points |
| 50.00 | 20 |
| 40.00 | 25 |
| 30.00 | 33 |
| 25.00 | 40 |
| 20.00 | 50 |
| 13.33 | 75 |
| 10.00 | 100 |
| 7.50 | 133 |
| 5.00 | 200 |

Should we start with a 20 point bank or a 200 point bank, or something in between?
The betting simulator looks beyond the 20,000 bets listed earlier by going on to look at 100,000 bets. It advises on the bankruptcy risks relative to the odds and starting bank.

In the example below, bets have been selected at random with odds between 3.50 and 5.00. The average odds of each bet were 4.25. The profit expectation was set at 5\%. A 50 point starting bank was chosen.


The entries on the right show there was a $16 \%$ chance a 50 point bank would go broke. There was a $24 \%$ chance you would lose at least three quarters of the bank. You would lose over half the bank $41 \%$ of the time.

The worst drawdown during one of the five 20,000 bet sequences was 110.55 points. Consequently, a starting bank in excess of this would have been ultra safe. The longest losing run was 37 .

The next table shows details relative to the same scenario but with a starting bank of 75 points:

The chances of going broke with a 75 point bank are much lower - coming in at 4\%. The chances of losing $75 \%$ or more is $11 \%$, compared to $24 \%$ with a 50 point bank.

Results of each simulation will vary slightly due to randomness - even over such large samples. On this occasion, the worst drawdown was 119.45 - slightly worse than before. The worst losing run was 29.

Compare that to the risk of starting with a 25 point bank:


The chance of going broke is $45 \%$. This level of risk should be unacceptable to everyone.
Notice how this group made $5.36 \%$ when $5 \%$ was expected. The last group made 4.86\%.
Here is another scenario: all bets are placed at 2.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation and a starting bank of 25 points.


Due to the lower odds, the bankruptcy risk for the 25 point is $7 \%$.

It is also extremely important to keep in mind that if your projected profit levels aren't fulfilled, returns will change drastically. It only takes a small drop off in profits to make a significant difference to the demands put on a bankroll.

Same scenario as last time but I have reduced the profit expectation from $5 \%$ to $3 \%$ :


Enter Starting Bank: 25
With a $2 \%$ drop off in profits, the bankruptcy risk has risen from $7 \%$ to $22 \%$. The worst drawdown has almost doubled from 44 points to 83 points.

Make no mistake, to have a genuine 5\% edge, you have to be incredibly skilful. This is something that is way beyond most bettors but often portrayed as easy by those who don't fully understand the subject.

There are some more examples from the betting simulator in Appendix 1 on page 66.
I would strongly recommend you download the simulator and experiment with it yourself.
Consider this: the majority of bettors have no edge. If they bet on the Betfair Exchange and pay $2 \%$ commission, they will get 98 points back for every 100 staked. Set up the simulator with these parameters to see how an average exchange customer's returns are likely to vary.

## Advice not instructions:

I have never recommended what anyone should stake or how you should manage your money. This is not because I want to sit on the fence. It is because you need a plan that suits you, not me.

My personal choices may be too passive; you may prefer to take greater risks in the hope of greater rewards.
My preferences may be too aggressive taking you to places you would find difficult to deal with.
Because of this, it must be the responsibility of each bettor to work out what is right for them.
I provide the guidance, you make the decisions.
Bankrolls and me: I've never been a bankroll stretcher. Throughout my betting career, I've never reached a point where I've felt financially pained by any losses. The downside to my approach is that I may have left some profits behind by not betting aggressively enough - but I can live with that.

I am sure my longevity in the game and the pleasure I get from betting is in no small part due to the above.
Even though my wisdom has been built up over 40 years, I have no doubt the betting simulators will tell you much more than I ever could.

There are six different Bankroll \& Staking downloads available from the website:

1) The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide To Bankrolls \& Staking: 1000 Bets Level Stakes
2) The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide to Bankrolls \& Staking: 1000 Bets Variable Stakes
3) The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide to Bankrolls \& Staking: 200 Bets Level Stakes
4) The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide to Bankrolls \& Staking: 1000 Bets Book Percentage Stakes
5) The Ultimate Interactive Winabobatoo Guide to Bankroll \& Staking: 1000 Bets - Comparing Stakes
6) Increasing Stakes After A Loser

Click here to download: www.winabobatoo.com/free-downloads.html
Each comes with full instructions and examples. The 200 bet simulator is particularly eye-opening but l'd recommend you check out the 1,000 bet simulators first.

## CHAPTER 10: MAKING MONEY FROM MARKET KNOWLEDGE

## - Price Movements

Betting markets are at their least efficient when they are first formed and at their most efficient by kick-off. As more money seeps into the market, original pricing errors are gradually corrected. Closing odds are much more likely to reflect a team's true chance than early odds.

I cannot overstate the need to try to spot the teams that will 'steam' before they 'steam'. Backing them at the better, earlier odds before the value begins to erode is the key to making the best profits.

By comparing the original magazine odds (best odds from five bookmakers at the time the mag is compiled) with the Pinnacle kick-off odds, a Price Movement rating can be calculated. If a team shortens in price by a large amount, it will have a high Price Movement rating. If it drifts, it will have a negative Price Movement rating.

Price Movement ratings are split into five bands identified by the following colour codes:
Steamer Market Approval No View Drifter Big Drift

A Steamer has a Price Movement (PM) rating of 300 or bigger.
Market Approval cases have a PM rating between 100 and 299.
No View is when the PM is between -99 and +99 .
A Drifter has a PM in the range of -499 to -100 .
Big Drift cases have a Price Movement rating of -500 or worse.
There are two general principles to keep in mind:

1) Teams that shorten by the largest amounts may not shorten enough to have all value removed, and
2) Teams that drift don't normally drift enough for their closing odds to be profitable.

For those who may be interested, the formula I use to calculate a Price Movement rating is:
((100 / Pinnacle Decimal Odds) - (100/Decimal Mag Odds)) multiplied by 100.
Example: Mag Odds are 2.10 and Pinnacle closing odds are 2.02.
This outcome has shortened meaning it will have a positive Price Movement rating.
$((100 / 2.02)-(100 / 2.10)) \times 100=(49.505-47.619) \times 100=1.886 \times 100$ giving a PM rating off 188.60
This team would fall into the Market Approval category.
The next tables show returns based on Magazine Odds, Pinnacle kick-off odds and Best kick-off odds.

## Home Price Movements:

| Home Movements <br> $2016-17$ to 2022-23 | Home <br> Bets | Magazine Odds |  |  |  |  | Pinnacle Kick-off Odds |  |  | Best Kick-off Odds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Homes | H Win\% | Home Rets | Home P/L | Home ROI | Home Rets P | Home P/LP | Home ROI P | Home Rets B | Home P/L B | Home ROI B |
| 500+ | 725 | 388 | 54\% | 832.10 | 107.10 | 14.77 | 715.49 | -9.51 | -1.31 | 746.12 | 21.12 | 2.91 |
| 400 to 499 | 347 | 184 | 53\% | 410.34 | 63.34 | 18.25 | 370.07 | 23.07 | 6.65 | 385.14 | 38.14 | 10.99 |
| 300 to 399 | 530 | 261 | 49\% | 553.02 | 23.02 | 4.34 | 510.64 | -19.36 | -3.65 | 528.38 | -1.62 | -0.31 |
| 200 to 299 | 774 | 336 | 43\% | 746.76 | -27.24 | -3.52 | 703.85 | -70.15 | -9.06 | 728.50 | -45.50 | -5.88 |
| 100 to 199 | 849 | 418 | 49\% | 956.85 | 107.85 | 12.70 | 920.55 | 71.55 | 8.43 | 950.50 | 101.50 | 11.96 |
| 0 to 99 | 1,196 | 537 | 45\% | 1,225.95 | 29.95 | 2.50 | 1,211.13 | 15.13 | 1.27 | 1,247.73 | 51.73 | 4.33 |
| -99 to -1 | 957 | 378 | 39\% | 913.63 | -43.37 | -4.53 | 925.89 | -31.11 | -3.25 | 953.11 | -3.89 | -0.41 |
| -199 to -100 | 1,138 | 424 | 37\% | 990.89 | -147.11 | -12.93 | 1,033.02 | -104.98 | -9.22 | 1,056.43 | -81.57 | -7.17 |
| -299 to -200 | 902 | 347 | 38\% | 812.41 | -89.59 | -9.93 | 870.01 | -31.99 | -3.55 | 888.99 | -13.01 | -1.44 |
| -399 to -300 | 714 | 281 | 39\% | 655.36 | -58.64 | -8.21 | 721.26 | 7.26 | 1.02 | 737.64 | 23.64 | 3.31 |
| -499 to -400 | 471 | 175 | 37\% | 396.65 | -74.35 | -15.79 | 449.34 | -21.66 | -4.60 | 459.17 | -11.83 | -2.51 |
| -500 or Lower | 890 | 309 | 35\% | 648.79 | -241.21 | -27.10 | 773.28 | -116.72 | -13.11 | 786.06 | -103.94 | -11.68 |
| Grand Total | 9,493 | 4,038 | 43\% | 9,142.75 | -350.25 | -3.69 | 9,204.53 | -288.47 | -3.04 | 9,467.77 | -25.23 | -0.27 |

Backing teams in the top three rows - the steamers - at mag odds made significant profits.
The Pinnacle kick-off odds have removed that profit whilst Best kick-off odds had some profit left.
Backing home teams at mag odds that drifted in price - bottom five rows - made large losses. These losses remained at both Pinnacle closing odds and at Best closing odds.

The -500 or lower cases lost $27.10 \%$ at mag odds, $13.11 \%$ at Pinnacle prices and $11.68 \%$ at best kick-off odds, confirming how teams that drift most don't get near to breaking even at closing prices.

## Away Price Movements:

| Away Movements 2016-17 to 2022-23 | Away Bets | Magazine Odds |  |  |  |  | Pinnacle Kick-off Odds |  |  | Best Kick-off Odds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aways | A Win\% | Away Rets | Away P/L | Away ROI | Away Rets P | Away P/L P | Away ROI P | Away Rets B | Away P/L B | Away ROI B |
| 500+ | 652 | 287 | 44\% | 761.40 | 109.40 | 16.78 | 626.45 | -25.55 | -3.92 | 668.51 | 16.51 | 2.53 |
| 400 to 499 | 352 | 148 | 42\% | 417.43 | 65.43 | 18.59 | 366.16 | 14.16 | 4.02 | 381.99 | 29.99 | 8.52 |
| 300 to 399 | 549 | 215 | 39\% | 651.11 | 102.11 | 18.60 | 580.35 | 31.35 | 5.71 | 608.97 | 59.97 | 10.92 |
| 200 to 299 | 818 | 266 | 33\% | 752.54 | -65.46 | -8.00 | 695.22 | -122.78 | -15.01 | 722.49 | -95.51 | -11.68 |
| 100 to 199 | 1,044 | 335 | 32\% | 1,060.58 | 16.58 | 1.59 | 1,003.37 | -40.63 | -3.89 | 1,035.08 | -8.92 | -0.85 |
| 0 to 99 | 1,303 | 400 | 31\% | 1,200.82 | -102.18 | -7.84 | 1,181.67 | -121.33 | -9.31 | 1,218.30 | -84.70 | -6.50 |
| -99 to -1 | 1,234 | 324 | 26\% | 1,062.98 | -171.02 | -13.86 | 1,089.78 | -144.22 | -11.69 | 1,124.14 | -109.86 | -8.90 |
| -199 to -100 | 1,089 | 305 | 28\% | 962.38 | -126.62 | -11.63 | 1,018.11 | -70.89 | -6.51 | 1,045.08 | -43.92 | -4.03 |
| -299 to -200 | 865 | 258 | 30\% | 803.67 | -61.33 | -7.09 | 881.09 | 16.09 | 1.86 | 899.83 | 34.83 | 4.03 |
| -399 to -300 | 612 | 166 | 27\% | 499.93 | -112.07 | -18.31 | 566.80 | -45.20 | -7.39 | 581.50 | -30.50 | -4.98 |
| -499 to -400 | 400 | 123 | 31\% | 351.35 | -48.65 | -12.16 | 411.99 | 11.99 | 3.00 | 419.46 | 19.46 | 4.87 |
| -500 or Lower | 575 | 160 | 28\% | 405.16 | -169.84 | -29.54 | 507.34 | -67.66 | -11.77 | 511.95 | -63.05 | -10.97 |
| Grand Total | 9,493 | 2,987 | 31\% | 8,929.35 | -563.65 | -5.94 | 8,928.33 | -564.67 | -5.95 | 9,217.30 | -275.70 | -2.90 |

Similar to the homes, backing away steamers in the top three rows made significant profits.
The Pinnacle kick-off odds in those rows made a small profit whilst Best kick-off odds performed somewhat better.
The five rows of drifters lost money at magazine odds with the -500 or lower cases losing $169.84,-29.54 \%$. They lost $11.77 \%$ at Pinnacle odds and $10.97 \%$ at Best closing odds.

## All Steamers:

| All Steamers | All | Magazine Odds |  |  |  |  | Pinnacle Kick-off Odds |  |  | Best Kick-off Odds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 to 2022-23 | Bets | Homes | H Win\% | Home Rets | Home P/L | Home ROI | Home Rets P | Home P/L P | Home ROI P | Home Rets B | Home P/L B | Home ROI B |
| 500+ | 1,377 | 675 | 49\% | 1,593.50 | 216.50 | 15.72 | 1,342 | -35.06 | -2.55 | 1,414.63 | 37.63 | 2.73 |
| 400 to 499 | 699 | 332 | 47\% | 827.77 | 128.77 | 18.42 | 736 | 37.23 | 5.33 | 767.13 | 68.13 | 9.75 |
| 300 to 399 | 1,079 | 476 | 44\% | 1,204.13 | 125.13 | 11.60 | 1,091 | 11.99 | 1.11 | 1,137.35 | 58.35 | 5.41 |
| Grand Total | 3,155 | 1,483 | 47\% | 3,625.40 | 470.40 | 14.91 | 3,169.16 | 14.16 | 0.45 | 3,319.11 | 164.11 | 5.20 |

This table verifies that early pricing errors exist and that market efficiency erodes profits over time. There are no profits left at Pinnacle closing odds and $5 \%$ profit left at Best kick-off odds - around one third of what was available initially.

Condensing all home and away results into the five Price Movement categories:

| Steamer Market Approval No View Drifter Big Drift |
| :--- | :--- |


| All Movements | All | Magazine Odds |  |  |  |  | Pinnacle Kick-off Odds |  |  | Best Kick-off Odds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 to 2022-23 | Bets | Homes | H Win\% | Home Rets | Home P/L | Home ROI | Home Rets P | Home P/L P | Home ROI P | Home Rets B | Home P/L B | Home ROI B |
| 300+ | 3,155 | 1,483 | 47\% | 3,625.40 | 470.40 | 14.91 | 3,169.16 | 14.16 | 0.45 | 3,319.11 | 164.11 | 5.20 |
| 100 to 299 | 3,485 | 1,355 | 39\% | 3,516.73 | 31.73 | 0.91 | 3,322.99 | -162.01 | -4.65 | 3,436.57 | -48.43 | -1.39 |
| -99 to +99 | 4,690 | 1,639 | 35\% | 4,403.38 | -286.62 | -6.11 | 4,408.47 | -281.53 | -6.00 | 4,543.28 | -146.72 | -3.13 |
| -400 to -100 | 6,191 | 2,079 | 34\% | 5,472.64 | -718.36 | -11.60 | 5,951.62 | -239.38 | -3.87 | 6,088.10 | -102.90 | -1.66 |
| -500 or Lower | 1,465 | 469 | 32\% | 1,053.95 | -411.05 | -28.06 | 1,280.62 | -184.38 | -12.59 | 1,298.01 | -166.99 | -11.40 |
| Grand Total | 18,986 | 7,025 | 37\% | 18,072.10 | -913.90 | -4.81 | 18,132.86 | -853.14 | -4.49 | 18,685.07 | -300.93 | -1.59 |

Understanding how markets work gives you options just before kick-off. You may want to back the Steamers at the best available later odds, lay the Big Drifters or get out of a position that looks unfavourable.

Whichever way we look at it, getting on the Steamers before they steam should be a priority for every bettor.

## Market Impact Data:

When a magazine is published, we don't know whether a team is likely to be a Steamer or Big Drifter (apart perhaps from the clues given by the ratings) so in each magazine, on the Market Impact Data page, the odds a team would need to move to in order to fall into Steamer, Market Approval, Drifter and Big Drift categories are listed.


By monitoring the Pinnacle prices, you can establish a team's status.
Morton and Partick became Steamers. Their Pinnacle kick-off odds were 1.80 and 1.35. To be classified as a Steamer, their respective odds needed to drop to 1.89 and 1.54 . Their best kick-off odds were 1.86 and 1.47.

The Big Drifters were Cove and Raith. Cove's Big Drift price was 3.88. Their Pinnacle closing odds were 4.17. Raith needed to drift to 6.23 . Their Pinnacle odds were 8.87 .

## Match Reviews:

Another handy magazine feature is the Match Reviews page. It shows the results from the previous round of games and lists the Price Movements for those matches. You can see whether the teams you backed became Steamers or Big Drifters, or something in between. The aim being to find more Steamers than Drifters.

|  |  | MATCH REVIEWS OF RECENTLY PLAYED GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Shot } \\ \text { Res } \end{array}$ | Price Movement Details |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Home | Home |  |  |  |  | Away | Away |  |  | Home | Home | Draw | Away | Away |
| Date | Div | Shots | Shots\% | Home Team |  |  | Away Team | Shots\% | Shots | Res |  | Move | Signal | Move | Move | Signal |
| 05/05/2023 | S Ch | 17 | 68 | Arbroath | 0 | 0 | Hamilton | 32 | 8 | 3 | 9 | -227 | Drift Alert | 135 | -157 | Drift Alert |
| 05/05/2023 | S Ch | 9 | 60 | Cove Rangers | 1 | 2 | Morton | 40 | 6 | 2 | 3 | -679 | Big Drift | -339 | 555 | Steamer |
| 05/05/2023 | S Ch | 8 | 44 | Inverness C | 1 | 2 | Ayr | 56 | 10 | 2 | -2 | -234 | Drift Alert | -18 | -228 | Drift Alert |
| 05/05/2023 | S Ch | 7 | 29 | Queens Park | 3 | 5 | Dundee | 71 | 17 | 2 | -10 | 38 | NoView | -55 | -239 | Drift Alert |
| 05/05/2023 | S Ch | 3 | 19 | Raith Rvs | 2 | 2 | Partick | 81 | 13 | 3 | -10 | -978 | Big Drift | -611 | 1234 | Steamer |

Shots at Goal details for each match are also included. If you've backed a team that has bossed the shots but not won the match, this may be indicative that you were in the right place but got the wrong outcome this time.

Partick may have fallen into this category as they had 13 shots at goal to Raith's 3 shots. I doubt Raith will regularly score two goals when they only have three shots at goal!

## - Closing Odds Value Bets

As closing odds are the best guide to a team's true chance, we can use this knowledge to our advantage. All evidence, such as team selections, suspensions, etc, will have been factored in at this point; Wisdom of Crowds has had its say.

If we take Pinnacle kick-off prices and remove the over-round, we can calculate a $100 \%$ book.
If the best odds available from another bookmaker are greater than the newly calculated home, draw, or away price we have, in theory, a 'value price'.

## Here's an example:

| Walsall v Doncaster: 8th May 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pinnacle Kick-off Odds |  | Pin $100 \%$ Book |  |  | Best Kick-off Odds |  |  | Expected Value \% |  |  |  |
| H | D | A | H | D | A | H | D | A | H | D | A |
| 1.69 | 3.87 | 4.98 | 1.74 | 4.14 | 5.44 | 1.88 | 3.95 | 5.00 | 8.05 | -4.64 | -8.08 |

The Pinnacle closing odds are on the left. After removing the over-round, the $100 \%$ book prices according to Pinnacle are 1.74 for the home win, 4.14 for the draw and 5.44 for the away win.

Based on these numbers, the best kick-off odds for the home win are over-priced (1.88 compared to 1.74); the draw odds are under-priced ( 3.95 compared to 4.14 ) and the away odds are under-priced ( 5.00 compared to 5.44 ).

This means the expected value from backing Walsall at best kick-off odds is $8.05 \%$, with losses expected from backing the draw or a Doncaster win.

The next table shows the returns relative to expectation for all home bets since 2016-17:

| Homes | Best Kick-off Returns |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 1,065 | 429 | $40 \%$ | $1,094.71$ | 29.71 | 2.79 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 545 | 257 | $47 \%$ | 582.23 | 37.23 | 6.83 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 411 | 196 | $48 \%$ | 429.31 | 18.31 | 4.45 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 481 | 224 | $47 \%$ | 481.56 | 0.56 | 0.12 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 589 | 292 | $50 \%$ | 592.77 | 3.77 | 0.64 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 709 | 348 | $49 \%$ | 734.27 | 25.27 | 3.56 |
| Total | 3,800 | 1,746 | $46 \%$ | $3,914.85$ | 114.85 | 3.02 |

Backing all home teams with 'any value' has made 3.02\%. It is noticeable that the top three rows have done best.
Away returns relative to expected value:

| Aways | Best Kick-off Returns |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 1,102 | 338 | $31 \%$ | $1,127.55$ | 25.55 | 2.32 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 448 | 168 | $38 \%$ | 459.76 | 11.76 | 2.62 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 311 | 121 | $39 \%$ | 341.03 | 30.03 | 9.66 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 378 | 135 | $36 \%$ | 379.84 | 1.84 | 0.49 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 444 | 161 | $36 \%$ | 401.94 | -42.06 | -9.47 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 524 | 187 | $36 \%$ | 518.05 | -5.95 | -1.14 |
| Total | 3,207 | 1,110 | $35 \%$ | $3,228.17$ | 21.17 | 0.66 |

Backing all away teams with 'any value' has only made a small profit but it is again noticeable that the top three rows have the best returns.

Putting homes and aways into one table:

| Homes\&Aways | Best Kick-off Returns |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 2,167 | 767 | $35 \%$ | $2,222.26$ | 55.26 | 2.55 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 993 | 425 | $43 \%$ | $1,041.99$ | 48.99 | 4.93 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 722 | 317 | $44 \%$ | 770.34 | 48.34 | 6.70 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 859 | 359 | $42 \%$ | 861.40 | 2.40 | 0.28 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 1,033 | 453 | $44 \%$ | 994.71 | -38.29 | -3.71 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 1,233 | 535 | $43 \%$ | $1,252.32$ | 19.32 | 1.57 |
| Total | 7,007 | 2,856 | $41 \%$ | $7,143.02$ | 136.02 | 1.94 |

Cases with $1.50 \%+$ expected value:

| Homes\&Aways | Best Kick-off Returns |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ Value + | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 3,269 | 1,105 | $34 \%$ | $3,349.81$ | 80.81 | 2.47 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 1,441 | 593 | $41 \%$ | $1,501.75$ | 60.75 | 4.22 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 1,033 | 438 | $42 \%$ | $1,111.37$ | 78.37 | 7.59 |
| Total | 5,743 | 2,136 | $37 \%$ | $5,962.93$ | 219.93 | 3.83 |

The actual results have confirmed the theory. It is possible to use Pinnacle closing odds to identify value elsewhere.
However, the results so far haven't factored in any ratings' data. The next analysis shows exactly how powerful the Predictor rating actually is.

I will repeat the returns shown previously but split them into two groups: positive and negative Predictor ratings.
Home returns relative to expectation when the Predictor rating for the match is between zero and 20:

| Homes | Best Kick-off Returns: Positive Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 428 | 223 | $52 \%$ | 446.34 | 18.34 | 4.29 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 299 | 168 | $56 \%$ | 324.54 | 25.54 | 8.54 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 230 | 132 | $57 \%$ | 242.86 | 12.86 | 5.59 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 308 | 169 | $55 \%$ | 314.37 | 6.37 | 2.07 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 375 | 219 | $58 \%$ | 391.42 | 16.42 | 4.38 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 449 | 254 | $57 \%$ | 477.93 | 28.93 | 6.44 |
| Total | 2,089 | 1,165 | $56 \%$ | $2,197.46$ | 108.46 | 5.19 |

The home returns are considerably better now and exceed expectation in every row.

Home returns when the Predictor rating is negative:

| Homes | Best Kick-off Returns: Negative Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 637 | 206 | $32 \%$ | 648.37 | 11.37 | 1.78 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 246 | 89 | $36 \%$ | 257.69 | 11.69 | 4.75 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 181 | 64 | $35 \%$ | 186.45 | 5.45 | 3.01 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 173 | 55 | $32 \%$ | 167.19 | -5.81 | -3.36 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 214 | 72 | $34 \%$ | 196.00 | -18.00 | -8.41 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 260 | 94 | $36 \%$ | 256.34 | -3.66 | -1.41 |
| Total | 1,711 | 580 | $34 \%$ | $1,712.04$ | 1.04 | 0.06 |

The returns have stood up reasonably well in the $1.50 \%$ + groups but the bottom three rows have made a loss.
Turning to the away returns when the Predictor rating is negative:

| Aways | Best Kick-off Returns: Negative Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 485 | 209 | $43 \%$ | 545.21 | 60.21 | 12.41 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 261 | 123 | $47 \%$ | 292.99 | 31.99 | 12.26 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 190 | 87 | $46 \%$ | 213.60 | 23.60 | 12.42 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 231 | 97 | $42 \%$ | 237.87 | 6.87 | 2.97 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 290 | 131 | $45 \%$ | 300.14 | 10.14 | 3.50 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 324 | 133 | $41 \%$ | 308.42 | -15.58 | -4.81 |
| Total | 1,781 | 780 | $44 \%$ | $1,898.23$ | 117.23 | 6.58 |

Apart from the bottom row, all other groups are in profit - with the $1.50 \%$ + categories doing especially well.
Away returns when the Predictor rating is positive:

| Aways | Best Kick-off Returns: Positive Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 617 | 128 | $21 \%$ | 578.79 | -38.21 | -6.19 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 187 | 45 | $24 \%$ | 166.77 | -20.23 | -10.82 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 121 | 34 | $28 \%$ | 127.43 | 6.43 | 5.31 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 147 | 38 | $26 \%$ | 141.97 | -5.03 | -3.42 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 154 | 30 | $19 \%$ | 101.80 | -52.20 | -33.90 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 200 | 54 | $27 \%$ | 209.63 | 9.63 | 4.82 |
| Total | 1,426 | 329 | $23 \%$ | $1,326.39$ | -99.61 | -6.99 |

This group has done poorly - suggesting the 'expected value' was probably 'false value'.

The final tables show home and away returns combined when 'favoured' or 'not favoured' by the Predictor rating:

| Homes\&Aways | Best Kick-off Returns: Favourable Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 913 | 432 | $47 \%$ | 991.55 | 78.55 | 8.60 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 560 | 291 | $52 \%$ | 617.53 | 57.53 | 10.27 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 420 | 219 | $52 \%$ | 456.46 | 36.46 | 8.68 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 539 | 266 | $49 \%$ | 552.24 | 13.24 | 2.46 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 665 | 350 | $53 \%$ | 691.56 | 26.56 | 3.99 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 773 | 387 | $50 \%$ | 786.35 | 13.35 | 1.73 |
| Total | 3,870 | 1,945 | $50 \%$ | $4,095.69$ | 225.69 | 5.83 |

The benefits to limiting 'expected value' bets to cases where the Predictor rating is siding with the team is clear to see. The $1.50 \%+$ cases have been especially fruitful although every row has exceeded expectation.

| Homes\&Aways | Best Kick-off Returns: Unfavoured Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 1,254 | 334 | $27 \%$ | $1,227.16$ | -26.84 | -2.14 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 433 | 134 | $31 \%$ | 424.46 | -8.54 | -1.97 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 302 | 98 | $32 \%$ | 313.88 | 11.88 | 3.93 |
| 1.00 to 1.49 | 320 | 93 | $29 \%$ | 309.16 | -10.84 | -3.39 |
| 0.50 to 0.99 | 368 | 102 | $28 \%$ | 297.80 | -70.20 | -19.08 |
| 0.01 to 0.49 | 460 | 148 | $32 \%$ | 465.97 | 5.97 | 1.30 |
| Total | 3,137 | 909 | $29 \%$ | $3,038.43$ | -98.57 | -3.14 |

Covering 3,137 outcomes, the unfavoured teams have not made a profit.
To facilitate the calculations needed to identify value levels for this method you need to refer to the 'Value Calc' page of the magazine. You will need to download the Excel version from the website as the pdf version cannot do the calculations.

By inputting the Pinnacle odds into the Excel version of the magazine for the three match outcomes, the various levels of 'value prices' will appear.

An example of the Value Calculations is provided on the next page - shown on the ValueCalc tab in the Excel.

## winabobat 00 - winabobatoo - winabobatoo - winabobat00 - winabobat 00 - winabobatoo - winabobatoo

Value Calculations: Download the Excel version of the magazine from the website to use this feature.


|  |  |  | Enter Pinnacle Odds Below |  |  | Pinnacle's TRUE ODDS |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Home } \\ \text { Light } \end{array}$ | Mag <br> Home <br> Odds | Home Value Odds |  |  |  |  |  |  | Predictor Rating | Away Value Odds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mag } \\ \text { Away } \\ \text { Odds } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Away } \\ & \text { Light } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mag } \\ \text { Draw } \\ \text { Odds } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Date | Div | Pin H | Pin D | Pin A | Home | Draw | Away |  |  | 0.5\% | 1\% | 1.5\% | 2\% | 3\% |  | Home Team |  | Away Team | Res |  | 0.5\% | 1\% | 1.5\% | 2\% | 3\% |  |  |  |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 4.51 | 3.69 | 1.84 | 4.77 | 3.86 | 1.88 | Amber | 5.50 | 4.79 | 4.82 | 4.84 | 4.87 | 4.91 |  | Morecambe | -8 | Bolton | 3 |  | 1.89 | 1.90 | 1.91 | 1.92 | 1.94 | 1.73 | Amber | 3.75 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 2.47 | 3.13 | 3.23 | 2.54 | 3.24 | 3.35 | Amber | 2.25 | 2.55 | 2.57 | 2.58 | 2.59 | 2.62 |  | Accrington | 3 | Forest Green | 1 | - | 3.37 | 3.39 | 3.40 | 3.42 | 3.45 | 3.30 | Red | 3.30 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 4.03 | 3.54 | 1.98 | 4.23 | 3.70 | 2.03 | Red | 3.50 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.30 | 4.32 | 4.36 | , | Bristol Rvs | -16 | Barnsley | 3 | - | 2.04 | 2.05 | 2.06 | 2.07 | 2.09 | 2.15 | Amber | 3.60 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 3.71 | 3.37 | 2.14 | 3.87 | 3.50 | 2.19 | Red | 3.60 | 3.89 | 3.91 | 3.93 | 3.95 | 3.99 | - | Cambridge | -17 | Portsmouth | 2 | - | 2.20 | 2.21 | 2.23 | 2.24 | 2.26 | 2.10 | Green | 3.40 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 2.65 | 3.00 | 3.10 | 2.73 | 3.10 | 3.21 | Amber | 2.55 | 2.74 | 2.76 | 2.77 | 2.78 | 2.81 | - | Cheltenham | 6 | Fleetwood Town | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 3.23 | 3.24 | 3.26 | 3.27 | 3.31 | 3.00 | Red | 3.20 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.58 | 3.90 | 6.56 | 1.62 | 4.12 | 7.22 | Amber | 1.67 | 1.62 | 1.63 | 1.64 | 1.65 | 1.66 | O | Derby | 17 | Shrewsbury | 3 | - | 7.26 | 7.29 | 7.33 | 7.36 | 7.44 | 5.50 | Red | 3.80 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.29 | 5.84 | 10.79 | 1.31 | 6.32 | 12.56 | Green | 1.33 | 1.32 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.34 | 1.35 | $\bigcirc$ | Ipswich | 20 | Burton | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 12.62 | 12.68 | 12.74 | 12.81 | 12.93 | 10.00 | Red | 5.50 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 2.74 | 3.15 | 2.85 | 2.83 | 3.26 | 2.94 | Red | 2.70 | 2.84 | 2.85 | 2.87 | 2.88 | 2.91 | - | Lincoln | -10 | Oxford | 1 | , | 2.96 | 2.97 | 2.99 | 3.00 | 3.03 | 2.80 | Red | 3.20 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.88 | 3.97 | 3.96 | 1.92 | 4.17 | 4.16 | Amber | 1.91 | 1.93 | 1.94 | 1.95 | 1.96 | 1.98 | $\bigcirc$ | Plymouth | 17 | Charlton | 1 | - | 4.18 | 4.20 | 4.22 | 4.24 | 4.28 | 3.80 | Amber | 3.60 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.93 | 3.37 | 4.50 | 1.98 | 3.52 | 4.77 | Amber | 2.05 | 1.99 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 2.02 | 2.04 | - | Port Vale | 17 | Milton Keynes Dc | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 4.79 | 4.81 | 4.84 | 4.86 | 4.91 | 4.00 | Red | 3.40 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.80 | 3.68 | 4.80 | 1.84 | 3.85 | 5.09 | Amber | 1.80 | 1.85 | 1.86 | 1.87 | 1.88 | 1.89 | $\bigcirc$ | Sheffield Weds | 13 | Peterboro | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 5.12 | 5.14 | 5.17 | 5.19 | 5.24 | 4.75 | Red | 3.75 |
| Sat | 4 Mar 23 | L1 | 1.94 | 3.59 | 4.13 | 1.99 | 3.75 | 4.35 | Red | 2.05 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 2.02 | 2.03 | 2.05 | - | Wycombe | -6 | Exeter | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 4.37 | 4.39 | 4.41 | 4.43 | 4.48 | 3.75 | Green | 3.50 |

The teams that are favoured by the Predictor rating are automatically highlighted in green.
The Pinnacle kick-off odds have been entered in the Pin H, Pin D and Pin A columns on the left.
The best away odds available for Bolton were 1.87. This falls short of the $0.5 \%$ value price of 1.89 .
The best home odds for Accrington were 2.60. This price exceeds the $2 \%$ value figure of 2.59 . The price falls slightly short of the $3 \%$ value figure of 2.62 .
Barnsley's best odds were 1.98. The away value shows the price needs to be 2.04 to reach the $0.5 \%$ profit level.
Portsmouth's best odds were 2.20 . They just reached the $0.5 \%$ threshold.
Cheltenham's best odds were 2.65 . They came up short of the 2.74 required for $0.5 \%$ value.
The other 'best odds' were: Derby 1.60, Ipswich 1.32, Oxford 2.90, Plymouth 1.92, Port Vale 2.04, Sheffield Weds 1.83 and Exeter 4.20.

Of course, the weakness to this method is that to fully utilise it you will need accounts with all bookmakers listed on OddsPortal. If you haven't, you will still be able to apply the theory to the accounts you do hold but bet numbers will be lower and you might not always be able to get on at the very best price.

Utilising market knowledge alongside the Winabobatoo ratings is an extremely good way to add an extra layer of validation to your bet selection process.

It will take longer to do this than following the 'off the shelf' methods but the time invested could be well spent.

| Homes\&Aways | Best Kick-off Returns: Favourable Predictor ratings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Value | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| $3.00 \%+$ | 1,398 | 641 | $46 \%$ | $1,536.76$ | 138.76 | 9.93 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 821 | 414 | $50 \%$ | 910.52 | 89.52 | 10.90 |
| 1.50 to 1.99 | 610 | 306 | $50 \%$ | 670.06 | 60.06 | 9.85 |
| Total | 2,829 | 1,361 | $48 \%$ | $3,117.34$ | 288.34 | 10.19 |

## CHAPTER 11: HOW TO ANALYSE MATCHES

The best way to analyse matches is to look at them in order of highest rated (most likely home wins) to lowest rated (most likely away wins). I will take you through the 34 matches played between $28^{\text {th }}$ December and $31^{\text {st }}$ December 2022.

Please note: The Spy rating was not included in the original magazine data.


I won't spoil your fun by showing the results at this stage! The results for this group of games are on the next page.
Plymouth topped the list with a +19 Predictor rating at home to Wycombe.
Home teams with positive ratings, +2 Enigma ratings and odds of 2.00 or better have the following record:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 657 | 293 | $45 \%$ | 708.39 | 51.39 | 7.82 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 2.47 | 2.42 |

The bookie Spy rating is the only one to favour Wycombe but in the circumstances, Plymouth look like the team to be on.

Rangers (+18) at home to Motherwell are a very short price but look hard to oppose.
Barnsley (+18) have all ratings in their favour against Fleetwood, including a +2 Enigma.
Predictor ratings between 15 and 20 when the home odds are between 1.70 and 1.89:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 194 | 117 | $60 \%$ | 208.18 | 14.18 | 7.31 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 35 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 1.78 | 1.78 |

Dundee United (+15) look strong. They have similar credentials to Plymouth. The Spy rating is also on the side of the home team.

Derby (+15) look slightly less attractive at odds of 1.53 but still appear to have the upper hand against Cambridge.
Teams with Form ratings of 9 or 10 with odds between 1.50 and 1.69 have the following record:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 262 | 171 | $65 \%$ | 269.74 | 7.74 | 2.95 | 4 | 35 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1.58 | 1.58 |

All five teams have appeal with three having +2 Enigma ratings to accompany their good Predictor ratings.

## RESULTS:



It proved to be a clean sweep for the five home sides. Cumulatively, they scored 10 goals to their opponents' one.
Moving down the ratings to the next group of matches:


Sheffield Weds (+13) have quite a lot going for them against Port Vale.
The evidence for home teams with odds between 1.70 and 1.89 with ratings between 10 and 14 isn't as compelling as the $15+$ group we looked at earlier:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm $\%$ | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 250 | 141 | $56 \%$ | 251.27 | 1.27 | 0.51 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 1.78 | 1.78 |

My team, Doncaster (+13) had a torrid season, eventually sacking their manager at the end of it, looked the most likely winners against Rochdale although the Spy rating wasn't convinced.

Stirling (+10) are strongly favoured by the Command rating (+9) but the Form rating isn't as enthusiastic about their chance at home to Stenhousemuir.

Home teams with +9 or +10 Command ratings and odds between 1.70 and 1.89:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 136 | 78 | $57 \%$ | 138.95 | 2.95 | 2.17 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 1.78 | 1.78 |

The Enigma rating in all three of these games is +1 , slightly favouring the home teams.
We'll look at three more matches before we check the results.


The next three games have the home sides priced odds against.
Shrewsbury (+10) v Cheltenham sees all ratings pointing towards Shrewsbury.
Oxford (+9) v Charlton gives Oxford a +10 Command rating with the Form rating slightly favouring Charlton.
Home teams with odds of $2.00+$ with a Command rating of 9 or 10:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm $\%$ | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 106 | 52 | $49 \%$ | 114.67 | 8.67 | 8.18 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 2.24 | 2.21 |

Grimsby (+9) v Salford sees the boot slightly on the other foot as the Command rating is low with the Form rating +8 . The Enigma and Spy ratings are both +2 in favour of Grimsby.

Results for Enigma +2 , Spy +2 , Form rating $8+$ and home odds of 1.90 or better:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 180 | 93 | $52 \%$ | 208.12 | 28.12 | 15.62 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ |

As a point of reference, the table below shows how home win rates decline as the Predictor rating drops:

| Predictor | Bets | Homes | H Win\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ to 20 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 9}$ | 670 | $64 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 | $\mathbf{9 8 1}$ | 537 | $55 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 5}$ | 533 | $48 \%$ |
| 0 to 4 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 0}$ | 729 | $48 \%$ |
| -4 to -1 | $\mathbf{9 9 6}$ | 387 | $39 \%$ |
| -9 to -5 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 9}$ | 471 | $38 \%$ |
| -14 to -10 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 9}$ | 377 | $32 \%$ |
| -20 to -15 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0}$ | 323 | $23 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{9 , 4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ |

The results for the last six matches are at the top of the next page.

## RESULTS:



Four of the six home teams won. Wins for Cheltenham and Salford went against the ratings.
The next group of fixtures are the last ones with positive ratings:


Stevenage ( +8 ) v Crawley is another case where the Command and Form ratings disagree. Stevenage have weighed in with a Command rating of 10 whilst the Form rating slightly favours Crawley.

Queen of Sth (+7) are a similarly short price at home to Clyde. The Spy rating of +2 is siding with Queen of Sth.
Home teams with ratings between 5 and 9 and home odds between 1.50 and 1.69 have done quite well:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 119 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $66 \%$ | 124.60 | 5.60 | 4.71 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 1.59 | 1.60 |

Barrow ( +7 ) v Tranmere gives the edge to the home side.
Peterboro (+5) v MK Dons sees Peterboro likely to dominate the game with a +10 Command rating. Their current form isn't quite as compelling. Will they be good enough in this match?

Bradford (+5) v Harrogate shows the ratings mainly favouring Bradford.
Sutton (+0) v Gillingham has mixed ratings with the Enigma and the Spy rating both siding with Gillingham.
The results are at the top of the next page.

## RESULTS:

| Winabobatoo: The Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ratings Info |  |  |  |  | Ratings in order: Highest to Lowest |  |  |  |  | 000$\omega$$\vdots$00$n$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Home | Home |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { O } \\ \text { I } \\ \text { E } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 릉 |  |  |  | way |  |  |  |
| Day | Date | Div | Light | Odds |  | Home Team |  |  |  |  |  |  | Te |  | Res | Odds | Light |  |  |
| Fri | 30 Dec 22 | L2 | Amber | 1.53 | - | Stevenage | 3 | 8 | 10 | -2 | -1 | -2 | Crawley Town | 1 | 1 | 6.50 | Amber |  | 4.00 |
| Sat | 31 Dec 22 | SL1 | Amber | 1.53 | ) | Queen of Sth | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 2 | Clyde | 0 | 1 | 5.50 | Amber |  | 4.00 |
| Thu | 29 Dec 22 | L2 | Amber | 2.30 | , | Barrow | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | -1 | Tranmere | 2 | 2 | 3.60 | Amber |  | 3.10 |
| Thu | 29 Dec 22 | L1 | Amber | 1.83 | $\bigcirc$ | Peterboro | 2 | 5 | 10 | -5 | -1 | 1 | Milton Keynes DC | 0 | 1 | 4.50 | Amber |  | 3.60 |
| Thu | 29 Dec 22 | L2 | Amber | 1.80 | , | Bradford | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | -1 | 1 | Harrogate | 0 | 1 | 4.75 | Amber |  | 3.60 |
| Thu | 29 Dec 22 | L2 | Green | 1.95 | $\bigcirc$ | Sutton | 2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | Gillingham | 1 | 1 | 5.00 | Red |  | 3.20 |

Five of the six home sides won. Only Tranmere managed to beat the ratings with their win at Barrow.
We'll now look at the matches where the Predictor rating favours the away sides. Starting from the bottom and working up, Hibernian v Celtic is first.


There are six games that fall in the Predictor range of -20 to -15 .
Celtic (-20) are 1.40 to win at Hibernian. They score the maximum -10 for both Command and Form and have a -2 Enigma rating. The Spy rating is the only dissenter.

Away teams with odds below 1.50 aren't easy to profit from. Those with -20 ratings and an Enigma -2 rating:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 107 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $7 \%$ | 69.50 | -37.50 | -35.05 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 3}$ |
| Draws Mag | 107 | 19 | $18 \%$ | 106.15 | -0.85 | -0.79 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 5.71 | 5.59 |
| Aways Mag | 107 | 81 | $76 \%$ | 105.45 | -1.55 | -1.45 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ |

Very few home teams have won but the draw has stopped the away sides from getting into profit.
Livingston v St Mirren (-17) sees all roads pointing to St Mirren with the exception of the Spy rating.

Results when the Predictor rating is -10 or lower and the Enigma rating is -2 :

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 1,503 | 406 | $27 \%$ | $1,220.60$ | -282.40 | -18.79 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ | 3.01 |
| Draws Mag | 1,503 | 407 | $27 \%$ | $1,455.02$ | -47.98 | -3.19 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 3.67 | 3.57 |
| Aways Mag | 1,503 | 690 | $46 \%$ | $1,582.81$ | 79.81 | 5.31 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2} .48$ | $\mathbf{2} .29$ |

This away group has put in a very solid performance over a good sample of bets.


Morecambe $\vee$ Accrington (-17) sees the ratings strongly favouring Accrington.
Portsmouth v Ipswich (-17) sees almost identical ratings favouring Ipswich.
Albion Rvs v Dumbarton (-15) is another match where the away team seems to have the upper hand.
Lincoln v Bolton (-15) appears to put Bolton in charge.
As well as having strong Predictor ratings, there are five - 2 Enigma cases in the six matches.
Results for the six games are coming next...

## RESULTS:



The draw managed to get in the way - half the matches finished level.
As seemed to happen quite often in the 2022-23 season, the better away teams regularly won the 'shots at goal' but didn't win the match:

|  |  | Home | Home |  |  |  |  | Away | Away |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shot } \\ & \text { Res } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Div | Shots | Shots\% | Home Team |  |  | Away Team | Shots\% | Shots | Res |  |
| 30/12/2022 | L1 | 5 | 45 | Lincoln | 1 | 1 | Bolton | 55 | 6 | 3 | -1 |
| 31/12/2022 | S L2 | 12 | 80 | Albion Rvs | 0 | 1 | Dumbarton | 20 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| 29/12/2022 | L1 | 9 | 30 | Morecambe | 2 | 0 | Accrington | 70 | 21 | 1 | -12 |
| 29/12/2022 | L1 | 7 | 33 | Portsmouth | 2 | 2 | Ipswich | 67 | 14 | 3 | -7 |
| 28/12/2022 | SP | 8 | 33 | Livingston | 1 | 1 | St Mirren | 67 | 16 | 3 | -8 |
| 28/12/2022 | SP | 6 | 23 | Hibernian | 0 | 4 | Celtic | 77 | 20 | 2 | -14 |

St Mirren had 8 more shots than Livingston (see Shot Res column) but drew 1-1.
Accrington had 12 more shots than Morecambe but lost 2-0.
Bolton won the shots by one at Lincoln and drew 1-1.
Ironically, Dumbarton lost the shots 12-3 at Albion but won 1-0!


The Predictor ratings are clearly favouring the away teams in these matches but the ratings aren't quite as dominant as they were with the last group.

Crewe v Carlisle (-14) seems to put Carlisle in the driving seat.
Hartlepool v Mansfield (-12) sees promotion chasing Mansfield take on struggling Hartlepool. A Command rating of -10 suggests Mansfield are likely to take control.

Away teams with a Command rating between -10 and -8:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 2,344 | 618 | $26 \%$ | $2,006.11$ | -337.89 | -14.42 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 3.93 | 3.25 |
| Draws Mag | 2,344 | 627 | $27 \%$ | $2,257.05$ | -86.95 | -3.71 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 3.67 | 3.60 |
| Aways Mag | 2,344 | 1,099 | $47 \%$ | $2,393.25$ | 49.25 | 2.10 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |

Bristol Rovers v Exeter ( -9 ) shows all ratings in Exeter's favour with a -2 Enigma on their side.
Walsall v Stockport (-8) gives Stockport a similar advantage to the one Exeter have got - another -2 Enigma.
The -2 Enigma cases don't have quite as good a record when the Predictor rating is between -9 and -1 :

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 426 | 195 | $46 \%$ | 409.46 | -16.54 | -3.88 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ |
| Draws Mag | 426 | 105 | $25 \%$ | 370.33 | -55.67 | -13.07 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 3}$ |
| Aways Mag | 426 | 126 | $30 \%$ | 442.28 | 16.28 | 3.82 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 1}$ |

Results to follow...

## RESULTS:



I remember checking the results for these games at the time they were played. Carol and I reveal the home score first, then the away score. I'd given up on an Exeter win when revealing Bristol Rovers had scored three. I then got a very pleasant surprise! Exeter were a good price too.

Returns for all away sides with odds of 3.00 or better when the Enigma rating is -2 :

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm $\%$ | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 713 | 298 | $42 \%$ | 618.55 | -94.45 | -13.25 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 8}$ |
| Draws Mag | 713 | 200 | $28 \%$ | 699.12 | -13.88 | -1.95 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.51 | 3.50 |
| Aways Mag | 713 | 215 | $30 \%$ | 778.02 | 65.02 | 9.12 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 3.73 | 3.62 |

The final group of ratings fall in the -4 to -1 range:


Alloa v Kelty Hearts (-4) shows the ratings favouring Kelty who have attractive odds of 4.20. It can be disconcerting sometimes when preferred teams have longer odds as we're inclined to think the bookies know something we don't.

Backing all teams with negative Predictor ratings when their odds are 3.50 or higher:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm\% | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 687 | 296 | $43 \%$ | 577.96 | -109.04 | -15.87 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 1.98 | 1.95 |
| Draws Mag | 687 | 198 | $29 \%$ | 704.07 | 17.07 | 2.48 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.58 | 3.56 |
| Aways Mag | 687 | 193 | $28 \%$ | 771.95 | 84.95 | 12.37 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | 4.08 | 4.00 |



Newport v Leyton Orient (-4): the -4 rating wasn't as low as I thought it would be with table-toppers Orient doing so well.

St Johnstone v Hearts (-4): The ratings were all over the place in this game especially with Hearts featuring as a Red Light case.

Colchester v AFC Wimbledon (-3): Things get a little trickier when the Predictor is only slightly in a team's favour especially when there are mixed signals across the ratings generally. Sometimes games look too tricky, leaving them out should definitely be an option. We don't need to pick a bet in every match.

Forest Green v Burton (-3): The Form rating sides with Forest Green but the rest favour Burton, who are a Green Light.

Kilmarnock v Aberdeen (-2): Three of the five ratings favour Kilmarnock with Aberdeen being a Red Light too.

Away teams with odds below 2.75 when the Predictor rating is between -9 and -1 :

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm $\%$ | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 874 | 293 | $34 \%$ | 922.69 | 48.69 | 5.57 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 3.25 | 3.15 |
| Draws Mag | 874 | 216 | $25 \%$ | 746.26 | -127.74 | -14.62 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.46 | 3.45 |
| Aways Mag | 874 | 365 | $42 \%$ | 842.64 | -31.36 | -3.59 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ |

Northampton v Swindon (-2): There's a conflict between the Command and Form rating but Swindon's odds of 3.60 catch the eye.

Spy ratings of -2 with negative Predictor ratings and odds of 2.50 or better:

| Winabobatoo | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI | p-val | Stm $\%$ | Dft\% | AvOdds | AvWinOdds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes Mag | 733 | 269 | $37 \%$ | 649.69 | -83.31 | -11.37 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ |
| Draws Mag | 733 | 199 | $27 \%$ | 676.40 | -56.60 | -7.72 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.41 | 3.40 |
| Aways Mag | 733 | 265 | $36 \%$ | 807.31 | 74.31 | 10.14 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 3.11 | 3.05 |

The results stats have all been taken from The Results File \& System Builder workbook - all of which you can easily search for yourself.

Results...

## RESULTS:



There were four away wins, two draws and a home win.
Kelty won the shots at goal at Alloa 8-1 but couldn't get the ball in the net.
As a general strategy, attempting to find away teams that win the shots at goal is a good one.
Predictor is less than zero, away team wins the shots at goal:

| Aways | Away teams that win the shots at goal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predictor $<\mathbf{0}$ | Bets | Win | Win\% | Returns | $+/-$ | ROI |
| All Cases | 2,539 | 1,265 | $50 \%$ | $2,952.31$ | 413.31 | 16.28 |

I'm never disappointed if an away team wins the shots but doesn't win the game.
It was probably a case of 'right place, wrong outcome this time'.
This chapter has given you some insights into how to plot your way through a round of matches.
Don't be afraid to add personal judgement. There's nothing more rewarding than 'sifting out the ones you don't like' to improve on the general performance of the ratings.

Please use the System Builder workbook to become more familiar with various returns as this will help better shape your overall strategies.

## CHAPTER 12: HINTS \& TIPS

For every 100 people who read this guide, I can guarantee that it is unlikely that any two people will adopt exactly the same strategy. This is a good thing. It's no good placing 20 bets on Saturday if you'd rather place five. It's no good betting on outsiders if you can't stand the variance and losing runs that accompany it. It's no good betting at extremely short odds if you want to better $5 \%$ profit.

## Three things to consider:

1) Have realistic expectations - the bookmakers don't make huge errors with their prices - we're playing a game with relatively small profit margins
2) Invest some time in determining your ideal bankroll and staking strategy - we can all cope with winning, you need to have a bankroll that can take the strain during the testing times
3) Betting must always be fun. It's no fun if you take risks that push you out of your comfort zone.

With regards to the third point, if you ever feel stressed when things aren't going your way, it will almost certainly be because you are staking too highly.

## Be resilient to short-term losses:

We're all made differently but every bettor must have some resilience to short-term losses. In terms of the shortterm, I'm talking about 500 bets, not 50 or 100 . Remember, betting is a long-term project. If you don't, you are likely to flit from one strategy to another throughout your betting life, discarding something you shouldn't because you've not had enough patience to see it through. Constant flitters are basically trying to control luck, we can't.

## Good times, bad times:

I used to enjoy watching Final Score on the BBC on Saturdays - keeping tabs on all the teams I wanted to win. Now, I'd much rather wait until 5 o'clock, then check all the results at once. Carol and I will be at my desk at 5 pm every Saturday ready to go through the results one-by-one, writing the results on my printed sheet!

Fundamentally, we want every positive rated home team to win and every negative rated away team to win as this will mean the majority of members are likely to have had a profitable day. On the bad days, we'll be sharing your pain; on the good days we can all be happy together!

The most frustrating rounds of games are where backing the draw makes a profit. Indirectly this means the home teams and away teams will return less - which doesn't provide a very good base starting position.

## More power to the members:

I have no doubt that everyone is in a much stronger and better place since the release of the Results File \& System Builder workbook. Having access to an unlimited amount of data means you never again have to wonder what would have happened if you'd done so-and-so. It's all there to see.

I've always prided myself on Winabobatoo's openness and transparency but we've now moved things to another level.

I was somewhat reluctant to include details of the PPG ratings as I don't want people to be swayed too much by what they might be seeing - being brave enough to go against the league tables is an important part of being a profitable bettor. Of course, that doesn't mean we always have to swim against the tide - knowing when to do so and when not is an acquired skill in its own right.

Including the new Spy rating adds an extra dimension to Winabobatoo. Again, working how to get the most out from it is best done by experimenting with the Results File - expand your knowledge to spot when it matters and perhaps when it doesn't.

As much as I live and breathe the ratings, I can't claim to have all the answers - but you've now got the scope to investigate past results in ways that will almost certainly open up opportunities that weren't there before.

## How To Use Winabobatoo:

I'd suggest you start by considering how many bets per week you'd like to place.
Here's a brief summary of the approximate bet numbers for a few approaches.
Obviously, numbers will vary from season-to-season as no two seasons are ever identical.

| Bet Numbers | Week | Season |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Five Star | 2.5 | 90 |
| Home Green | 6.5 | 230 |
| Away Green | 8.5 | 300 |
| Home Enigma +2 | 5 | 180 |
| Away Enigma -2 | 8 | 275 |
| Pred < 0, Away 3.00+ | 6 | 210 |
| Spy +2, Enigma +2 | 3 | 113 |
| Spy -2, Enigma -2 | 4 | 146 |

I'd try to keep some level of flexibility - adding extra bets if numbers are below the level you want, or being 'stricter' with your criteria if numbers are exceeding your preferred levels.

Personally, I find it hard to follow any 'system' blindly as I like to have the final say in whether I accept or reject what the ratings are saying. I don't know what percentage of members feel the same way but anyone betting without any ratings has to make one hundred percent of the decisions for themselves. To me, it just seems odd to go totally the opposite way and have no personal input.

That's the beauty of Winabobatoo - you have a box of tools to use in whatever way you choose!

## Perspective and Strategy:

In the heat of battle, we tend to focus totally on profits/losses. We're either $\mathbf{X}$ points up or $\mathbf{X}$ points down. I would suggest you look beyond this in order to help keep things in a better perspective, regardless of whether you're in a good or bad position.

Over 100 bets at 4.00 , to breakeven we would need 25 winners. If the 100 bets have returned 112 points to give a 12 points profit, or an ROI of $12 \%$, we will have found $\mathbf{2 8}$ winners. If the 100 bets have returned 88 points to give a 12 point loss, we will have found $\mathbf{2 2}$ winners.

There's a difference of 24 points between the two sets of returns but this position has, in effect, come about by just 6 outcomes out of 100 either going our way or going against us.

You may have hit an unlucky run where 6 extra games out of 100 finished level, you may have hit a lucky spell where the opposition had a run of 'off days' - any number of things could have happened. It is vitally important not to get carried away by either scenario.

With a focus on 'how many winners you are up on breaking even', or 'how many winners you are down on breaking even', it helps emphasise the small margins between the two and gives a better understanding of why the short-term isn't controllable.

## Extreme events:

In the seventeen completed Winabobatoo seasons, there have been extreme events in four of them.
The 2009-10 season, 2012-13, 2020-21 (due to Covid) and the 2022-23 season all behaved in ways we couldn't have predicted. The 2012-13 season very much worked in our favour, the other three definitely worked against us.

We must keep our minds open that 2023-24 could be another one - either good or bad.

## One last tip:

Make use of the accompanying downloads. Decide what levels of risk you're prepared to take regarding odds bet at. Determine what bankroll and staking strategy is appropriate for you in terms of balancing bankruptcy risks with profit aspirations.

And remember you have made a wise choice to have Winabobatoo on your side. You will have a huge advantage over other bettors.

## CHAPTER 13: SOME FINAL THOUGHTS...

I eagerly look forward to each new season. Every team's slate has been wiped clean from the previous season. We don't know who the heroes and villains are likely to be. It's always particularly interesting to see how the promoted and relegated sides perform at their new level.

I'm in my $65^{\text {th }}$ year now but my enthusiasm never wanes. I suppose betting is in my blood and that will never change. I love analysing statistics - trying to make sense of them all.

I took on a new challenge earlier this year in the form of greyhound racing. I've always loved dogs but not necessarily thought of the 'hare chasing' variety as a means of making money. With around 150 races per day, it's quite easy to quickly build up a decent database of results. Even though I might be getting older, I'm certainly not one to sit back on my laurels and relax. I'm always looking to get better at everything I do.

In a similar manner to the way I deal with football results, I set up all my horse and dog bets first thing in the morning using the Bet Angel software. I then don't go anywhere near the results until around 10 o'clock in the evening when racing has finished. At this point, I check my Betfair daily balance.

I then enter all the horse and dog race details into my databases. I may check the intra-day events out of curiosity. I might have ended the day in profit by 5 points but could have been down 25 at some point. My mood wouldn't have been helped had I known I was down 25 points, so I choose not to look. What's the point of potentially being stressed by something you can't control?

Should the end of day balance show a loss of 25 points - or worse - a 5 second headshake might be followed by an exclamation of, "Bloody hell, that was a crap!" before swiftly putting it behind me. Again, not dwelling on it.

I feel relatively relaxed because I'm reasonably sure losing in the long run shouldn't be an option. I know this because of the previous hard work and research I've done. Nevertheless, should things not pan out as planned which happens from time-to-time - I'll be staking the bets in such a way that it's not going to have an adversely negative impact on me.

I understand that the pleasure many get from betting includes the thrills and excitement associated with watching it. You may be glued to a horse race, hoping your selection doesn't get caught near the line or enjoy watching a football match hoping your team can hold onto their 1-0 lead. I used to be more inclined to do this but I definitely prefer to be in the 'totally detached' camp now.

Of course, there's no right or wrong way. It's a matter of personal preference.
Getting back to football, there will be in the region of 1,500 football conundrums for us to deal with in the 2023-24 season. I hope the away teams do better this time around as it's hard going when the longer-priced teams don't contribute to our profits. Surely, life can't be made very difficult in two seasons running? Let's hope not.

I've always got 'research projects' going on behind the scenes as l'm constantly monitoring potential new ways of 'rating' teams. The 'test of time' means most come to nothing but if we don't keep looking, we never know what we might find!

After Carol＇s trials and tribulations on the health front over the last couple of years，we＇re also hoping for better luck there too．Thankfully，after her three week stint in hospital last Christmas we＇ve been in calmer waters since．

With the demands of the twice weekly magazines，we can＇t easily get away during the season．We＇re looking forward to the holiday we＇ve got planned at the end of June．

It＇s over a year now since we lost Border Collie Charlie．We still miss him．He brought so much happiness to our life． Everyone he met was potentially his＇new best friend＇．He loved people and loved life．


Carol and Mike－back at school on $2^{\text {nd }}$ June 2023 －on a trip to the Beamish Museum，County Durham．
Thank you for taking the time to read the guide．I hope you＇ve found it informative and helpful．
Good luck in both your personal life and in your betting life．
Here＇s to an enjoyable and profitable 2023－24 football season！
All the best，

## Mike Lindley \＆Carol Lindley

## APPENDIX ONE: MORE BETTING SIMULATOR EXAMPLES



All bets were placed at 5.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation. The profit of $6.25 \%$ over the 20,000 bets exceeded the $5 \%$ figure - just an act of randomness!

The worst drawdown in any of the twenty 1,000 bet cycles was 101 points, the second worst was 93 . Cycles 2 to 5 , covering 4,000 bets lost money. The longest losing run was 38 . Please remember, although covering 20,000 bets, this is not a definite guide as to worst possible case scenarios. Please use the simulator to replicate these conditions to get a more rounded picture.

# EXPECTED 



| Win Run: | 14 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lose Run: | 14 |
| Drawdown: | -60.00 |

All bets were placed at 2.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation. This is another 20,000 bet sample that slightly exceeded expectations. The worst drawdown was 60 . The second worst was 45 . The longest winning and losing runs were identical at 14.

Please take a couple of minutes to compare these results (at 2.00) with the previous results (at 5.00 ) to see how winning runs/losing runs and drawdowns differ. The extremes in this price range are much less extreme than those at 5.00.

# EXPECTED 



| Win Run: | 7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Lose Run: | 28 |
| Drawdown: | -72.52 |

Still with level stakes, the odds range from 3.50 to 5.00 with $5 \%$ profit expected. The three worst drawdowns were $72.52,70.27$ and 68.62 . The longest losing run was 28 and the best winning run was 7.

Luck was clearly missing over the 3,000 bets in cycles 15,16 and 17 as a net loss was made.
We'll now look at three examples using Variable Stakes.


Stakes vary on each bet with the aim to win $£ 50$ each time. The odds range is from 2.00 to 4.00 with a profit expectation of $5 \%$. The worst drawdown was $£ 1,823.60$. On four occasions out of 20 , the 1,000 bets made a loss. The best ROI was a surprisingly high $15.11 \%$, the worst ROI made a loss of $4.27 \%$. Total returns for the 20,000 bet returns were slightly below expectation at 4.89\%.


Variable stakes here is aiming to win $£ 100$ per bet. The odds range is between 3.50 and 6.00 with a profit expectation of $5 \%$. The worst drawdown was $£ 3,257.19$.
On 7 occasions out of 20 , the series of 1,000 bets made a loss. Over 20,000 bets, the profit remained below the expected level, coming in at $3.29 \%$.


This example covers the wider odds range of 2.00 to 21.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation. Each stake is aiming to win $£ 100$. The longest losing run was 71 and the worst drawdown was $£ 2,316.83$. The best ROI was $+18.76 \%$. The worst loss was $9.63 \%$, coming in way below expectation.

In the last example, even though there was a $5 \%$ profit expectation with the total returns from 20 cycles of bets making a profit of $4.84 \%$, there were 5 series of 1,000 bets that made a loss, the returns had a wide range swinging from making a profit of $18.76 \%$ to a recording a loss of $9.63 \%$.

Cycles 7 and 8 , accounting for 2,000 bets, made a loss of $£ 2,151.98$. That was the only occasion in twenty when two consecutive groups of 1,000 bets made a loss.

## BANKROLL TESTING:

Here are some more examples of bankruptcy risk.
The first sets of results below show returns relative to betting at odds of 3.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation.

## Starting banks are: 25, 40, 50, 75 and 100.

| LEVEL <br> STAKES |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Odds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 100,000 BETS SUMMARY | Longest |  | Worst Drawdown | Balance <br> Low | Number of times out of 20 this amount or more of the bank was lost: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Win <br> Run | Losing Run |
| CYCLES | Bets |  | Win | Win\% |  |  | Returns | +/- | +/-\% | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% |  |  | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 |  | 3.00 | 6,961 | 34.81 | 20,883.00 | 883.00 | 4.41 | 8 | 19 | -66.00 | -54.00 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 |  | 3.00 | 7,073 | 35.37 | 21,219.00 | 1,219.00 | 6.10 | 8 | 23 | -57.00 | -49.00 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,927 | 34.64 | 20,781.00 | 781.00 | 3.91 | 7 | 25 | -72.00 | -62.00 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,996 | 34.98 | 20,988.00 | 988.00 | 4.94 | 9 | 27 | -73.00 | -60.00 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 7,038 | 35.19 | 21,114.00 | 1,114.00 | 5.57 | 6 | 20 | -82.00 | -73.00 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 34,995 | 35.00 | 104,985.00 | 4,985.00 | 4.99 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 33 | 51 | 53 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% cha | this | unt of | nk wi | lost |

In this simulation, a 25 point bank at these odds had a $20 \%$ chance of going broke. The worst drawdown was 82 points and the longest losing run was 27 .

| LEVEL <br> STAKES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100,000 BETS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  | Longest |  | Worst Balance <br> Drawdown Low |  | Number of times out of 20 this amount or more of the bank was lost: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Odds |  |  |  |  |  | Win Run | Losing <br> Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CYCLES | Bets |  | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% |  |  |  |  | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 7,015 | 35.08 | 21,045.00 | 1,045.00 | 5.22 | 9 | 24 | -80.00 | -46.00 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,999 | 35.00 | 20,997.00 | 997.00 | 4.99 | 9 | 23 | -77.00 | -77.00 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 7,059 | 35.30 | 21,177.00 | 1,177.00 | 5.89 | 9 | 25 | -58.00 | -38.00 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,994 | 34.97 | 20,982.00 | 982.00 | 4.91 | 9 | 19 | -57.00 | -51.00 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,942 | 34.71 | 20,826.00 | 826.00 | 4.13 | 5 | 15 | -75.00 | -70.00 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 35,009 | 35.01 | 105,027.00 | 5,027.00 | 5.03 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 19 | 31 | 37 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% cha | this | unt of | nk will | lost |
|  |  | Enter | Starting | Bank: | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With a 40 point bank, the bank went broke $10 \%$ of the time. The worst drawdown and losing runs were similar to the last simulation.


Enter Starting Bank: 50
The bankruptcy risk dropped to $3 \%$ when the starting bank was increased to 50 points. There was a $25 \%$ chance that half the bank or more would be lost.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STAKES |  |  | 100, | ,000 BETS S | MMARY |  |  | est |  |  |  | umb | time | t of 20 |  |
|  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |  | Win | Losing | Worst | Balance | this am | unt or | re of | bank |  |
| CYCLES | Bets | Odds | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% | Run | Run | Drawdown | Low | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 7,037 | 35.19 | 21,111.00 | 1,111.00 | 5.55 | 8 | 20 | -63.00 | -29.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,989 | 34.95 | 20,967.00 | 967.00 | 4.83 | 8 | 34 | -92.00 | -67.00 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,965 | 34.83 | 20,895.00 | 895.00 | 4.48 | 10 | 20 | -93.00 | -56.00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 7,078 | 35.39 | 21,234.00 | 1,234.00 | 6.17 | 9 | 18 | -67.00 | -60.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 3.00 | 6,951 | 34.76 | 20,853.00 | 853.00 | 4.27 | 7 | 17 | -88.00 | -83.00 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 35,020 | 35.02 | 105,060.00 | 5,060.00 | 5.06 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 27 |
| Enter Starting Bank: |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% chance this amount of bank will be lost |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With a 75 point bank the bankruptcy risk was reduced to $1 \%$ with a $13 \%$ chance that half or more of the bank would be lost.


On one occasion out of 100 was a 100 point bank lost. The chance of losing half or more of the bank was reduced to $5 \%$ from $13 \%$ with a 75 point bank.

Don't forget: these assessments are only as accurate as the profit expectations input.

The next examples show returns relative to betting at odds of 4.00 with a starting bank of 50 points.
Profit expectations are: 5\%, 3\%, 1\% and breaking even.


Enter Starting Bank:
50
With a $5 \%$ profit expectation, the bankruptcy risk is $10 \%$. The chances of losing $50 \%$ or more of the starting bankroll is $33 \%$.

|  | LEVEL STAKES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100,000 BETS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  | Longest |  | Worst  <br> Drawdown Balance <br> Low |  | Number of times out of 20 this amount or more of the bank was lost: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Odds | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% | Win <br> Run | Losing <br> Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CYCLES | Bets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,099 | 25.50 | 20,396.00 | 396.00 | 1.98 | 6 | 29 | -91.00 | -63.00 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 15 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,199 | 26.00 | 20,796.00 | 796.00 | 3.98 | 6 | 26 | -120.00 | -78.00 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,180 | 25.90 | 20,720.00 | 720.00 | 3.60 | 6 | 26 | -101.00 | -88.00 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,152 | 25.76 | 20,608.00 | 608.00 | 3.04 | 6 | 29 | -132.00 | -104.00 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 13 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,127 | 25.64 | 20,508.00 | 508.00 | 2.54 | 4 | 18 | -100.00 | -55.00 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 25,757 | 25.76 | 103,028.00 | 3,028.00 | 3.03 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 28 | 45 | 52 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% cha | this | unt of | nk wi | lost |

With a $3 \%$ profit expectation, the bankruptcy risk has increased to $18 \%$ with a $45 \%$ chance that $50 \%$ or more of the starting bankroll would be lost.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STAKES |  |  | 100, | ,000 BETS S | MMARY |  |  | est |  |  |  | umb | time | t of 20 |  |
|  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |  | Win | Losing | Worst | Balance | this am | unt or | re of | bank |  |
| CYCLES | Bets | Odds | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% | Run | Run | Drawdown | Low | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,052 | 25.26 | 20,208.00 | 208.00 | 1.04 | 7 | 30 | -182.00 | -157.00 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,088 | 25.44 | 20,352.00 | 352.00 | 1.76 | 7 | 26 | -94.00 | -88.00 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,062 | 25.31 | 20,248.00 | 248.00 | 1.24 | 7 | 34 | -79.00 | -53.00 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,050 | 25.25 | 20,200.00 | 200.00 | 1.00 | 7 | 28 | -152.00 | -142.00 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 4.00 | 5,049 | 25.25 | 20,196.00 | 196.00 | 0.98 | 4 | 27 | -100.00 | -81.00 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 25,301 | 25.30 | 101,204.00 | 1,204.00 | 1.20 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 43 | 62 | 64 | 70 |
| Enter Starting Bank: |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% chance this amount of bank will be lost |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With a $1 \%$ edge, the risk of bankruptcy has risen to $25 \%$. The chance of losing $50 \%$ or more of the initial bankroll is $62 \%$.


Enter Starting Bank: 50
With a breakeven situation expected - a profit of 52.00 points was made in this simulation - the risk of going broke was $34 \%$. The chance of losing over half the initial 50 point bank was $62 \%$.

The worst drawdown with no profit expected was 212.00 points. In effect, a bankroll of more than 212 points would have been needed to have avoided going bankrupt at some point during the 100,000 bets.

The final examples show returns relative to betting at odds of 11.00 with a $5 \%$ profit expectation.

## Starting banks are 25 points, 50, 75, 100 and 150.

| LEVEL <br> STAKES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 100,000 BETS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  | Longest |  | Worst Drawdown | Balance <br> Low | Number of times out of 20 this amount or more of the bank was lost: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average Odds |  |  |  |  |  | Win Run | Losing <br> Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CYCLES | Bets |  | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% |  |  |  |  | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,890 | 9.45 | 20,790.00 | 790.00 | 3.95 | 4 | 73 | -280.00 | -248.00 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,928 | 9.64 | 21,208.00 | 1,208.00 | 6.04 | 4 | 69 | -173.00 | -165.00 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,944 | 9.72 | 21,384.00 | 1,384.00 | 6.92 | 4 | 62 | -161.00 | -127.00 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,876 | 9.38 | 20,636.00 | 636.00 | 3.18 | 4 | 82 | -197.00 | -197.00 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,907 | 9.54 | 20,977.00 | 977.00 | 4.89 | 3 | 44 | -176.00 | -135.00 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 17 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 9,545 | 9.55 | 104,995.00 | 4,995.00 | 4.99 |  |  |  |  | 72 | 78 | 83 | 85 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% chan | this | unt of | nk wil | lost |


| Enter Starting Bank: | 25 |
| :---: | :---: |

This bankroll went broke $72 \%$ of the time.


A 50 point bank went bust $41 \%$ of the time.

| LEVEL STAKES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Odds | 100,000 BETS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  | Longest |  | WorstDrawdown | Balance <br> Low | Number of times out of 20 this amount or more of the bank was lost: |  |  |  |  |
| CYCLES | Bets |  | Win | Win\% | Returns | +/- | +/-\% | Run | Run |  |  | Broke | 75\% | 50\% | 40\% | 33\% |
| 1 to 20 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,877 | 9.39 | 20,647.00 | 647.00 | 3.24 | 3 | 100 | -192.00 | -144.00 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 15 |
| 21 to 40 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,925 | 9.63 | 21,175.00 | 1,175.00 | 5.88 | 3 | 87 | -172.00 | -140.00 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 13 |
| 41 to 60 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,932 | 9.66 | 21,252.00 | 1,252.00 | 6.26 | 4 | 82 | -187.00 | -169.00 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| 61 to 80 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,908 | 9.54 | 20,988.00 | 988.00 | 4.94 | 4 | 97 | -305.00 | -290.00 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 15 |
| 81 to 100 | 20,000 | 11.00 | 1,893 | 9.47 | 20,823.00 | 823.00 | 4.12 | 2 | 44 | -241.00 | -241.00 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| Total | 100,000 |  | 9,535 | 9.54 | 104,885.00 | 4,885.00 | 4.89 |  |  |  |  | 22 | 32 | 53 | 63 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% chan | this | unt of | nk will | lost |

A 75 point bank was lost $22 \%$ of the time.


A 100 point bank was wiped out $15 \%$ of the time.


The bankruptcy risk was reduced to $4 \%$ with a 150 point starting bank.
$\qquad$

APPENDIX TWO: LIST OF FIVE STAR BETS FROM 2016-17 TO 2022-23


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/02/17 | L1 | Rochdale | Charlton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 36.80 | -14.20 | -27.84 | 51 |
| 18/02/17 | L1 | Southend | Northampton | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 36.80 | -15.20 | -29.23 | 52 |
| 18/02/17 | L2 | Cambridge | Newport County | 4.40 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 36.80 | -16.20 | -30.57 | 53 |
| 18/02/17 | L2 | Exeter | Stevenage | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 36.80 | -17.20 | -31.85 | 54 |
| 18/02/17 | SP | Partick | Hamilton | 4.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 36.80 | -18.20 | -33.09 | 55 |
| 25/02/17 | SP | St Johnstone | Kilmarnock | 6.00 | Win | 2 | 6.00 | 42.80 | -13.20 | -23.57 | 56 |
| 28/02/17 | L1 | Scunthorpe | AFC Wimbledon | 4.75 | Win | 2 | 4.75 | 47.55 | -9.45 | -16.58 | 57 |
| 28/02/17 | L2 | Blackpool | Barnet | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 47.55 | -10.45 | -18.02 | 58 |
| 28/02/17 | L2 | Plymouth | Notts County | 5.00 | Win | 2 | 5.00 | 52.55 | -6.45 | -10.93 | 59 |
| 04/03/17 | L1 | Bolton | AFC Wimbledon | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 52.55 | -7.45 | -12.42 | 60 |
| 04/03/17 | L1 | Scunthorpe | Fleetwood Town | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 56.15 | -4.85 | -7.95 | 61 |
| 04/03/17 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Coventry | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 56.15 | -5.85 | -9.44 | 62 |
| 04/03/17 | L2 | Newport County | Leyton Orient | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 59.65 | -3.35 | -5.32 | 63 |
| 04/03/17 | L2 | Stevenage | Notts County | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 59.65 | -4.35 | -6.80 | 64 |
| 07/03/17 | L2 | Plymouth | Blackpool | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 63.40 | -1.60 | -2.46 | 65 |
| 11/03/17 | L2 | Exeter | Accrington | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 67.15 | 1.15 | 1.74 | 66 |
| 11/03/17 | SP | Ross County | Kilmarnock | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 71.48 | 4.48 | 6.69 | 67 |
| 11/03/17 | SP | St Johnstone | Dundee | 4.10 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 71.48 | 3.48 | 5.12 | 68 |
| 18/03/17 | L2 | Grimsby | Crawley Town | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 71.48 | 2.48 | 3.59 | 69 |
| 25/03/17 | L2 | Exeter | Yeovil | 5.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 71.48 | 1.48 | 2.11 | 70 |
| 01/04/17 | L2 | Stevenage | Barnet | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 71.48 | 0.48 | 0.68 | 71 |
| 01/04/17 | SP | Inverness C | Kilmarnock | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 71.48 | -0.52 | -0.72 | 72 |
| 14/04/17 | L1 | Swindon | AFC Wimbledon | 3.75 | Lose |  | 0.00 | 71.48 | -1.52 | -2.08 | 73 |
| 15/04/17 | SP | Dundee | Hamilton | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 74.98 | 0.98 | 1.32 | 74 |
| 17/04/17 | L2 | Plymouth | Newport County | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 74.98 | -0.02 | -0.03 | 75 |
| 29/04/17 | L2 | Plymouth | Crewe | 4.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 74.98 | -1.02 | -1.34 | 76 |
| 06/05/17 | L2 | Newport County | Notts County | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 74.98 | -2.02 | -2.62 | 77 |
| 06/05/17 | L2 | Stevenage | Accrington | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 79.48 | 1.48 | 1.90 | 78 |
| 06/05/17 | SP | Inverness C | Hamilton | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 79.48 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 79 |
| 23/09/17 | L2 | Accrington | Cheltenham | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 79.48 | -0.52 | -0.65 | 80 |
| 26/09/17 | L1 | Blackburn | Rotherham | 3.80 | Lose |  | 0.00 | 79.48 | -1.52 | -1.88 | 81 |
| 26/09/17 | L1 | Bradford | Fleetwood Town | 5.00 | Win | 2 | 5.00 | 84.48 | 2.48 | 3.02 | 82 |
| 30/09/17 | L1 | Bradford | Doncaster | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 84.48 | 1.48 | 1.78 | 83 |
| 30/09/17 | L2 | Exeter | Morecambe | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 84.48 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 84 |
| 30/09/17 | L2 | Luton | Newport County | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 84.48 | -0.52 | -0.61 | 85 |
| 14/10/17 | L2 | Luton | Stevenage | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 84.48 | -1.52 | -1.77 | 86 |
| 14/10/17 | L2 | Notts County | Barnet | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 84.48 | -2.52 | -2.90 | 87 |
| 14/10/17 | SP | Partick | Kilmarnock | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 88.23 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 88 |
| 14/10/17 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Arbroath | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 88.23 | -0.77 | -0.87 | 89 |
| 17/10/17 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Walsall | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 88.23 | -1.77 | -1.97 | 90 |
| 21/10/17 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Oldham | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 88.23 | -2.77 | -3.04 | 91 |
| 21/10/17 | L1 | Southend | Bury | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 88.23 | -3.77 | -4.10 | 92 |
| 21/10/17 | L2 | Exeter | Port Vale | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 92.23 | -0.77 | -0.83 | 93 |
| 21/10/17 | SP | Ross County | Hamilton | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 92.23 | -1.77 | -1.88 | 94 |
| 21/10/17 | S Ch | Dundee United | Inverness C | 5.00 | Win | 2 | 5.00 | 97.23 | 2.23 | 2.35 | 95 |
| 21/10/17 | S L1 | Albion Rvs | Queens Park | 3.79 | Win | 2 | 3.79 | 101.02 | 5.02 | 5.23 | 96 |
| 28/10/17 | L2 | Lincoln | Crawley Town | 5.25 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 101.02 | 4.02 | 4.14 | 97 |
| 28/10/17 | L2 | Luton | Coventry | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 104.62 | 6.62 | 6.76 | 98 |
| 28/10/17 | SP | Dundee | Hamilton | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 108.42 | 9.42 | 9.52 | 99 |
| 28/10/17 | S Ch | St Mirren | Morton | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 108.42 | 8.42 | 8.42 | 100 |
| 04/11/17 | S L1 | Stranraer | Queens Park | 3.79 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 108.42 | 7.42 | 7.35 | 101 |
| 04/11/17 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Clyde | 3.79 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 108.42 | 6.42 | 6.29 | 102 |
| 11/11/17 | L2 | Carlisle | Yeovil | 4.50 | Lose | , | 0.00 | 108.42 | 5.42 | 5.26 | 103 |
| 11/11/17 | L2 | Swindon | Chesterfield | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 108.42 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 104 |
| 11/11/17 | S L2 | Berwick | Edinburgh City | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 108.42 | 3.42 | 3.26 | 105 |
| 18/11/17 | L1 | Bristol Rvs | AFC Wimbledon | 3.60 | Win |  | 3.60 | 112.02 | 6.02 | 5.68 | 106 |
| 18/11/17 | L1 | Peterboro | Blackpool | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 115.62 | 8.62 | 8.06 | 107 |
| 25/11/17 | L2 | Lincoln | Port Vale | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 115.62 | 7.62 | 7.06 | 108 |
| 25/11/17 | S L1 | East Fife | Airdrie Utd | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 115.62 | 6.62 | 6.07 | 109 |
| 25/11/17 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Montrose | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 119.95 | 9.95 | 9.05 | 110 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/12/17 | SP | Ross County | Dundee | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 123.45 | 12.45 | 11.22 | 111 |
| 02/12/17 | SP | St Johnstone | Kilmarnock | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 127.20 | 15.20 | 13.57 | 112 |
| 15/12/17 | L2 | Swindon | Colchester | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 130.70 | 17.70 | 15.66 | 113 |
| 16/12/17 | S L2 | Montrose | Elgin | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 16.70 | 14.65 | 114 |
| 16/12/17 | L1 | Doncaster | Oldham | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 15.70 | 13.65 | 115 |
| 16/12/17 | L1 | Scunthorpe | Milton Keynes Dons | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 14.70 | 12.67 | 116 |
| 16/12/17 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Blackpool | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 13.70 | 11.71 | 117 |
| 16/12/17 | L2 | Barnet | Morecambe | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 12.70 | 10.76 | 118 |
| 23/12/17 | L1 | Scunthorpe | Southend | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 130.70 | 11.70 | 9.83 | 119 |
| 23/12/17 | L2 | Accrington | Crawley Town | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 135.20 | 15.20 | 12.67 | 120 |
| 23/12/17 | L2 | Luton | Grimsby | 6.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 135.20 | 14.20 | 11.74 | 121 |
| 23/12/17 | SP | Motherwell | Dundee | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 135.20 | 13.20 | 10.82 | 122 |
| 23/12/17 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Elgin | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 135.20 | 12.20 | 9.92 | 123 |
| 30/12/17 | SP | Motherwell | Hamilton | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 138.80 | 14.80 | 11.94 | 124 |
| 30/12/17 | SP | St Johnstone | Dundee | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 142.40 | 17.40 | 13.92 | 125 |
| 01/01/18 | L1 | Rochdale | Blackpool | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 146.00 | 20.00 | 15.87 | 126 |
| 01/01/18 | L2 | Luton | Lincoln | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 146.00 | 19.00 | 14.96 | 127 |
| 02/01/18 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Falkirk | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 146.00 | 18.00 | 14.06 | 128 |
| 06/01/18 | L2 | Grimsby | Morecambe | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 150.00 | 21.00 | 16.28 | 129 |
| 06/01/18 | S L2 | Stirling | Berwick | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 20.00 | 15.38 | 130 |
| 06/01/18 | S L1 | Alloa | Albion Rvs | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 19.00 | 14.50 | 131 |
| 13/01/18 | S L2 | Elgin | Cowdenbeath | 5.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 18.00 | 13.64 | 132 |
| 20/01/18 | L1 | Scunthorpe | Gillingham | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 154.50 | 21.50 | 16.17 | 133 |
| 20/01/18 | L2 | Coventry | Swindon | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 154.50 | 20.50 | 15.30 | 134 |
| 20/01/18 | S L2 | Montrose | Clyde | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 158.10 | 23.10 | 17.11 | 135 |
| 27/01/18 | L1 | Bradford | AFC Wimbledon | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 161.60 | 25.60 | 18.82 | 136 |
| 27/01/18 | SP | Hearts | Motherwell | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 161.60 | 24.60 | 17.96 | 137 |
| 27/01/18 | SP | St Johnstone | Partick | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 165.60 | 27.60 | 20.00 | 138 |
| 27/01/18 | S Ch | Dundee United | Morton | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 170.10 | 31.10 | 22.37 | 139 |
| 27/01/18 | S L2 | Montrose | Stirling | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 170.10 | 30.10 | 21.50 | 140 |
| 30/01/18 | L2 | Lincoln | Newport County | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 170.10 | 29.10 | 20.64 | 141 |
| 30/01/18 | L2 | Luton | Wycombe | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 174.60 | 32.60 | 22.96 | 142 |
| 31/01/18 | L2 | Exeter | Forest Green | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 174.60 | 31.60 | 22.10 | 143 |
| 03/02/18 | L2 | Lincoln | Swindon | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 174.60 | 30.60 | 21.25 | 144 |
| 03/02/18 | L2 | Wycombe | Carlisle | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 174.60 | 29.60 | 20.41 | 145 |
| 03/02/18 | SP | Rangers | Hibernian | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 178.60 | 32.60 | 22.33 | 146 |
| 03/02/18 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Cowdenbeath | 5.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 31.60 | 21.50 | 147 |
| 03/02/18 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Berwick | 5.40 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 30.60 | 20.68 | 148 |
| 06/02/18 | L2 | Accrington | Swindon | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 29.60 | 19.87 | 149 |
| 17/02/18 | L2 | Stevenage | Yeovil | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 28.60 | 19.07 | 150 |
| 24/02/18 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 27.60 | 18.28 | 151 |
| 24/02/18 | L2 | Accrington | Cambridge | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 26.60 | 17.50 | 152 |
| 24/02/18 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Arbroath | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 25.60 | 16.73 | 153 |
| 27/02/18 | SP | Hearts | Kilmarnock | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 178.60 | 24.60 | 15.97 | 154 |
| 03/03/18 | L1 | Scunthorpe | Oldham | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 182.60 | 27.60 | 17.81 | 155 |
| 10/03/18 | L2 | Stevenage | Crewe | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 182.60 | 26.60 | 17.05 | 156 |
| 10/03/18 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Edinburgh City | 3.90 | Win | 2 | 3.90 | 186.50 | 29.50 | 18.79 | 157 |
| 13/03/18 | S Ch | Livingston | Morton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 186.50 | 28.50 | 18.04 | 158 |
| 13/03/18 | S L2 | Montrose | Annan Athletic | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 186.50 | 27.50 | 17.30 | 159 |
| 17/03/18 | L1 | Charlton | Fleetwood Town | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 186.50 | 26.50 | 16.56 | 160 |
| 17/03/18 | SP | Aberdeen | Dundee | 7.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 186.50 | 25.50 | 15.84 | 161 |
| 17/03/18 | SP | Hearts | Partick | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 186.50 | 24.50 | 15.12 | 162 |
| 20/03/18 | S Ch | Dundee United | Queen of Sth | 4.80 | Win | 2 | 4.80 | 191.30 | 28.30 | 17.36 | 163 |
| 24/03/18 | S L2 | Stirling | Cowdenbeath | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 27.30 | 16.65 | 164 |
| 27/03/18 | S L2 | Stirling | Edinburgh City | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 26.30 | 15.94 | 165 |
| 30/03/18 | L2 | Cambridge | Crawley Town | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 25.30 | 15.24 | 166 |
| 30/03/18 | L2 | Port Vale | Chesterfield | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 24.30 | 14.55 | 167 |
| 31/03/18 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Berwick | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 23.30 | 13.87 | 168 |
| 31/03/18 | S L2 | Montrose | Edinburgh City | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 22.30 | 13.20 | 169 |
| 31/03/18 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Queen of Sth | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 21.30 | 12.53 | 170 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/04/18 | L1 | Walsall | Bury | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 20.30 | 11.87 | 171 |
| 07/04/18 | L2 | Grimsby | Chesterfield | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 191.30 | 19.30 | 11.22 | 172 |
| 07/04/18 | L2 | Mansfield | Crewe | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 195.63 | 22.63 | 13.08 | 173 |
| 10/04/18 | S Ch | Inverness C | Falkirk | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 195.63 | 21.63 | 12.43 | 174 |
| 14/04/18 | S Ch | Morton | Queen of Sth | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 199.96 | 24.96 | 14.26 | 175 |
| 21/04/18 | L2 | Forest Green | Chesterfield | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 23.96 | 13.61 | 176 |
| 21/04/18 | L2 | Mansfield | Port Vale | 4.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 22.96 | 12.97 | 177 |
| 24/04/18 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Peterboro | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 21.96 | 12.34 | 178 |
| 28/04/18 | L1 | Oldham | Doncaster | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 20.96 | 11.71 | 179 |
| 28/04/18 | SP | Motherwell | Dundee | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 19.96 | 11.09 | 180 |
| 28/04/18 | SP | St Johnstone | Partick | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 18.96 | 10.48 | 181 |
| 05/05/18 | SP | Aberdeen | Hibernian | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 17.96 | 9.87 | 182 |
| 05/05/18 | SP | Dundee | Hamilton | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 199.96 | 16.96 | 9.27 | 183 |
| 05/05/18 | SP | Motherwell | St Johnstone | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 203.71 | 19.71 | 10.71 | 184 |
| 08/05/18 | SP | Partick | Motherwell | 3.79 | Win | 2 | 3.79 | 207.50 | 22.50 | 12.16 | 185 |
| 22/09/18 | L1 | Charlton | Plymouth | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 207.50 | 21.50 | 11.56 | 186 |
| 22/09/18 | L1 | Sunderland | Rochdale | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 207.50 | 20.50 | 10.96 | 187 |
| 29/09/18 | L2 | Carlisle | Stevenage | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 211.00 | 23.00 | 12.23 | 188 |
| 02/10/18 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Wycombe | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 211.00 | 22.00 | 11.64 | 189 |
| 02/10/18 | L2 | Cheltenham | Morecambe | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 211.00 | 21.00 | 11.05 | 190 |
| 02/10/18 | L2 | Exeter | Stevenage | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 211.00 | 20.00 | 10.47 | 191 |
| 13/10/18 | L1 | Barnsley | Luton | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 211.00 | 19.00 | 9.90 | 192 |
| 13/10/18 | L1 | Coventry | Wycombe | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 211.00 | 18.00 | 9.33 | 193 |
| 20/10/18 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Queen Of Sth | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 214.50 | 20.50 | 10.57 | 194 |
| 20/10/18 | S Ch | Morton | Falkirk | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 214.50 | 19.50 | 10.00 | 195 |
| 23/10/18 | L1 | Charlton | Oxford | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 214.50 | 18.50 | 9.44 | 196 |
| 23/10/18 | L1 | Peterboro | Fleetwood Town | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 214.50 | 17.50 | 8.88 | 197 |
| 23/10/18 | L2 | Swindon | Cambridge | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 218.25 | 20.25 | 10.23 | 198 |
| 27/10/18 | SP | St Johnstone | St Mirren | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 218.25 | 19.25 | 9.67 | 199 |
| 27/10/18 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Stenhousemuir | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 222.05 | 22.05 | 11.03 | 200 |
| 03/11/18 | L1 | Blackpool | Bristol Rvs | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 226.38 | 25.38 | 12.63 | 201 |
| 03/11/18 | L2 | Lincoln | Forest Green | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 226.38 | 24.38 | 12.07 | 202 |
| 03/11/18 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Brechin | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 226.38 | 23.38 | 11.52 | 203 |
| 10/11/18 | SP | Hearts | Kilmarnock | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 229.98 | 25.98 | 12.74 | 204 |
| 10/11/18 | S Ch | Ross County | Dundee United | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 233.98 | 28.98 | 14.14 | 205 |
| 10/11/18 | S L1 | Dumbarton | Stenhousemuir | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 233.98 | 27.98 | 13.58 | 206 |
| 17/11/18 | L2 | Notts County | Cheltenham | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 237.98 | 30.98 | 14.97 | 207 |
| 24/11/18 | L2 | Lincoln | Mansfield | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 237.98 | 29.98 | 14.41 | 208 |
| 27/11/18 | L1 | Accrington | Wycombe | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 241.98 | 32.98 | 15.78 | 209 |
| 27/11/18 | L1 | Peterboro | AFC Wimbledon | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 241.98 | 31.98 | 15.23 | 210 |
| 30/11/18 | S Ch | Dundee United | Ayr | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 245.48 | 34.48 | 16.34 | 211 |
| 01/12/18 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Airdrie Utd | 4.40 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 245.48 | 33.48 | 15.79 | 212 |
| 08/12/18 | L1 | Peterboro | Oxford | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 245.48 | 32.48 | 15.25 | 213 |
| 08/12/18 | SP | Aberdeen | St Johnstone | 5.50 | Win | 2 | 5.50 | 250.98 | 36.98 | 17.28 | 214 |
| 15/12/18 | L2 | Cambridge | Yeovil | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 35.98 | 16.73 | 215 |
| 15/12/18 | L2 | Exeter | Crewe | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 34.98 | 16.19 | 216 |
| 15/12/18 | L2 | Port Vale | Cheltenham | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 33.98 | 15.66 | 217 |
| 15/12/18 | S L1 | Forfar | Montrose | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 32.98 | 15.13 | 218 |
| 15/12/18 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Dumbarton | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 31.98 | 14.60 | 219 |
| 22/12/18 | L1 | Doncaster | Oxford | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 30.98 | 14.08 | 220 |
| 22/12/18 | L1 | Luton | Burton | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 29.98 | 13.57 | 221 |
| 26/12/18 | L2 | Cambridge | Crawley Town | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 250.98 | 28.98 | 13.05 | 222 |
| 26/12/18 | L2 | Notts County | Macclesfield | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 254.58 | 31.58 | 14.16 | 223 |
| 29/12/18 | L1 | Oxford | Bristol Rvs | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 258.33 | 34.33 | 15.33 | 224 |
| 29/12/18 | L2 | Exeter | Grimsby | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 262.83 | 37.83 | 16.81 | 225 |
| 29/12/18 | SP | Hibernian | Hearts | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 266.63 | 40.63 | 17.98 | 226 |
| 29/12/18 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Stranraer | 3.70 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 266.63 | 39.63 | 17.46 | 227 |
| 01/01/19 | L1 | Charlton | Walsall | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 266.63 | 38.63 | 16.94 | 228 |
| 01/01/19 | L1 | Luton | Barnsley | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 266.63 | 37.63 | 16.43 | 229 |
| 01/01/19 | L2 | Forest Green | Northampton | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 266.63 | 36.63 | 15.93 | 230 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05/01/19 | S L2 | Peterhead | Clyde | 4.75 | Win | 2 | 4.75 | 271.38 | 40.38 | 17.48 | 231 |
| 12/01/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Rochdale | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 271.38 | 39.38 | 16.97 | 232 |
| 12/01/19 | L1 | Walsall | Scunthorpe | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 274.98 | 41.98 | 18.02 | 233 |
| 12/01/19 | S L1 | Forfar | Stranraer | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 274.98 | 40.98 | 17.51 | 234 |
| 19/01/19 | L1 | Bristol Rvs | Wycombe | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 278.58 | 43.58 | 18.54 | 235 |
| 19/01/19 | L2 | Milton Keynes Dons | Crewe | 4.80 | Win | 2 | 4.80 | 283.38 | 47.38 | 20.08 | 236 |
| 19/01/19 | L2 | Yeovil | Notts County | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 283.38 | 46.38 | 19.57 | 237 |
| 26/01/19 | SP | Aberdeen | Kilmarnock | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 283.38 | 45.38 | 19.07 | 238 |
| 29/01/19 | S Ch | Ayr | Inverness C | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 287.18 | 48.18 | 20.16 | 239 |
| 02/02/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Plymouth | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 291.68 | 51.68 | 21.53 | 240 |
| 02/02/19 | L1 | Portsmouth | Doncaster | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 291.68 | 50.68 | 21.03 | 241 |
| 05/02/19 | L2 | Tranmere | Northampton | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 295.28 | 53.28 | 22.02 | 242 |
| 09/02/19 | L1 | Blackpool | Walsall | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 295.28 | 52.28 | 21.51 | 243 |
| 09/02/19 | L1 | Charlton | Southend | 4.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 295.28 | 51.28 | 21.02 | 244 |
| 16/02/19 | S L2 | Peterhead | Queens Park | 6.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 295.28 | 50.28 | 20.52 | 245 |
| 23/02/19 | L1 | Burton | Fleetwood Town | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 299.28 | 53.28 | 21.66 | 246 |
| 23/02/19 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Montrose | 4.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 52.28 | 21.17 | 247 |
| 24/02/19 | L1 | Luton | Coventry | 5.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 51.28 | 20.68 | 248 |
| 26/02/19 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Partick | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 50.28 | 20.19 | 249 |
| 26/02/19 | S Ch | Ross County | Ayr | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 49.28 | 19.71 | 250 |
| 26/02/19 | S L1 | Stranraer | Stenhousemuir | 3.90 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 48.28 | 19.24 | 251 |
| 26/02/19 | S L2 | Elgin | Queens Park | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 47.28 | 18.76 | 252 |
| 01/03/19 | S Ch | Morton | Falkirk | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 46.28 | 18.29 | 253 |
| 02/03/19 | L1 | Portsmouth | Bradford | 5.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 45.28 | 17.83 | 254 |
| 02/03/19 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Queen Of Sth | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 44.28 | 17.36 | 255 |
| 12/03/19 | L1 | Charlton | Burton | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 43.28 | 16.91 | 256 |
| 12/03/19 | S L1 | East Fife | Stenhousemuir | 4.30 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 42.28 | 16.45 | 257 |
| 16/03/19 | L2 | Crewe | Crawley Town | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 41.28 | 16.00 | 258 |
| 23/03/19 | S L1 | Montrose | Stenhousemuir | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 40.28 | 15.55 | 259 |
| 23/03/19 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Dumbarton | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 39.28 | 15.11 | 260 |
| 30/03/19 | L2 | Tranmere | Carlisle | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 299.28 | 38.28 | 14.67 | 261 |
| 06/04/19 | S Ch | Ayr | Inverness C | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 302.88 | 40.88 | 15.60 | 262 |
| 06/04/19 | S L1 | Arbroath | Montrose | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 302.88 | 39.88 | 15.16 | 263 |
| 07/04/19 | L1 | Coventry | Bristol Rvs | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 302.88 | 38.88 | 14.73 | 264 |
| 09/04/19 | S Ch | Ayr | Queen Of Sth | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 302.88 | 37.88 | 14.29 | 265 |
| 13/04/19 | L2 | Crewe | Notts County | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 302.88 | 36.88 | 13.86 | 266 |
| 13/04/19 | L2 | Exeter | Port Vale | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 302.88 | 35.88 | 13.44 | 267 |
| 13/04/19 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Stenhousemuir | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 306.38 | 38.38 | 14.32 | 268 |
| 13/04/19 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Stranraer | 5.75 | Win | 2 | 5.75 | 312.13 | 43.13 | 16.03 | 269 |
| 19/04/19 | L2 | Exeter | Crawley Town | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 316.46 | 46.46 | 17.21 | 270 |
| 20/04/19 | S Ch | Falkirk | Morton | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 320.06 | 49.06 | 18.10 | 271 |
| 22/04/19 | L1 | Portsmouth | Coventry | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 320.06 | 48.06 | 17.67 | 272 |
| 22/04/19 | L2 | Bury | Northampton | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 320.06 | 47.06 | 17.24 | 273 |
| 27/04/19 | S L1 | Raith Rvs | Brechin | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 320.06 | 46.06 | 16.81 | 274 |
| 04/05/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Burton | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 320.06 | 45.06 | 16.39 | 275 |
| 04/05/19 | L2 | Oldham | Northampton | 3.70 | Win | 2 | 3.70 | 323.76 | 47.76 | 17.30 | 276 |
| 21/09/19 | L2 | Forest Green | Stevenage | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 323.76 | 46.76 | 16.88 | 277 |
| 21/09/19 | L2 | Northampton | Crawley Town | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 323.76 | 45.76 | 16.46 | 278 |
| 28/09/19 | L1 | Coventry | Doncaster | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 323.76 | 44.76 | 16.04 | 279 |
| 28/09/19 | L1 | Oxford | Gillingham | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 323.76 | 43.76 | 15.63 | 280 |
| 28/09/19 | L2 | Exeter | Grimsby | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 328.26 | 47.26 | 16.82 | 281 |
| 12/10/19 | L2 | Swindon | Plymouth | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 328.26 | 46.26 | 16.40 | 282 |
| 19/10/19 | L2 | Grimsby | Leyton Orient | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 332.01 | 49.01 | 17.32 | 283 |
| 19/10/19 | SP | Kilmarnock | Livingston | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 332.01 | 48.01 | 16.90 | 284 |
| 19/10/19 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Montrose | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 335.76 | 50.76 | 17.81 | 285 |
| 22/10/19 | L2 | Newport County | Crawley Town | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 335.76 | 49.76 | 17.40 | 286 |
| 23/10/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Accrington | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 335.76 | 48.76 | 16.99 | 287 |
| 30/10/19 | SP | Hibernian | Livingston | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 335.76 | 47.76 | 16.58 | 288 |
| 02/11/19 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Tranmere | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 339.36 | 50.36 | 17.43 | 289 |
| 02/11/19 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Queen of Sth | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 339.36 | 49.36 | 17.02 | 290 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/11/19 | S L1 | East Fife | Montrose | 5.50 | Win | 2 | 5.50 | 344.86 | 53.86 | 18.51 | 291 |
| 02/11/19 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Queens Park | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 348.36 | 56.36 | 19.30 | 292 |
| 09/11/19 | SP | Hearts | St Mirren | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 348.36 | 55.36 | 18.89 | 293 |
| 09/11/19 | S L1 | Clyde | Peterhead | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 352.36 | 58.36 | 19.85 | 294 |
| 09/11/19 | S L1 | Montrose | Forfar | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 352.36 | 57.36 | 19.44 | 295 |
| 16/11/19 | S Ch | Dundee United | Queen of Sth | 6.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 352.36 | 56.36 | 19.04 | 296 |
| 23/11/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Burton | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 352.36 | 55.36 | 18.64 | 297 |
| 23/11/19 | L2 | Northampton | Grimsby | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 352.36 | 54.36 | 18.24 | 298 |
| 26/11/19 | L1 | Sunderland | Burton | 4.20 | Win | 2 | 4.20 | 356.56 | 57.56 | 19.25 | 299 |
| 14/12/19 | L1 | Lincoln | Tranmere | 4.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 356.56 | 56.56 | 18.85 | 300 |
| 14/12/19 | L2 | Newport County | Stevenage | 4.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 356.56 | 55.56 | 18.46 | 301 |
| 14/12/19 | SP | Hearts | St Johnstone | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 360.89 | 58.89 | 19.50 | 302 |
| 14/12/19 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Peterhead | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 360.89 | 57.89 | 19.11 | 303 |
| 21/12/19 | L1 | Blackpool | Shrewsbury | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 364.49 | 60.49 | 19.90 | 304 |
| 21/12/19 | L1 | Doncaster | Accrington | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 364.49 | 59.49 | 19.50 | 305 |
| 21/12/19 | L2 | Cambridge | Leyton Orient | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 367.99 | 61.99 | 20.26 | 306 |
| 21/12/19 | S Ch | Queen of Sth | Arbroath | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 367.99 | 60.99 | 19.87 | 307 |
| 21/12/19 | S L2 | Cowdenbeath | Stenhousemuir | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 367.99 | 59.99 | 19.48 | 308 |
| 21/12/19 | SP | St Johnstone | St Mirren | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 367.99 | 58.99 | 19.09 | 309 |
| 26/12/19 | L1 | Ipswich | Gillingham | 4.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 367.99 | 57.99 | 18.71 | 310 |
| 26/12/19 | L1 | Peterboro | Doncaster | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 371.74 | 60.74 | 19.53 | 311 |
| 26/12/19 | SP | Aberdeen | Livingston | 4.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 371.74 | 59.74 | 19.15 | 312 |
| 28/12/19 | S Ch | Ayr | Queen of Sth | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 375.49 | 62.49 | 19.96 | 313 |
| 28/12/19 | S L1 | Peterhead | Clyde | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 375.49 | 61.49 | 19.58 | 314 |
| 29/12/19 | L2 | Newport County | Leyton Orient | 3.90 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 375.49 | 60.49 | 19.20 | 315 |
| 04/01/20 | L2 | Cheltenham | Oldham | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 375.49 | 59.49 | 18.83 | 316 |
| 04/01/20 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Ayr | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 379.09 | 62.09 | 19.59 | 317 |
| 04/01/20 | S L1 | Clyde | Stranraer | 5.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 379.09 | 61.09 | 19.21 | 318 |
| 11/01/20 | L1 | Ipswich | Accrington | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 379.09 | 60.09 | 18.84 | 319 |
| 11/01/20 | L1 | Peterboro | Gillingham | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 379.09 | 59.09 | 18.47 | 320 |
| 11/01/20 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Queens Park | 5.75 | Win | 2 | 5.75 | 384.84 | 63.84 | 19.89 | 321 |
| 18/01/20 | L2 | Bradford | Scunthorpe | 3.90 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 384.84 | 62.84 | 19.52 | 322 |
| 22/01/20 | SP | Aberdeen | Motherwell | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 388.64 | 65.64 | 20.32 | 323 |
| 22/01/20 | SP | Livingston | St Johnstone | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 64.64 | 19.95 | 324 |
| 25/01/20 | L2 | Exeter | Colchester | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 63.64 | 19.58 | 325 |
| 25/01/20 | S L1 | Airdrie Utd | Stranraer | 7.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 62.64 | 19.21 | 326 |
| 28/01/20 | L1 | Rotherham | Ipswich | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 61.64 | 18.85 | 327 |
| 28/01/20 | L2 | Bradford | Cheltenham | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 60.64 | 18.49 | 328 |
| 28/01/20 | L2 | Northampton | Scunthorpe | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 388.64 | 59.64 | 18.13 | 329 |
| 01/02/20 | L1 | Ipswich | Peterboro | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 392.14 | 62.14 | 18.83 | 330 |
| 01/02/20 | SP | Hibernian | St Mirren | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 392.14 | 61.14 | 18.47 | 331 |
| 01/02/20 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Stirling | 5.25 | Win | 2 | 5.25 | 397.39 | 65.39 | 19.70 | 332 |
| 08/02/20 | L1 | Sunderland | Ipswich | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 64.39 | 19.34 | 333 |
| 08/02/20 | L2 | Bradford | Grimsby | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 63.39 | 18.98 | 334 |
| 08/02/20 | L2 | Crewe | Oldham | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 62.39 | 18.62 | 335 |
| 11/02/20 | L1 | Lincoln | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 61.39 | 18.27 | 336 |
| 11/02/20 | L2 | Bradford | Stevenage | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 60.39 | 17.92 | 337 |
| 15/02/20 | L2 | Cheltenham | Leyton Orient | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 59.39 | 17.57 | 338 |
| 15/02/20 | L2 | Grimsby | Morecambe | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 58.39 | 17.22 | 339 |
| 15/02/20 | S L1 | Forfar | Stranraer | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 397.39 | 57.39 | 16.88 | 340 |
| 25/02/20 | SP | Motherwell | St Mirren | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 401.72 | 60.72 | 17.81 | 341 |
| 29/02/20 | S Ch | Queen of Sth | Morton | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 405.47 | 63.47 | 18.56 | 342 |
| 07/03/20 | L1 | AFC Wimbledon | Bolton | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 405.47 | 62.47 | 18.21 | 343 |
| 07/03/20 | L2 | Swindon | Forest Green | 4.75 | Win | 2 | 4.75 | 410.22 | 66.22 | 19.25 | 344 |
| 07/03/20 | S L1 | Clyde | Dumbarton | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 410.22 | 65.22 | 18.90 | 345 |
| 31/10/20 | L1 | Wigan | Northampton | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 414.22 | 68.22 | 19.72 | 346 |
| 31/10/20 | L2 | Salford | Oldham | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 414.22 | 67.22 | 19.37 | 347 |
| 31/10/20 | L2 | Tranmere | Morecambe | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 418.22 | 70.22 | 20.18 | 348 |
| 31/10/20 | SP | Dundee United | Ross County | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 418.22 | 69.22 | 19.83 | 349 |
| 03/11/20 | L1 | Crewe | Gillingham | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 422.02 | 72.02 | 20.58 | 350 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/11/20 | L2 | Colchester | Stevenage | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 422.02 | 71.02 | 20.23 | 351 |
| 06/11/20 | SP | Aberdeen | Hibernian | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 422.02 | 70.02 | 19.89 | 352 |
| 14/11/20 | L1 | Sunderland | Milton Keynes Dons | 5.00 | Win | 2 | 5.00 | 427.02 | 74.02 | 20.97 | 353 |
| 14/11/20 | L2 | Cambridge | Barrow | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 427.02 | 73.02 | 20.63 | 354 |
| 21/11/20 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Plymouth | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 427.02 | 72.02 | 20.29 | 355 |
| 21/11/20 | L1 | Peterboro | Blackpool | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 431.02 | 75.02 | 21.07 | 356 |
| 21/11/20 | SP | Kilmarnock | Ross County | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 431.02 | 74.02 | 20.73 | 357 |
| 24/11/20 | L1 | Peterboro | Plymouth | 4.40 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 431.02 | 73.02 | 20.40 | 358 |
| 24/11/20 | L1 | Portsmouth | Oxford | 4.10 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 431.02 | 72.02 | 20.06 | 359 |
| 24/11/20 | L2 | Crawley Town | Grimsby | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 434.62 | 74.62 | 20.73 | 360 |
| 24/11/20 | L2 | Salford | Morecambe | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 434.62 | 73.62 | 20.39 | 361 |
| 24/11/20 | SP | Hibernian | St Johnstone | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 434.62 | 72.62 | 20.06 | 362 |
| 01/12/20 | L1 | Oxford | Ipswich | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 434.62 | 71.62 | 19.73 | 363 |
| 02/12/20 | L2 | Cambridge | Mansfield | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 438.22 | 74.22 | 20.39 | 364 |
| 05/12/20 | L2 | Cambridge | Oldham | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 442.02 | 77.02 | 21.10 | 365 |
| 05/12/20 | L2 | Newport County | Morecambe | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 442.02 | 76.02 | 20.77 | 366 |
| 12/12/20 | L1 | Blackpool | Oxford | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 442.02 | 75.02 | 20.44 | 367 |
| 12/12/20 | L1 | Charlton | AFC Wimbledon | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 442.02 | 74.02 | 20.11 | 368 |
| 12/12/20 | SP | Aberdeen | Ross County | 6.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 442.02 | 73.02 | 19.79 | 369 |
| 12/12/20 | SP | Motherwell | St Mirren | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 445.52 | 75.52 | 20.41 | 370 |
| 15/12/20 | L1 | Portsmouth | Fleetwood Town | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 445.52 | 74.52 | 20.09 | 371 |
| 26/12/20 | L1 | Charlton | Plymouth | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 445.52 | 73.52 | 19.76 | 372 |
| 26/12/20 | SP | Aberdeen | St Johnstone | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 445.52 | 72.52 | 19.44 | 373 |
| 26/12/20 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Arbroath | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 445.52 | 71.52 | 19.12 | 374 |
| 26/12/20 | S L1 | Falkirk | Partick | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 445.52 | 70.52 | 18.81 | 375 |
| 29/12/20 | L2 | Walsall | Scunthorpe | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 449.32 | 73.32 | 19.50 | 376 |
| 29/12/20 | S Ch | Ayr | Morton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 72.32 | 19.18 | 377 |
| 02/01/21 | SP | Rangers | Celtic | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 71.32 | 18.87 | 378 |
| 09/01/21 | L2 | Port Vale | Grimsby | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 70.32 | 18.55 | 379 |
| 16/01/21 | L1 | Peterboro | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 69.32 | 18.24 | 380 |
| 16/01/21 | SP | Hibernian | Kilmarnock | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 68.32 | 17.93 | 381 |
| 19/01/21 | L1 | Peterboro | Charlton | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 67.32 | 17.62 | 382 |
| 19/01/21 | L1 | Portsmouth | AFC Wimbledon | 7.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 66.32 | 17.32 | 383 |
| 22/01/21 | L2 | Salford | Harrogate | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 65.32 | 17.01 | 384 |
| 23/01/21 | L1 | Crewe | AFC Wimbledon | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 449.32 | 64.32 | 16.71 | 385 |
| 26/01/21 | L1 | Portsmouth | Lincoln | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 452.92 | 66.92 | 17.34 | 386 |
| 26/01/21 | L2 | Exeter | Morecambe | 5.00 | Win | 2 | 5.00 | 457.92 | 70.92 | 18.33 | 387 |
| 27/01/21 | SP | Livingston | Kilmarnock | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 69.92 | 18.02 | 388 |
| 30/01/21 | L2 | Port Vale | Southend | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 68.92 | 17.72 | 389 |
| 30/01/21 | S Ch | Ayr | Alloa | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 67.92 | 17.42 | 390 |
| 02/02/21 | L1 | Accrington | Bristol Rvs | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 66.92 | 17.12 | 391 |
| 06/02/21 | L1 | Portsmouth | Plymouth | 4.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 65.92 | 16.82 | 392 |
| 06/02/21 | L2 | Exeter | Bradford | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 64.92 | 16.52 | 393 |
| 06/02/21 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Colchester | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 63.92 | 16.22 | 394 |
| 06/02/21 | L2 | Newport County | Grimsby | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 62.92 | 15.93 | 395 |
| 06/02/21 | L2 | Tranmere | Port Vale | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 457.92 | 61.92 | 15.64 | 396 |
| 09/02/21 | L2 | Newport County | Southend | 6.50 | Win | 2 | 6.50 | 464.42 | 67.42 | 16.98 | 397 |
| 09/02/21 | L2 | Salford | Cambridge | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 464.42 | 66.42 | 16.69 | 398 |
| 09/02/21 | L2 | Tranmere | Stevenage | 4.20 | Win | 2 | 4.20 | 468.62 | 69.62 | 17.45 | 399 |
| 13/02/21 | L1 | Hull | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 472.37 | 72.37 | 18.09 | 400 |
| 13/02/21 | L2 | Bolton | Stevenage | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 472.37 | 71.37 | 17.80 | 401 |
| 20/02/21 | L1 | Gillingham | Bristol Rvs | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 472.37 | 70.37 | 17.50 | 402 |
| 20/02/21 | L2 | Crawley Town | Colchester | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 472.37 | 69.37 | 17.21 | 403 |
| 23/02/21 | L1 | Lincoln | Swindon | 7.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 472.37 | 68.37 | 16.92 | 404 |
| 23/02/21 | L2 | Bolton | Scunthorpe | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 472.37 | 67.37 | 16.63 | 405 |
| 23/02/21 | L2 | Carlisle | Tranmere | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 476.37 | 70.37 | 17.33 | 406 |
| 27/02/21 | L2 | Bolton | Barrow | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 476.37 | 69.37 | 17.04 | 407 |
| 27/02/21 | L2 | Harrogate | Grimsby | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 476.37 | 68.37 | 16.76 | 408 |
| 02/03/21 | L1 | Lincoln | Fleetwood Town | 4.20 | Win | 2 | 4.20 | 480.57 | 71.57 | 17.50 | 409 |
| 02/03/21 | L2 | Cambridge | Scunthorpe | 3.70 | Win | 2 | 3.70 | 484.27 | 74.27 | 18.11 | 410 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03/21 | L2 | Exeter | Walsall | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 484.27 | 73.27 | 17.83 | 411 |
| 06/03/21 | L1 | Accrington | Swindon | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 484.27 | 72.27 | 17.54 | 412 |
| 06/03/21 | L1 | Hull | Bristol Rvs | 7.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 484.27 | 71.27 | 17.26 | 413 |
| 06/03/21 | L2 | Exeter | Leyton Orient | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 484.27 | 70.27 | 16.97 | 414 |
| 06/03/21 | L2 | Oldham | Southend | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 484.27 | 69.27 | 16.69 | 415 |
| 06/03/21 | L2 | Tranmere | Crawley Town | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 488.02 | 72.02 | 17.31 | 416 |
| 09/03/21 | L1 | Charlton | Northampton | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 488.02 | 71.02 | 17.03 | 417 |
| 09/03/21 | L2 | Bolton | Cambridge | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 488.02 | 70.02 | 16.75 | 418 |
| 13/03/21 | L1 | Ipswich | Plymouth | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 488.02 | 69.02 | 16.47 | 419 |
| 13/03/21 | S Ch | Queen of Sth | Alloa | 3.70 | Win | 2 | 3.70 | 491.72 | 71.72 | 17.08 | 420 |
| 16/03/21 | L1 | Lincoln | Gillingham | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 496.05 | 75.05 | 17.83 | 421 |
| 20/03/21 | L2 | Scunthorpe | Southend | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 496.05 | 74.05 | 17.55 | 422 |
| 23/03/21 | L2 | Barrow | Grimsby | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 500.38 | 77.38 | 18.29 | 423 |
| 27/03/21 | L2 | Harrogate | Southend | 5.25 | Win | 2 | 5.25 | 505.63 | 81.63 | 19.25 | 424 |
| 27/03/21 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Oldham | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 505.63 | 80.63 | 18.97 | 425 |
| 31/03/21 | L1 | Gillingham | Wigan | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 505.63 | 79.63 | 18.69 | 426 |
| 02/04/21 | L2 | Salford | Grimsby | 6.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 505.63 | 78.63 | 18.41 | 427 |
| 03/04/21 | S L2 | Cowdenbeath | Albion Rvs | 4.20 | Win | 2 | 4.20 | 509.83 | 81.83 | 19.12 | 428 |
| 05/04/21 | L1 | Burton | Swindon | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 509.83 | 80.83 | 18.84 | 429 |
| 05/04/21 | L2 | Crawley Town | Oldham | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 513.43 | 83.43 | 19.40 | 430 |
| 05/04/21 | L2 | Exeter | Mansfield | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 513.43 | 82.43 | 19.13 | 431 |
| 06/04/21 | L2 | Morecambe | Southend | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 513.43 | 81.43 | 18.85 | 432 |
| 10/04/21 | L1 | Doncaster | Wigan | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 516.93 | 83.93 | 19.38 | 433 |
| 10/04/21 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Rochdale | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 516.93 | 82.93 | 19.11 | 434 |
| 10/04/21 | L2 | Bolton | Harrogate | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 516.93 | 81.93 | 18.83 | 435 |
| 10/04/21 | L2 | Bradford | Grimsby | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 516.93 | 80.93 | 18.56 | 436 |
| 10/04/21 | L2 | Salford | Stevenage | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 516.93 | 79.93 | 18.29 | 437 |
| 15/04/21 | S L1 | Clyde | Dumbarton | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 520.43 | 82.43 | 18.82 | 438 |
| 17/04/21 | L1 | Burton | Plymouth | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 520.43 | 81.43 | 18.55 | 439 |
| 17/04/21 | L2 | Morecambe | Oldham | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 520.43 | 80.43 | 18.28 | 440 |
| 17/04/21 | S L1 | East Fife | Peterhead | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 520.43 | 79.43 | 18.01 | 441 |
| 20/04/21 | L2 | Harrogate | Oldham | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 524.23 | 82.23 | 18.60 | 442 |
| 24/04/21 | L1 | Gillingham | Northampton | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 524.23 | 81.23 | 18.34 | 443 |
| 24/04/21 | L2 | Cambridge | Stevenage | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 528.23 | 84.23 | 18.97 | 444 |
| 24/04/21 | L2 | Salford | Mansfield | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 528.23 | 83.23 | 18.70 | 445 |
| 24/04/21 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Arbroath | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 528.23 | 82.23 | 18.44 | 446 |
| 24/04/21 | S Ch | Morton | Alloa | 5.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 528.23 | 81.23 | 18.17 | 447 |
| 24/04/21 | S L1 | Falkirk | Cove Rangers | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 528.23 | 80.23 | 17.91 | 448 |
| 24/04/21 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Cowdenbeath | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 532.23 | 83.23 | 18.54 | 449 |
| 27/04/21 | L2 | Cheltenham | Carlisle | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 532.23 | 82.23 | 18.27 | 450 |
| 27/04/21 | L2 | Exeter | Grimsby | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 532.23 | 81.23 | 18.01 | 451 |
| 27/04/21 | S L1 | Dumbarton | Forfar | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 532.23 | 80.23 | 17.75 | 452 |
| 29/04/21 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Albion Rvs | 5.51 | Win | 2 | 5.51 | 537.74 | 84.74 | 18.71 | 453 |
| 01/05/21 | S L2 | Albion Rvs | Brechin | 6.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 83.74 | 18.44 | 454 |
| 01/05/21 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Cowdenbeath | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 82.74 | 18.18 | 455 |
| 04/05/21 | S L2 | Stranraer | Edinburgh City | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 81.74 | 17.93 | 456 |
| 08/05/21 | L2 | Morecambe | Bradford | 6.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 80.74 | 17.67 | 457 |
| 08/05/21 | L2 | Tranmere | Colchester | 6.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 79.74 | 17.41 | 458 |
| 09/05/21 | L1 | Lincoln | AFC Wimbledon | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 537.74 | 78.74 | 17.15 | 459 |
| 09/05/21 | L1 | Portsmouth | Accrington | 6.00 | Win | 2 | 6.00 | 543.74 | 83.74 | 18.20 | 460 |
| 12/05/21 | SP | Ross County | Hamilton | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 543.74 | 82.74 | 17.95 | 461 |
| 28/09/21 | L1 | Sunderland | Cheltenham | 5.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 543.74 | 81.74 | 17.69 | 462 |
| 01/10/21 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Cowdenbeath | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 543.74 | 80.74 | 17.44 | 463 |
| 02/10/21 | L2 | Northampton | Sutton | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 547.54 | 83.54 | 18.00 | 464 |
| 02/10/21 | L2 | Tranmere | Crawley Town | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 547.54 | 82.54 | 17.75 | 465 |
| 09/10/21 | L1 | Ipswich | Shrewsbury | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 547.54 | 81.54 | 17.50 | 466 |
| 09/10/21 | L2 | Mansfield | Oldham | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 547.54 | 80.54 | 17.25 | 467 |
| 16/10/21 | L2 | Exeter | Newport County | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 547.54 | 79.54 | 17.00 | 468 |
| 16/10/21 | L2 | Swindon | Rochdale | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 547.54 | 78.54 | 16.75 | 469 |
| 16/10/21 | S L1 | Alloa | Peterhead | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 551.29 | 81.29 | 17.30 | 470 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/10/21 | S L2 | Stirling | Stranraer | 5.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 551.29 | 80.29 | 17.05 | 471 |
| 19/10/21 | L1 | Charlton | Accrington | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 555.29 | 83.29 | 17.65 | 472 |
| 19/10/21 | L1 | Wigan | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 558.89 | 85.89 | 18.16 | 473 |
| 19/10/21 | L2 | Newport County | Carlisle | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 558.89 | 84.89 | 17.91 | 474 |
| 23/10/21 | L1 | Sheffield Weds | Lincoln | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 558.89 | 83.89 | 17.66 | 475 |
| 23/10/21 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Cambridge | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 558.89 | 82.89 | 17.41 | 476 |
| 23/10/21 | L2 | Exeter | Mansfield | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 558.89 | 81.89 | 17.17 | 477 |
| 23/10/21 | L2 | Forest Green | Salford | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 558.89 | 80.89 | 16.92 | 478 |
| 26/10/21 | S Ch | Inverness C | Arbroath | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 562.69 | 83.69 | 17.47 | 479 |
| 27/10/21 | SP | Dundee | Ross County | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 566.29 | 86.29 | 17.98 | 480 |
| 30/10/21 | L1 | Lincoln | Shrewsbury | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 566.29 | 85.29 | 17.73 | 481 |
| 30/10/21 | L2 | Sutton | Walsall | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 570.29 | 88.29 | 18.32 | 482 |
| 02/11/21 | L1 | Portsmouth | Cheltenham | 4.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 570.29 | 87.29 | 18.07 | 483 |
| 05/11/21 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Albion Rvs | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 573.79 | 89.79 | 18.55 | 484 |
| 06/11/21 | SP | Hearts | Dundee United | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 573.79 | 88.79 | 18.31 | 485 |
| 06/11/21 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Morton | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 577.79 | 91.79 | 18.89 | 486 |
| 06/11/21 | S L2 | Stirling | Stenhousemuir | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 581.79 | 94.79 | 19.46 | 487 |
| 12/11/21 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Stranraer | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 581.79 | 93.79 | 19.22 | 488 |
| 20/11/21 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Morecambe | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 585.54 | 96.54 | 19.74 | 489 |
| 20/11/21 | L2 | Exeter | Carlisle | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 585.54 | 95.54 | 19.50 | 490 |
| 20/11/21 | S Ch | Kilmarnock | Arbroath | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 589.54 | 98.54 | 20.07 | 491 |
| 20/11/21 | S L1 | Alloa | Dumbarton | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 593.04 | 101.04 | 20.54 | 492 |
| 23/11/21 | L1 | Burton | Accrington | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 593.04 | 100.04 | 20.29 | 493 |
| 27/11/21 | L1 | Lincoln | Accrington | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 596.84 | 102.84 | 20.82 | 494 |
| 27/11/21 | L2 | Forest Green | Bristol Rvs | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 596.84 | 101.84 | 20.57 | 495 |
| 27/11/21 | L2 | Salford | Oldham | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 596.84 | 100.84 | 20.33 | 496 |
| 27/11/21 | L2 | Swindon | Harrogate | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 596.84 | 99.84 | 20.09 | 497 |
| 27/11/21 | SP | Hearts | St Mirren | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 596.84 | 98.84 | 19.85 | 498 |
| 07/12/21 | L2 | Exeter | Northampton | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 600.64 | 101.64 | 20.37 | 499 |
| 07/12/21 | L2 | Stevenage | Scunthorpe | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 600.64 | 100.64 | 20.13 | 500 |
| 11/12/21 | S L1 | Peterhead | East Fife | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 600.64 | 99.64 | 19.89 | 501 |
| 11/12/21 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Elgin | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 600.64 | 98.64 | 19.65 | 502 |
| 11/12/21 | S L2 | Forfar | Stirling | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 600.64 | 97.64 | 19.41 | 503 |
| 18/12/21 | S Ch | Inverness C | Hamilton | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 604.64 | 100.64 | 19.97 | 504 |
| 22/12/21 | S L1 | Montrose | Peterhead | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 604.64 | 99.64 | 19.73 | 505 |
| 01/01/22 | L2 | Hartlepool | Oldham | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 604.64 | 98.64 | 19.49 | 506 |
| 01/01/22 | L2 | Swindon | Northampton | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 604.64 | 97.64 | 19.26 | 507 |
| 02/01/22 | S Ch | Raith Rvs | Dunfermline | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 604.64 | 96.64 | 19.02 | 508 |
| 08/01/22 | L2 | Northampton | Crawley Town | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 609.14 | 100.14 | 19.67 | 509 |
| 15/01/22 | L1 | AFC Wimbledon | Morecambe | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 609.14 | 99.14 | 19.44 | 510 |
| 15/01/22 | L1 | Lincoln | Cambridge | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 613.14 | 102.14 | 19.99 | 511 |
| 22/01/22 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Port Vale | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 613.14 | 101.14 | 19.75 | 512 |
| 29/01/22 | L2 | Bristol Rvs | Walsall | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 613.14 | 100.14 | 19.52 | 513 |
| 29/01/22 | S L2 | Albion Rvs | Cowdenbeath | 4.20 | Win | 2 | 4.20 | 617.34 | 103.34 | 20.11 | 514 |
| 29/01/22 | S L2 | Kelty Hearts | Stranraer | 9.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 617.34 | 102.34 | 19.87 | 515 |
| 01/02/22 | L2 | Salford | Carlisle | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 617.34 | 101.34 | 19.64 | 516 |
| 01/02/22 | L2 | Tranmere | Stevenage | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 617.34 | 100.34 | 19.41 | 517 |
| 05/02/22 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Fleetwood Town | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 617.34 | 99.34 | 19.18 | 518 |
| 05/02/22 | L2 | Forest Green | Newport County | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 617.34 | 98.34 | 18.95 | 519 |
| 05/02/22 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Colchester | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 621.84 | 101.84 | 19.58 | 520 |
| 05/02/22 | L2 | Sutton | Bristol Rvs | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 621.84 | 100.84 | 19.36 | 521 |
| 05/02/22 | S L1 | Cove Rangers | Airdrie Utd | 4.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 621.84 | 99.84 | 19.13 | 522 |
| 05/02/22 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Stenhousemuir | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 625.34 | 102.34 | 19.57 | 523 |
| 05/02/22 | S L2 | Kelty Hearts | Elgin | 8.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 625.34 | 101.34 | 19.34 | 524 |
| 08/02/22 | L1 | Portsmouth | Burton | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 625.34 | 100.34 | 19.11 | 525 |
| 08/02/22 | L1 | Wycombe | Shrewsbury | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 625.34 | 99.34 | 18.89 | 526 |
| 09/02/22 | S Ch | Arbroath | Hamilton | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 625.34 | 98.34 | 18.66 | 527 |
| 12/02/22 | L1 | Cheltenham | Fleetwood Town | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 625.34 | 97.34 | 18.44 | 528 |
| 12/02/22 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Salford | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 628.84 | 99.84 | 18.87 | 529 |
| 12/02/22 | L2 | Port Vale | Northampton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 628.84 | 98.84 | 18.65 | 530 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/02/22 | S L1 | Alloa | East Fife | 3.75 | Win | 2 | 3.75 | 632.59 | 101.59 | 19.13 | 531 |
| 12/02/22 | S L2 | Elgin | Stranraer | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 636.09 | 104.09 | 19.57 | 532 |
| 15/02/22 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Albion Rvs | 4.50 | Win | 2 | 4.50 | 640.59 | 107.59 | 20.19 | 533 |
| 19/02/22 | L1 | Sunderland | Milton Keynes Dons | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 644.19 | 110.19 | 20.63 | 534 |
| 19/02/22 | L2 | Northampton | Colchester | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 109.19 | 20.41 | 535 |
| 22/02/22 | L2 | Swindon | Walsall | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 108.19 | 20.18 | 536 |
| 22/02/22 | S L1 | Falkirk | Peterhead | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 107.19 | 19.96 | 537 |
| 25/02/22 | S L2 | Edinburgh City | Cowdenbeath | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 106.19 | 19.74 | 538 |
| 26/02/22 | S L1 | Falkirk | East Fife | 5.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 105.19 | 19.52 | 539 |
| 01/03/22 | L2 | Northampton | Walsall | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 104.19 | 19.29 | 540 |
| 01/03/22 | S L1 | Queens Park | Peterhead | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 103.19 | 19.07 | 541 |
| 05/03/22 | L1 | Portsmouth | Accrington | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 102.19 | 18.85 | 542 |
| 05/03/22 | S Ch | Kilmarnock | Hamilton | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 101.19 | 18.64 | 543 |
| 05/03/22 | S L2 | Forfar | Elgin | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 100.19 | 18.42 | 544 |
| 08/03/22 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Cheltenham | 4.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 99.19 | 18.20 | 545 |
| 08/03/22 | S L2 | Stirling | Cowdenbeath | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 98.19 | 17.98 | 546 |
| 12/03/22 | S Ch | Hamilton | Dunfermline | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 644.19 | 97.19 | 17.77 | 547 |
| 12/03/22 | S L2 | Forfar | Stirling | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 648.19 | 100.19 | 18.28 | 548 |
| 12/03/22 | S L2 | Stranraer | Cowdenbeath | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 648.19 | 99.19 | 18.07 | 549 |
| 15/03/22 | L2 | Bristol Rvs | Colchester | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 648.19 | 98.19 | 17.85 | 550 |
| 19/03/22 | L1 | Plymouth | Accrington | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 648.19 | 97.19 | 17.64 | 551 |
| 19/03/22 | S Ch | Partick | Hamilton | 4.33 | Win | 2 | 4.33 | 652.52 | 100.52 | 18.21 | 552 |
| 22/03/22 | S L2 | Stirling | Albion Rvs | 3.90 | Win | 2 | 3.90 | 656.42 | 103.42 | 18.70 | 553 |
| 26/03/22 | L2 | Salford | Walsall | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 656.42 | 102.42 | 18.49 | 554 |
| 26/03/22 | S Ch | Inverness C | Dunfermline | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 656.42 | 101.42 | 18.27 | 555 |
| 26/03/22 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Albion Rvs | 3.80 | Win | 2 | 3.80 | 660.22 | 104.22 | 18.74 | 556 |
| 02/04/22 | S L1 | Alloa | Dumbarton | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 663.72 | 106.72 | 19.16 | 557 |
| 05/04/22 | L2 | Forest Green | Mansfield | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 105.72 | 18.95 | 558 |
| 09/04/22 | SP | Livingston | Motherwell | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 104.72 | 18.73 | 559 |
| 15/04/22 | L2 | Walsall | Carlisle | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 103.72 | 18.52 | 560 |
| 16/04/22 | S Ch | Dunfermline | Ayr | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 102.72 | 18.31 | 561 |
| 23/04/22 | S L2 | Kelty Hearts | Forfar | 5.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 101.72 | 18.10 | 562 |
| 07/05/22 | L2 | Mansfield | Forest Green | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 100.72 | 17.89 | 563 |
| 24/09/22 | L1 | Shrewsbury | Burton | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 663.72 | 99.72 | 17.68 | 564 |
| 27/09/22 | L2 | Grimsby | Carlisle | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 667.32 | 102.32 | 18.11 | 565 |
| 08/10/22 | S Ch | Raith Rvs | Cove Rangers | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 667.32 | 101.32 | 17.90 | 566 |
| 11/10/22 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Bristol Rvs | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 670.82 | 103.82 | 18.31 | 567 |
| 11/10/22 | S L2 | Bonnyrigg Rose | Annan Athletic | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 102.82 | 18.10 | 568 |
| 15/10/22 | L1 | Bolton | Barnsley | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 101.82 | 17.89 | 569 |
| 15/10/22 | S Ch | Dundee | Ayr | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 100.82 | 17.69 | 570 |
| 22/10/22 | SP | St Mirren | Dundee United | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 99.82 | 17.48 | 571 |
| 25/10/22 | L1 | Portsmouth | Oxford | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 98.82 | 17.28 | 572 |
| 25/10/22 | L2 | Bradford | Swindon | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 97.82 | 17.07 | 573 |
| 29/10/22 | L2 | Doncaster | Gillingham | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 670.82 | 96.82 | 16.87 | 574 |
| 29/10/22 | L2 | Mansfield | Swindon | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 674.82 | 99.82 | 17.36 | 575 |
| 29/10/22 | L2 | Stockport | Sutton | 5.25 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 674.82 | 98.82 | 17.16 | 576 |
| 05/11/22 | S Ch | Raith Rvs | Hamilton | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 674.82 | 97.82 | 16.95 | 577 |
| 05/11/22 | S L2 | Dumbarton | Stranraer | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 674.82 | 96.82 | 16.75 | 578 |
| 12/11/22 | S Ch | Dundee | Raith Rvs | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 674.82 | 95.82 | 16.55 | 579 |
| 12/11/22 | S L2 | East Fife | Albion Rvs | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 678.42 | 98.42 | 16.97 | 580 |
| 19/11/22 | L2 | Bradford | Northampton | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 681.92 | 100.92 | 17.37 | 581 |
| 19/11/22 | S L2 | Dumbarton | Forfar | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 681.92 | 99.92 | 17.17 | 582 |
| 02/12/22 | L1 | Peterboro | Barnsley | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 685.42 | 102.42 | 17.57 | 583 |
| 02/12/22 | L1 | Plymouth | Port Vale | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 688.92 | 104.92 | 17.97 | 584 |
| 02/12/22 | L2 | Stevenage | Barrow | 4.33 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 103.92 | 17.76 | 585 |
| 03/12/22 | S Ch | Ayr | Raith Rvs | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 102.92 | 17.56 | 586 |
| 03/12/22 | S L1 | Dunfermline | Queen of Sth | 4.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 101.92 | 17.36 | 587 |
| 03/12/22 | S L1 | Montrose | Alloa | 3.60 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 100.92 | 17.16 | 588 |
| 03/12/22 | S L2 | Forfar | Albion Rvs | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 99.92 | 16.96 | 589 |
| 17/12/22 | SP | Hearts | Kilmarnock | 4.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 98.92 | 16.77 | 590 |


| Date | Division | Home Team | Away Team | Away Odds | Winner? | Result | Return | Tot Returns | Profit/Loss | \%Profit/Loss | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24/12/22 | S L2 | Dumbarton | East Fife | 3.90 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 97.92 | 16.57 | 591 |
| 27/12/22 | L2 | Leyton Orient | Stevenage | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 688.92 | 96.92 | 16.37 | 592 |
| 29/12/22 | L2 | Northampton | Swindon | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 692.52 | 99.52 | 16.78 | 593 |
| 31/12/22 | S L1 | Alloa | Kelty Hearts | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 98.52 | 16.59 | 594 |
| 07/01/23 | L2 | Tranmere | Sutton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 97.52 | 16.39 | 595 |
| 13/01/23 | SP | Hearts | St Mirren | 4.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 96.52 | 16.19 | 596 |
| 14/01/23 | L2 | Gillingham | Hartlepool | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 95.52 | 16.00 | 597 |
| 14/01/23 | S L1 | Alloa | FC Edinburgh | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 94.52 | 15.81 | 598 |
| 21/01/23 | L1 | Derby | Bolton | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 93.52 | 15.61 | 599 |
| 21/01/23 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Bonnyrigg Rose | 3.80 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 92.52 | 15.42 | 600 |
| 28/01/23 | L1 | Lincoln | Cambridge | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 91.52 | 15.23 | 601 |
| 28/01/23 | S Ch | Dundee | Queens Park | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 90.52 | 15.04 | 602 |
| 28/01/23 | S L1 | Montrose | Kelty Hearts | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 89.52 | 14.85 | 603 |
| 04/02/23 | SP | Aberdeen | Motherwell | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 88.52 | 14.66 | 604 |
| 04/02/23 | SP | Livingston | Kilmarnock | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 692.52 | 87.52 | 14.47 | 605 |
| 11/02/23 | L1 | Charlton | Fleetwood Town | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 696.52 | 90.52 | 14.94 | 606 |
| 17/02/23 | S Ch | Queens Park | Raith Rvs | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 696.52 | 89.52 | 14.75 | 607 |
| 18/02/23 | L2 | Bradford | Barrow | 3.90 | Win | 2 | 3.90 | 700.42 | 92.42 | 15.20 | 608 |
| 18/02/23 | L2 | Harrogate | Crewe | 4.20 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 700.42 | 91.42 | 15.01 | 609 |
| 18/02/23 | L2 | Stockport | Stevenage | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 700.42 | 90.42 | 14.82 | 610 |
| 18/02/23 | SP | Dundee United | St Johnstone | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 703.92 | 92.92 | 15.21 | 611 |
| 18/02/23 | S L1 | Montrose | FC Edinburgh | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 703.92 | 91.92 | 15.02 | 612 |
| 25/02/23 | L1 | Burton | Accrington | 4.33 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 703.92 | 90.92 | 14.83 | 613 |
| 25/02/23 | S L1 | FC Edinburgh | Clyde | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 703.92 | 89.92 | 14.64 | 614 |
| 25/02/23 | S L2 | Dumbarton | Elgin | 4.60 | Win | 2 | 4.60 | 708.52 | 93.52 | 15.21 | 615 |
| 04/03/23 | L1 | Wycombe | Exeter | 3.75 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 92.52 | 15.02 | 616 |
| 04/03/23 | S Ch | Partick | Raith Rvs | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 91.52 | 14.83 | 617 |
| 11/03/23 | L1 | Charlton | Accrington | 5.25 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 90.52 | 14.65 | 618 |
| 11/03/23 | L1 | Milton Keynes Dons | Cambridge | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 89.52 | 14.46 | 619 |
| 11/03/23 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Elgin | 3.75 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 88.52 | 14.28 | 620 |
| 21/03/23 | S Ch | Dundee | Ayr | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 87.52 | 14.09 | 621 |
| 25/03/23 | L1 | Exeter | Accrington | 5.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 86.52 | 13.91 | 622 |
| 25/03/23 | L1 | Portsmouth | Port Vale | 4.00 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 85.52 | 13.73 | 623 |
| 25/03/23 | L2 | Mansfield | Sutton | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 708.52 | 84.52 | 13.54 | 624 |
| 29/03/23 | S L2 | Dumbarton | Forfar | 3.60 | Win | 2 | 3.60 | 712.12 | 87.12 | 13.94 | 625 |
| 01/04/23 | L2 | Bradford | Grimsby | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 712.12 | 86.12 | 13.76 | 626 |
| 01/04/23 | L2 | Barrow | Gillingham | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 712.12 | 85.12 | 13.58 | 627 |
| 07/04/23 | L2 | Harrogate | AFC Wimbledon | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 712.12 | 84.12 | 13.39 | 628 |
| 08/04/23 | SP | Hearts | St Mirren | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 715.62 | 86.62 | 13.77 | 629 |
| 08/04/23 | S L2 | Stenhousemuir | Bonnyrigg Rose | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 715.62 | 85.62 | 13.59 | 630 |
| 10/04/23 | L1 | Fleetwood Town | Bristol Rvs | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 719.12 | 88.12 | 13.97 | 631 |
| 10/04/23 | L2 | Bradford | Sutton | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 719.12 | 87.12 | 13.78 | 632 |
| 15/04/23 | S Ch | Partick | Ayr | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 719.12 | 86.12 | 13.61 | 633 |
| 15/04/23 | S L2 | Annan Athletic | Albion Rvs | 4.00 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 719.12 | 85.12 | 13.43 | 634 |
| 22/04/23 | S Ch | Arbroath | Cove Rangers | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 723.12 | 88.12 | 13.88 | 635 |
| 22/04/23 | S Ch | Morton | Raith Rvs | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 723.12 | 87.12 | 13.70 | 636 |
| 22/04/23 | S L2 | Forfar | Bonnyrigg Rose | 3.50 | Win | 2 | 3.50 | 726.62 | 89.62 | 14.07 | 637 |
| 29/04/23 | S L2 | East Fife | Albion Rvs | 3.50 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 726.62 | 88.62 | 13.89 | 638 |
| 06/05/23 | S L1 | Queen of Sth | Kelty Hearts | 3.60 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 726.62 | 87.62 | 13.71 | 639 |
| 07/05/23 | L1 | Portsmouth | Wycombe | 3.50 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 726.62 | 86.62 | 13.53 | 640 |
| 08/05/23 | L2 | Bradford | Leyton Orient | 3.70 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 726.62 | 85.62 | 13.36 | 641 |
| 08/05/23 | L2 | Harrogate | Rochdale | 3.80 | Lose | 3 | 0.00 | 726.62 | 84.62 | 13.18 | 642 |
| 20/05/23 | SP | Kilmarnock | St Johnstone | 4.00 | Win | 2 | 4.00 | 730.62 | 87.62 | 13.63 | 643 |
| 24/05/23 | SP | Aberdeen | St Mirren | 4.20 | Lose | 1 | 0.00 | 730.62 | 86.62 | 13.45 | 644 |

## APPENDIX THREE: WINABOBATOO HELP/HOW TO GET THE DOWNLOADS

## - Free Downloads:

Visit the website to get all the free downloads: www.winabobatoo.com/free-downloads.html

## - New Joiners:

You will not be able to log into the members' area of the website until your membership has been approved. This will normally be done within 24 hours of making a subscription payment. You will be able to log into the website once we send you a confirmation email.

- Where do members find the latest magazine?

Magazines are automatically emailed but should a magazine not arrive, please log into the website to download it. If you ask us to send it again, it probably won't arrive until after the first one gets there. The website is always updated at 5pm on Mondays and Thursdays.
www.winabobatoo.co.uk or www.winabobatoo.com

## - Logging in to the website / Change of email address

You will need to log into the members' area of the website using your email address.

If you forget your details, they can be reset through the website. This data is NOT passed onto me.

If you want magazines to be emailed to a different address, please email me directly at mike@winabobatoo.co.uk so we can update our magazine mailing list.

- Where can I find the latest news or details of technical issues?

If there are any issues of note, details will be posted on the Football Members' page of the website.
If you have any problems please get in touch and either Carol or I will get back to you as soon as possible.
Email us at: mike@winabobatoo.co.uk

## APPENDIX FOUR: LEGAL STUFF: TERMS AND CONDITIONS

By signing up to the Winabobatoo service, you are confirming that you are 18 years of age or older.
If you are involved in running or managing a website forum, or receive income from football either directly or indirectly through a website, or by running a service of your own, or through any other means, you must declare this to Winabobatoo immediately on joining the service.

Failure to do so may result in prosecution and will certainly result in your membership being terminated with immediate effect and no refund, or partial refund of subscription will be made. If you are a non-member you may not use or pass on any information, content, or system data contained in this publication without prior written permission from Winabobatoo.

Winabobatoo Magazines, and associated advice, is supplied for private and personal use only. No part of this guide or any part of the magazines may be reproduced in any form without permission. No derivative works are allowed.

The sharing of passwords, for access to the website is strictly forbidden; logging into the website is monitored.
Full terms and conditions are available on the Winabobatoo website.
These Terms and Conditions are in place to protect genuine Winabobatoo members and to protect the rights of Winabobatoo Ltd.

Please respect Winabobatoo's copyright and the rights of other Winabobatoo members.
Mike Lindley

## APPENDIX FIVE: ADDITIONS, AMENDMENTS \& ALTERATIONS

Any additions, amendments, or alterations to the guide will be noted here.
The latest version of the guide will always be available for download from the website.
This version is: The Winabobatoo Football Betting Guide2023-24 v1.0 published on 12th June 2023.
The next expected guide update will be published on $1^{\text {st }}$ August 2024.

